Okeechobee County

INSTRUCTIONAL & STUDENT SERVICES

Evaluation Information 2021-2022



Overview



 Designed to support effective instruction and student learning growth.

•Results used when developing district and school level improvement plans.

•Results used to identify professional development for instructional personnel and school administrators.

Evaluation Rubric Review



District Evaluation Committee meets to review the rubric and using feedback from instructional staff as well as from the administrators, modifies the rubric to meet the needs of our district.

The Evaluation Committee consists of classroom teachers, school based administrators, as well as district administrators.

Highly Effective



Indicates performance that consistently meets an extremely high quality standard. The individual's performance exceeds the typical standard of normal level service and is held in high regard by supervisors and colleagues.

Effective



Indicates performance that consistently meets a high quality standard. The individual's performance meets the district's expectations and is consistent with the experience level of the employee. Effective is not a synonym for satisfactory.

Needs Improvement



Indicates performance that requires additional attention to ensure an acceptable level of proficiency. The individual's performance is not consistently characteristic of the requirements for the position and experience of the employee. There must be written support regarding how performance is to be improved.

Developing



The rating of Developing may only be assigned to instructional personnel in need of improvement in the first three years of employment. There must be written support regarding how performance is to be improved.

Unsatisfactory



Indicates performance that does not meet minimum requirements of the position and the level of performance commensurate with the experience of the employee. There must be written support regarding how performance is to be improved.

Evaluation Changes in 2021-2022



- No changes were made to any indicators.
- No changes were made to the score ranges for any domain or overall.
- Changes are being made to some student growth calculation methods in Article XII of the Instructional Contract to address unavailable data due to COVID for the 2020-21 school year.

Observations - Instructional Practice Component (IPC)



- Instructional Practice accounts for 66.7% of the overall Final evaluation rating. The other 33.3% is based on student performance.
- Each indicator is rated on the performance levels of Highly Effective, Effective, Needs Improvement/Developing, or Unsatisfactory.
- A rating will be assigned to each domain and an Instructional Practice rating will be determined.



Classroom Strategies and Behaviors, weighted at 40% of the Instructional Practice portion of the evaluation and includes the following components:

- Classroom Environment & Culture (3 indicators)
- Direct Instruction (6 indicators)
- Assessment for Student Learning (2 indicators)
- Technology (1 indicator)



Planning and Preparation, weighted at 9% of the Instructional Practice portion of the evaluation and includes the following component:

Planning and Preparation (4 indicators)



Reflecting on Teaching and Learning, weighted at 6% of the Instructional Practice portion of the evaluation and includes the following component:

- Professional Learning (1 indicator)
 - Deliberate Practice Plan (DPP)



Collegiality and Professionalism, weighted at 11.7% of the Instructional Practice portion of the evaluation includes the following components:

- Professional Collaboration & Communication (2 indicators)
- Professional Responsibilities (2 indicators)

Observations



- Principals and other trained observers will conduct formal, informal, and walkthrough observations.
- Formal observations will be a class period or at least 30 minutes.
- Middle school block classes will be between 45-60 minutes.
- Informal observations are a minimum of 15 minutes
- A walkthrough observation is no less than 3 minutes.
- Teachers will receive feedback through the True North Logic (TNL), following an observation.

Observation Information



- Classroom walkthroughs are not required but may be conducted as an observation
- Pre-planning conferences are not required
- Written feedback must be provided to the teacher within 7 days of the observation
- No observations will occur until 2 weeks after an employee receives written feedback except in instances of concern for student safety or about professional responsibilities

Required Observations



	Formal Observations	Informal Observations
Newly Hired Teacher (AC 1)	 3 formal observations 1 in first 20 days 1 by the end of the 1st semester 1 during the 2nd semester 	None required
Entry Level Teacher (AC 2 & AC 3)	 2 formal observations 1 during the 1st semester 1 during the 2nd semester 	1 informal observation during the year
Regular Teachers (AC 4 + or PC)	1 formal observation per year	2 informal observations per year

^{*}AC - Annual Contract; PC - Professional Contract

Student Growth & Achievement (SGA)



- Teachers in the first year of employment will have a student growth and achievement rating calculated at the end of first semester (January), and again, at the end of the school year (September).
- ALL Teachers will have a student growth & achievement rating calculated at the end of the school year (September).

VAM / SGA



- Student Achievement calculation methods can be found in Article XII of the Instructional Contract.
- All VAM/SGA calculations are completed in EDIS.
 You can access EDIS through the Portal. More guidance will provided throughout the school year.
- Some SGA methods have been revised as of the 2019-20 Instructional Contract. These changes will be reflected in EDIS for 2020-21 and future Evaluations.

Summative Conferences



	Mid Year Conference	End of the Year Conference	Final Summative Conference
Newly Hired Teacher (AC 1)	1 summative conference at the end of the 1st semester	All teachers will have an EOY	All teachers that work most of the school year and are
Entry Level Teacher (AC 2 & AC 3)	1 conference on the instructional practice component (observations) at the end of the 1 st semester	summative conference (IPC score based on observations) 6 weeks prior to the end of the school	hired before the first day of the last quarter will receive a Final Summative which includes the EOY Summative
Regular Teachers (AC 4 + or PC)	None required	year	score (IPC) + Student Growth Score (SGA)

^{*}AC - Annual Contract; PC - Professional Contract

^{**}IPC - Instructional Practice Component (Observations)

Instructional Summative Forms

End-Of-Year Summative

Name: Position		1:			
School(s)/Dept(s): School Ye		/ear:			
Contract Year: □AC1 □AC2 □A	C3 □AC4+	□ CC/PS	C		
Instructional Practices	Domains		Poin	ts	Rating
Domain 1: Classroom Strategies and I	Behaviors			3.	
Domain 2: Planning and Preparing		7		4	
Domain 3: Reflecting on Teaching				9	
Domain 4: Collegiality and Profession	alism			94	
	To	otal Score			
				61	
Performance Rating	Domain 1	Domain 2 D	omain 3	Domain4	Total Score Rang
Unsatisfactory	0-27	0-7	0	0-7	0-41
Needs Improvement/ Developing	28 - 83	8 - 18	11	8-22	42 - 134
Effective	84 - 108	19 – 25	15	23 - 31	135 - 180
Highly Effective	109 – 120	26 – 27	18	32 – 35	181 – 200
Overall	Rating for	Performan	ce Evalı	ation:	
☐ Highly Effective ☐ Effective		ds Improve			Unsatisfac

		Overall R	ating for	Performance Eva	aluation:		
☐ Highly Ef	fective	□Effective	tive Needs Improvement/Developing			$\square \\ Unsatisfactory$	
	This e	evaluation has be	en discus	sed with me.	☐ Yes	□No	
nature of Eva	luator	Date	Signat	ture of Evaluatee		 ;	Date
		ecessarily indica	te agreen	nent with this eval	uation. I	understa	nd that I may
		Assert to the second se	_	hin ten working da	ays of the	date of n	ny signature.

Final Summative (Overall

FINAL SUMMATIVE Name: Position: School(s)/Dept(s): School Year: Contract Year: □AC1 □AC2 □AC3 □AC4+ □ CC/PSC

Student Growth and Achievement	Points
Two Seasons Ago	
Last Season	
Current Season	
Overall Student Growth Score	
Student Growth Rating	

Each Season Student Growth Scale	Student Growth Rating	Overall Student Growth Score
0 – 32	Unsatisfactory	13
33 – 45	Needs Improvement/ Developing	42
46 - 71	Effective	80
72 – 100	Highly Effective	100

Final Summative Evaluation	Points	Rating
Instructional Practice (200 points)		
Student Growth and Achievement (100 points)		
Overall Summative Rating (300 points)		

Summative Rating Scale	Overall Summative Rating
0-59	Unsatisfactory
60 - 199	Needs Improvement/ Developing
200 - 264	Effective
265 - 300	Highly Effective

This evaluation has been discussed with me. $\hfill\Box$ Yes $\hfill\Box$ No

Signature of Evaluator Date Signature of Evaluatee Date

My signature does not necessarily indicate agreement with this evaluation. I understand that I may submit a written response to the observation within ten working days of the date of my signature.

Student Services Summative Forms



End-Of-Year Summative

Name:	Position:		
School(s)/Dept(s):	School Year:	:	
Contract Year: □AC1 □AC2 □AC3	□AC4+ □ CC/PSC		
Instructional Practices Domains			Points
Domain A: Data-Based Decision Making and E	valuation of Practices		
Domain B: Instruction/Intervention Planning	and Design		
Domain C: Instruction/Intervention Delivery a	nd Facilitation		
Domain D: Learning Environment	0.000		
Domain E: Professional Learning, Responsibili	ty, and Ethical Practice		
		Total Score:	
		Overall Rating:	
Highly Effectiv This evaluation has been		181 – 200 ☐ Yes ☐ No	0
nature of Evaluator Date My signature does not necessarily indica submit a written response to the observ		evaluation. I under	
ract mmendation		□ Holds CC/PSC	□ Not

Final Summative

FINAL SUMMATIVE

Name:	Position:
School(s)/Dept(s):	School Year:
Contract Year: □AC1 □AC2 □AC3 □AC4+	□ CC/PSC

Student Growth and Achievement	Points
Two Seasons Ago	
Last Season	,
Current Season	
Overall Student Growth Score	
Student Growth Rating	

Each Season Student Growth Scale	Student Growth Rating	Overall Student Growth Score
0 – 32	Unsatisfactory	13
33 – 45	Needs Improvement/ Developing	42
46 - 71	Effective	80
72 – 100	Highly Effective	100

Final Summative Evaluation	Points	Rating
Instructional Practice (200 points)		
Student Growth and Achievement (100 points)		
Overall Summative Rating (300 points)		

Summative Rating Scale	Overall Summative Rating		
0-59	Unsatisfactory		
60 - 199	Needs Improvement/ Developing		
200 - 264	Effective		
265 - 300	Highly Effective		

Signature of Evaluator	Date	Signature of Evalua	atee	Date
inis e	diuation has been discussed with me.		□ Yes □ No	

My signature does not necessarily indicate agreement with this evaluation. I understand that I may submit a written response to the observation within ten working days of the date of my signature.

Deliberate Practice Plan (DPP)



- A way for professionals to grow their expertise through a series of planned action steps, reflections, and collaboration.
- Annual Planning Conference must be completed by September 30th.
- Teacher selects one (1) indicator in Domain 1 for deliberate practice goal 1.
- Teacher self identifies an area of growth for goal 2.
- Both goals should impact student achievement and use data that is available throughout the school year (not FSA/EOC).
- Monitoring Progress in January
- Goal Reflection due before the last day of school.

Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP)



- Employees who have a final summative performance evaluation is unsatisfactory or needs improvement, will have a TIP.
- A TIP is initiated by the principal after the Final Summative Conference
- Includes specific, comprehensive suggestions, in writing, as to how the performance of the employee can be improved.
- TIPs can be found in True North Logic.

Evaluation Resources



- OCSB Evaluations, TIPs, DPPs, and Observations are available though True North Logic (access through Classlink)
- District information and step-by-step guides LINK: <u>bit.ly/OkeeEvaluations</u>
- Observation Rubric & other forms are located on the district website.

(okee.k12.fl.us > Staff > Employee Evaluation Documents)

Evaluation details can be found in Instructional Personnel Contract, Article XII



Coordinator or Accountability and Assessment **Britani Stanley**

OKEECHOBEE COUNTY Student Services **ADDITIONAL** INFORMATION

Development of Instrument



 This document was developed by the Student Support Services Project, University of South Florida, a special project funded by the Florida Department of Education, Division Public Schools, Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services (BEESS), through federal assistance under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), Part B.

Why a Separate Evaluation Instrument



- Job duties and expectations are very different from classroom-based instructional staff.
- Creating an artificial instructional situation did not provide valuable information.
- Domains in this model include competencies and professional practices more in –line with student services professionals.

Evaluation Changes in 2021-2022



- No changes were made to any indicators.
- No changes were made to the score ranges for any domain or overall.
- Changes were made to some student growth calculation methods in Article XII of the Instructional Contract in November 2019.

Domain A



Domain A, weighted at 16% of the Instructional Practice (IPC) portion of the evaluation.

Data-Based Decision Making and Evaluation of Practices – 4 indicators

- Documentation of problem identification and problem analysis with graphed data and gap analysis (e.g., screening, progress monitoring, assessment)
- Problem-Solving/Intervention Plan, Academic Intervention Record, BIP,
 Progress-Monitoring Plan, 504 Plan, Reports with data analysis and interpretation (e.g., psychoeducational; psychological; psychosocial; counselors, school health report)
- Data platforms/electronic documentation systems (e.g., Data Warehouse, Pinnacle, Global Scholar, Performance Matters, Branching Minds, EASY CBM)
- Critical Components Checklist
- Counseling Plan and logs
- Needs Assessments

Domain B

Domain B, weighted at 20% of the Instructional Practice portion of the evaluation.

Instruction/ Intervention Planning and Design - 5 indicators

- Monitoring intervention implementation (dosage and fidelity)
- Electronic documentation systems
- Case consultation summary
- Parent conference notes/logs
- Newsletters, emails, webpage, and other communication methods
- Critical Components Checklist
- Inservice trainings/presentations related to intervention delivery and facilitation
- Pre-post surveys
- School/District Improvement documentation of participation
- Family participation and engagement

Domain C



Domain C, weighted at 24% of the Instructional Practice portion of the evaluation.

Instruction/Intervention Delivery and Facilitation - 6 indicator

- Monitoring intervention implementation (dosage and fidelity)
- Electronic documentation systems
- Case consultation summary
- Parent conference notes/logs
- Newsletters, emails, webpage, and other communication methods
- Critical Components Checklist
- Inservice trainings/presentations related to intervention delivery and facilitation
- Pre-post surveys
- School/District Improvement documentation of participation
- Family participation and engagement

Domain D



Domain D, weighted at 20% of the Instructional Practice portion of the evaluation.:

Learning Environment - 5 indicators

- Threat assessment
- Crisis intervention participation/facilitation
- School climate surveys
- Inservice trainings/presentations related to school climate, violence preventions, crisis intervention, and mental health issues
- School-based programs development and implementation
- Health education, medication administration, first aid, bloodborne pathogens, cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) and automated external defibrillator (AED) trainings
- Disproportionality risk index and ratios

Domain E



Domain E, weighted at 20% of the Instructional Practice portion of the evaluation.

Professional Learning, Responsibility, and **Ethical Practice - 5 indicators**

- Professional Growth Plan
- Documentation of inservice, professional conferences
- Conference/workshop follow-up activities or implementation
- Professional learning community participation or facilitation
- Membership in professional organizations
- Documentation of supervision/mentoring activities
- Demonstration of time management (e.g., logs, calendars)

Evaluation Resources



- OCSB Evaluations, TIPs, DPPs, and Observations are available though True North Logic (access through Portal)
- District information and step-by-step guides LINK: <u>bit.ly/OkeeEvaluations</u>
- Observation Rubric & other forms are located on the district website.

(<u>okee.k12.fl.us</u> > Staff > Employee Evaluation Documents)