

Okeechobee County School District

2015-16

School Administrative Evaluation System



Rule 6A-5.030 Form AEST-2015 Effective Date: August 2015 Okeechobee Count School District Ken Kenworthy, Superintendent 2015-16

Table of Contents

- 1. Performance of Students
- 2. Instructional Leadership
- 3. Other Indicators of Performance
- 4. Summative Evaluation Score
- 5. Additional Requirements
- 6. District Evaluation Procedures
- 7. District Self-Monitoring
- 8. Appendix A Checklist for Approval

Directions:

This document has been provided in Microsoft Word format for the convenience of the district. The order of the template shall not be rearranged. Each section offers specific directions, but does not limit the amount of space or information that can be added to fit the needs of the district. All submitted documents shall be titled and paginated. Where documentation or evidence is required, copies of the source document(s) (for example, rubrics, policies and procedures, observation instruments) shall be provided. Upon completion, the district shall email the template and required supporting documentation for submission to the address <u>DistrictEvalSysEQ@fldoe.org</u>.

******Modifications to an approved evaluation system may be made by the district at any time. A revised evaluation system shall be submitted for approval, in accordance with Rule 6A-5.030(3), F.A.C. The entire template shall be sent for the approval process.

1. <u>Performance of Students</u>

- For all Okeechobee County School District school administrators, the percentage of the evaluation that is based on the performance of students criterion is 33.3% as outlined in s. 1012.34(3)(a)1., F.S. The Leadership Practice Component accounts for 80% of the remaining 66.7% (or 53.4%) and the Deliberate Practice Plan accounts for 20% of the 66.7% (or 13.3%)[Rule 6A-5.030(2)(a)1., F.A.C.].
- For all Okeechobee County School District school administrators, student performance data for at least three years is included when available. This data includes the current year and the two years immediately preceding the current year, when available. If less than the three years are available, the most recent years of data available must be used. [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(a)3., F.A.C.].
- For all Okeechobee County School District school administrators, the student performance measures to be used for personnel evaluations are those used for the teachers supervised by the school administrator aggregated into one Student Growth Achievement score. Both state provided VAM data and local Student Growth Measures are used to determine a final VAM/SGA score that represents the student performance of all students impacted by the school administrator.[Rule 6A-5.030(2)(a)7., F.A.C.].

.....

The individual student VAM scores and the individual SGA scores are marked as meeting VAM and those meeting SGA thresholds (proficiency or growth dependent on the course). The overall percentage of students who meet VAM/SGA growth/proficiency levels are the numerator and the total number of students who did and did not meet VAM/SGA growth is the denominator. This number is then reduced to a percentage. The percentage is placed on the chart for Cut Scores below and a rating is determined.

2015-16 Cut Scores	Rating
72 to 100	Highly Effective
46 to 71	Effective
33 to 45	Needs Improvement
0 to 32	Unsatisfactory

Once the rating is determined, the points associated with that ranking are awarded to the school-based administrator for the student achievement section of the evaluation. If, from the sample above, the administrator had a final VAM/SGA score of 70 and earned a rating of Effective, the point value for an Effective rating, as shown on the chart below, is 239.

Student Growth Measure Ranges	Performance Level Rating
300	Highly Effective
239	Effective
75	Needs Improvement
0	Unsatisfactory

2. Instructional Leadership

- For Okeechobee County School District school administrators, the Leadership Practice Component accounts for 80% of the remaining 66.7% (or 53.4%) and the Deliberate Practice Plan accounts for 20% of the 66.7 % (or 13.3%)[Rule 6A-5.030(2)(a)1., F.A.C.].s. 1012.34(3)(a)3., F.S., [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(c)1., F.A.C.].
- The Okeechobee County School District school administrator's evaluation framework is based on the DOE provided template for school-based administrators and the Florida Principal's Leadership Standards for school administrators. This evaluation system is based on contemporary research and meta-analyses by Dr. Douglas Reeves, Dr. John Hattie, Dr. Vivian Robinson, Dr. Robert Marzano and other research findings that identify school leadership strategies or behaviors that, done correctly and in appropriate circumstances, have a positive probability of improving student learning and faculty proficiency on instructional strategies that positively impact student learning. [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(c)2., F.A.C.].
- A crosswalk from the district's evaluation framework to the Principal Leadership Standards is provided below [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(c)3., F.A.C.].
- The Data collection instrument, including indicators, organized by domains, based on each of the Principal Leadership Standards, and the Deliberate Practice Plan are included below. (F.S. 1012.34(3)(a)3) [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(c)4., F.A.C.].
- The Principal Supervisor conducts school level walkthroughs, participates in administrative meetings, conducts data reviews, and monitors the implementation of State and District initiatives. Additionally, performance data, survey results from staff, students, and parents are considered in the final evaluation for instructional leadership [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(c)5., F.A.C.].

Alignment to the Florida Principal Leadership Standards (FPLS)				
Domain/Standard	Evaluation Indicators			
Domain 1: Student Achievement:				
1. Student Learning Results:				
Effective school leaders achieve results on the school's student lear	ning goals.			
a. The school's learning goals are based on the state's adopted student academic standards and the district's adopted curricula; and,	D1-PA1-Indicator 1.1, 1.2, 1.3; D2-PA3- Indicator 3.2			
b. Student learning results are evidenced by the student performance and growth on statewide assessments; district-determined assessments that are implemented by the district under Section 1008.22, F.S.; international assessments; and other indicators of student success adopted by the district and state.	D1-PA1-Indicator 1.1, 1.2, 1.4			
2. Student Learning As a Priority:				
Effective school leaders demonstrate that student learning is their top priority through leadership actions that build and support a learning organization focused on student success.				
a. Enables faculty and staff to work as a system focused on student learning;	D1-PA2-Indicator 2.1			
b. Maintains a school climate that supports student engagement in learning;	D1-PA2-Indicator 2.2			
c. Generates high expectations for learning growth by all students; and,	D1-PA2-Indicator 2.3			
d. Engages faculty and staff in efforts to close learning performance gaps among	D1-PA2-Indicator 2.4			
student subgroups within the school.				
Domain 2: Instructional Leadership				

3. Instructional Plan Implementation:	
Effective school leaders work collaboratively to develop and implement an instruction	
curriculum and state standards, effective instructional practices, student learning ne	
a. Implements the Florida Educator Accomplished Practices as described in Rule	D2-PA3-Indicator 3.1,
6A-5.065, F.A.C., through a common language of instruction;	3.6
b. Engages in data analysis for instructional planning and improvement;	
c. Communicates the relationships among academic standards, effective instruction, and student performance;	D2-PA3-Indicator 3.4
d. Implements the district's adopted curricula and state's adopted academic standards in a manner that is rigorous and culturally relevant to the students and school; and,	D2-PA3-Indicator 3.3
e. Ensures the appropriate use of high quality formative and interim assessments aligned with the adopted standards and curricula.	D2-PA3-Indicator 3.5
4. Faculty Development:	
Effective school leaders recruit, retain and develop an effective and diverse f	aculty and staff.
a. Generates a focus on student and professional learning in the school that is clearly linked to the system-wide strategic objectives and the school	D2-PA4-Indicator 4.4
improvement plan;	
b. Evaluates, monitors, and provides timely feedback to faculty on the	D2-PA4-Indicator 4.2,
effectiveness of instruction;	4.3
c. Employs a faculty with the instructional proficiencies needed for the school population served;	D2-PA4-Indicator 4.1
d. Identifies faculty instructional proficiency needs, including standards-based	D2-PA4-Indicator 4.6
content, research-based pedagogy, data analysis for instructional planning and improvement, and the use of instructional technology;	
 e. Implements professional learning that enables faculty to deliver culturally relevant and differentiated instruction; and, 	D2-PA4-Indicator 4.1,
f. Provides resources and time and engages faculty in effective individual and collaborative professional learning throughout the school year.	D2-PA4-Indicator 4.5
5. Learning Environment:	
Effective school leaders structure and monitor a school learning environment that imp	proves learning for all of
Florida's diverse student population.	
a. Maintains a safe, respectful and inclusive student-centered learning	D2-PA5-Indicator 5.1
environment that is focused on equitable opportunities for learning and building	
a foundation for a fulfilling life in a democratic society and global economy;	
b. Recognizes and uses diversity as an asset in the development and	D2-PA5-Indicator 5.3
implementation of procedures and practices that motivate all students and	
improve student learning;	
c. Promotes school and classroom practices that validate and value similarities and differences among students;	D2-PA5-Indicator 5.2
d. Provides recurring monitoring and feedback on the quality of the learning	D2-PA5-Indicator 5.1
environment;	
e. Initiates and supports continuous improvement processes focused on the students' opportunities for success and well-being; and,	D2-PA5-Indicator 5.2
f. Engages faculty in recognizing and understanding cultural and developmental issues related to student learning by identifying and addressing strategies to	D2-PA5-Indicator 5.4
minimize and/or eliminate achievement gaps.	
Domain 3: Organizational Leadership	
6. Decision Making:	
Effective school leaders employ and monitor a decision-making process that is based	l on vision, mission and

improvement priorities using facts and data.	
a. Gives priority attention to decisions that impact the quality of student learning and teacher proficiency;	D3-PA6-Indicator 6.1
b. Uses critical thinking and problem solving techniques to define problems and identify solutions;	D3-PA6-Indicator 6.2
c. Evaluates decisions for effectiveness, equity, intended and actual outcome; implements follow-up actions; and revises as needed;	D3-PA6-Indicator 6.3
d. Empowers others and distributes leadership when appropriate; and,	D3-PA6-Indicator 6.4
e. Uses effective technology integration to enhance decision making and efficiency throughout the school.	D3-PA6-Indicator 6.5
7. Leadership Development:	
Effective school leaders actively cultivate, support, and develop other leaders wit	
a. Identifies and cultivates potential and emerging leaders;	D3-PA7-Indicator 7.1
b. Provides evidence of delegation and trust in subordinate leaders;	D3-PA7-Indicator 7.2
c. Plans for succession management in key positions;	D3-PA7-Indicator 7.3
d. Promotes teacher-leadership functions focused on instructional proficiency and student learning; and,	D3-PA7-Indicator 7.1
e. Develops sustainable and supportive relationships between school leaders, parents, community, higher education and business leaders.	D3-PA7-Indicator 7.4
8. School Management:	
Effective school leaders manage the organization, operations, and facilities in ways t resources to promote a safe, efficient, legal, and effective learning env	
 Organizes time, tasks and projects effectively with clear objectives and coherent plans; 	D3-PA8-Indicator 8.1
b. Establishes appropriate deadlines for him/herself and the entire organization;	D3-PA8-Indicator 8.3
 Manages schedules, delegates, and allocates resources to promote collegial efforts in school improvement and faculty development; and, 	D3-PA8-Indicator 8.3
 d. Is fiscally responsible and maximizes the impact of fiscal resources on instructional priorities. 	D3-PA8-Indicator 8.2
9. Communication:	·
Effective school leaders practice two-way communications and use appropriate or	
communication and collaboration skills to accomplish school and system goals by by relationships with students, faculty, parents, and community.	e
a. Actively listens to and learns from students, staff, parents, and community stakeholders;	D3-PA9-Indicator 9.1
b. Recognizes individuals for effective performance;	D3-PA9-Indicator 9.4
c. Communicates student expectations and performance information to students,	D3-PA9-Indicator 9.2
parents, and community;	
d. Maintains high visibility at school and in the community and regularly engages stakeholders in the work of the school;	D3-PA9-Indicator 9.3
e. Creates opportunities within the school to engage students, faculty, parents, and community stakeholders in constructive conversations about important school issues.	D3-PA9-Indicator 9.3
f. Utilizes appropriate technologies for communication and collaboration; and,	D3-PA9-Indicator 9.3
g. Ensures faculty receives timely information about student learning requirements, academic standards, and all other local state and federal	D3-PA9-Indicator 9.2
administrative requirements and decisions.	
Domain 4: Professional and Ethical Behavior	
10. Professional and Ethical Behaviors:	

Effective school leaders demonstrate personal and professional behaviors consistent with quality practices in			
education and as a community leader.			
a. Adheres to the Code of Ethics and the Principles of Professional Conduct for	D4-PA10-Indicator 10.4		
the Education Profession in Florida, pursuant to Rules 6A-10.080 and 6A-			
10.081, F.A.C.;			
b. Demonstrates resiliency by staying focused on the school vision and reacting	D4-PA10-Indicator 10.1		
constructively to the barriers to success that include disagreement and dissent			
with leadership;			
c. Demonstrates a commitment to the success of all students, identifying barriers	D4-PA10-Indicator 10.3		
and their impact on the well-being of the school, families, and local community;			
d. Engages in professional learning that improves professional practice in	D4-PA10-Indicator 10.2		
alignment with the needs of the school system;			
e. Demonstrates willingness to admit error and learn from it; and,	D4-PA10-Indicator 10.2		
f. Demonstrates explicit improvement in specific performance areas based on	D4-PA10-Indicator 10.2		
previous evaluations and formative feedback.			

3. <u>Other Indicators of Performance</u>

- Okeechobee County School District has included the additional performance in the form of a Deliberate Practice Plan.to s. 1012.34(3)(a)4., F.S.;
- The percentage of the final evaluation that is based upon the Deliberate Practice Plan is 20% of the 66.7% of the Leadership Practice Component or 13.3% of the final evaluation score.
- The scoring method includes 33.3% from SGA, 13.3% from the Deliberate Practice Plan and 34.4% for the School Leader Assessment section. [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(d), F.A.C.].

4. <u>Summative Evaluation Score</u>

The Okeechobee County School District School Leader Assessment form, Deliberate Practice Form, Summative Form, including how the scoring method is calculated and combined, and the performance standards used to determine the summative evaluation ratings, using the four performance levels provided in s. 1012.34(2)(e), F.S., [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(e), F.A.C.] are included in this section.

Conference and Proficiency Status Short Form

Florida School Leader Assessment (FSLA) Conference Summary/Proficiency Status Update - Short Form

Leader:
Supervisor:
This form summarizes feedback about proficiency on the indicators, standards, and domains marked
below based on consideration of evidence encountered during this
timeframe:

Proficiency Area 1. <u>Student Learning Results</u>: Effective school leaders achieve results on the school's student learning goals and direct energy, influence, and resources toward data analysis for instructional improvement, development and implementation of quality standards-based curricula.

Domain 1: Student Achievement				
() Highly Effective () Effec	tive () Needs Impr	ovement () Unsatisfactory	
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is s	sufficient evidence to rat	e current proficie	ency on an indicator,	
assign a proficiency level by checking one of	of the four proficiency le	vels. If not being	rated at this time,	
leave blank.				
Proficiency Area 1 - Student Learning Res	ults: Effective school le	aders achieve re	sults on the school's	
student learning goals and direct energy,	influence, and resource	s toward data ar	nalysis for	
instructional improvement, development	and implementation of	f quality standard	ds-based curricula.	
() Highly	Effective () Effect	ive ()Need	s Improvement	
() Unsatisfactory				
Indicator 1.1 – Academic Standards	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs	
Improvement () Unsatisfactory				
Indicator 1.2 – Performance Data	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs	
Improvement () Unsatisfactory				
Indicator 1.3 – Planning and Goal Setting	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs	
Improvement () Unsatisfactory				

Indicator 1.4 - S	tudent Achievement Results () Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs	
Improvement	() Unsatisfactory			

Proficiency Area 2 - Student Learning as a Priority: Effective school leaders demonstrate that student learning is their top priority through effective leadership actions that build and support a learning organization focused on student success.

() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs	Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 2.1 - Learning Organization	() Highl	y Effective	() Effective	() Needs
Improvement () Unsatisfactory				
Indicator 2.2 - School Climate	() Highly I	Effective	() Effective	() Needs
Improvement () Unsatisfactory				
Indicator 2.3 - High Expectations	() Highly (Effective	() Effective	() Needs
Improvement () Unsatisfactory				
Indicator 2.4 - Student Performance Fo	ocus () Highly E	Effective	() Effective	() Needs
Improvement () Unsatisfactory				

Domain 2: Instructional Leadership				
() Highly Effective () Effec	tive () Needs Im	provement	() Unsatisfactory	
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is	sufficient evidence to	rate current proj	ficiency on an indicator,	
assign a proficiency level by checking one	e of the four proficiend	cy levels. If not be	eing rated at this time,	
	leave blank.			
Proficiency Area 3 - Instructional Plan Imp	plementation: Effectiv	e school leaders	work collaboratively	
to develop and implement an instruction	al framework that alig	gns curriculum w	ith state standards,	
effective instructional practices, student l	earning needs, and a	ssessments.		
	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs	
Improvement () Unsatisfactory				
) Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs	
Improvement () Unsatisfactory				
Indicator 3.2- Standards based Instruction	1 () Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs	
Improvement () Unsatisfactory				
Indicator 3.3 - Learning Goals Alignments	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs	
Improvement () Unsatisfactory				
Indicator 3.4 - Curriculum Alignments	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs	
Improvement () Unsatisfactory				
Indicator 3.5 - Quality Assessments	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs	
Improvement () Unsatisfactory				
Indicator 3.6 - Faculty Effectiveness	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs	
Improvement () Unsatisfactory				
Proficiency Area 4 - Faculty Development			•	
effective and diverse faculty and staff; focus on evidence, research, and classroom realities faced by				
teachers; link professional practice with s				
relationship; facilitate effective professional development; monitor implementation of critical				
initiatives; and secure and provide timely feedback to teachers so that feedback can be used to				
increase teacher professional practice.			
	() Highly Effectiv	e () Effectiv	ve () Needs	
Improvement () Unsatisfactory				
Indicator 4.1 - Recruitment and Retention	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs	
Improvement () Unsatisfactory				

Indicator 4.2- Feedback Practices	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs
Improvement () Unsatisfactory			
Indicator 4.3 - High effect size strategie	s () Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs
Improvement () Unsatisfactory			
Indicator 4.4 - Instructional Initiatives	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs
Improvement () Unsatisfactory			
Indicator 4.5 - Facilitating & Leading Pr	of. Learning () Highly Effe	ective () Effectiv	ve () Needs
Improvement () Unsatisfactory			
Indicator 4.6 – Faculty Development Ali	gnments () Highly Effect	ive () Effecti	ve () Needs
Improvement () Unsatisfactory			
Indicator 4.7 - Actual Improvement	() Highly Effect	ive () Effecti	ve () Needs
Improvement () Unsatisfactory			
Proficiency Area 5 - Learning Environm	ent: Effective school lead	ers structure and	monitor a school
learning environment that improves le	earning for all of Florida's o	diverse student p	opulation.
() Highly Effective () Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfa	ctory
Indicator 5.1 - Student Centered	() Highly Effective ()	Effective ()	Needs Improvement
() Unsatisfactory			·
Indicator 5.2 - Success Oriented	() Highly Effective ()	Effective ()	Needs Improvement
() Unsatisfactory			·
Indicator 5.3- Diversity	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs
Improvement () Unsatisfactory	· · · ·		
Indicator 5.4 - Achievement Gaps	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs
Improvement () Unsatisfactory			

Domain 3 - Organizational Leadership				
	Effective () Needs Imp	•	() Unsatisfactory	
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where th	•••••••		••••••	r
assign a proficiency level by checking				
ussign a projiciency level by checkin	leave blank.	revers. If not be	ing ruleu ul lins line,	,
Proficiency Area 6 - Decision Making	-			-
process that is based on vision, miss		-		е
decision making process, but not all	decisions, using the process	s to empower ot	hers and distribute	
leadership when appropriate; establ	ish personal deadlines for t	hemselves and t	he entire	
organization; and use a transparent	process for making decision	is and articulatin	g who makes which	
decisions.				
	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs	
Improvement () Unsatisfactory	/			
Indicator 6.1- Prioritization Practices	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs	
Improvement () Unsatisfactory				
Indicator 6.2- Problem Solving.	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() NL	
indicator 6.2- Problem Solving.		() Effective	() Needs	
Improvement () Unsatisfactory		() Ellective	() Needs	
0		() Effective	() Needs () Needs	
Improvement () Unsatisfactory	() Highly Effective			
Improvement () Unsatisfactory Indicator 6.3 - Quality Control	() Highly Effective			
Improvement() UnsatisfactoryIndicator 6.3 - Quality ControlImprovement() Unsatisfactory	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs	

Improvement () Unsatisfactory			
Proficiency Area 7 - Leadership Developme	ent: Effective school le	eaders actively cul	tivate, support, and
develop other leaders within the organiza	tion, modeling trust, o	competency, and i	ntegrity in ways that
positively impact and inspire growth in ot	her potential leaders.		
Indicator 7.1- Leadership Team	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs
Improvement () Unsatisfactory			
Indicator 7.2 - Delegation	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs
Improvement () Unsatisfactory			
Indicator 7.3 - Succession Planning	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs
Improvement () Unsatisfactory			
Indicator 7.4 - Relationships	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs
Improvement () Unsatisfactory			
Proficiency Area 8 - School Management:	Effective school leade	ers manage the or	ganization,
operations, and facilities in ways that max	imize the use of resou	urces to promote a	a safe, efficient,
legal, and effective learning environment;	effectively manage a	nd delegate tasks	and consistently
demonstrate fiscal efficiency; and underst	and the benefits of go	oing deeper with f	ewer initiatives as
opposed to superficial coverage of everyth	ning.		
() Highly Effective () Effective () Needs Improvemen	it () Unsatisf	actory
Indicator 8.1 - Organizational Skills	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs
Improvement () Unsatisfactory			
Indicator 8.2- Strategic Instructional Resol	urcing () Highly Effec	tive () Effective	() Needs
Improvement () Unsatisfactory			
Indicator 8.3 – Collegial Learning Resources	s () Highly Effec	tive () Effective	e () Needs
Improvement () Unsatisfactory			
Proficiency Area 9 - Communication: Effe	ctive school leaders u	se appropriate or	al, written, and
electronic communication and collaboration	on skills to accomplish	n school and syste	m goals by practicing
two-way communications, seeking to liste	n and learn from and	building and mair	taining relationships
with students, faculty, parents, and comm	unity; managing a pro	ocess of regular co	mmunications to
staff and community keeping all stakehold	ders engaged in the w	ork of the school;	recognizing
individuals for good work; and maintainin	g high visibility at sch	ool and in the com	nmunity.
() Highl	y Effective () Effe	ective () Nee	ds Improvement
() Unsatisfactory			
Indicator 9.1 Constructive Conversations	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs
Improvement () Unsatisfactory			
Indicator 9.2 - Clear Goals and Expectations	s () Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs
Improvement () Unsatisfactory			
Indicator 9.3 - Accessibility	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs
Improvement () Unsatisfactory			
() Onsulation			
Indicator 9.4 - Recognitions	() Highly Effective	e () Effective	() Needs
	() Highly Effective	e () Effective	() Needs

 Domain 4 - Professional and Ethical Behaviors

 () Highly Effective
 () Effective
 () Needs Improvement
 () Unsatisfactory

 Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evidence to rate current proficiency on an indicator, assign a proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency levels. If not being rated at this time, leave blank.

 Proficiency Area 10 - Professional and Ethical Behaviors: Effective school leaders demonstrate

personal and professional behaviors consistent with quality practices in education and as a community leader by staying informed on current research in education and demonstrating their understanding of the research, engage in professional development opportunities that improve personal professional practice and align with the needs of the school system, and generate a professional development focus in their school that is clearly linked to the system-wide strategic objectives.

	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs
Improvement () Unsatisfactory			
Indicator 10.1 – Resiliency	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs
Improvement () Unsatisfactory			
Indicator 10.2 - Professional Learning	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs
Improvement () Unsatisfactory			
Indicator 10.3 - Commitment	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs
Improvement () Unsatisfactory			
Indicator 10.4 – Professional Conduct	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs
Improvement () Unsatisfactory			

Additional Metric: Deliberate Practice Guidelines

Deliberate Practice: The leaders work on specific improvements in mastery of educational leadership is a separate metric and is combined with the FSLA Domain Scores to determine a summative leadership score.

Deliberate Practice (DP)

Proficiency Area(s) and Target(s) for School Leader Growth

<u>Deliberate Practice Priorities</u>: The leader and the evaluator identify 1 to 4 specific and measurable priority learning goals related to teaching, learning, or school leadership practices that impact student learning growth. One or two targets are recommended.

- The target of a deliberate practice process describe an intended result and will include "scales" or progress points that guide the leader toward highly effective levels of personal mastery;
- The leader takes actions to make discernible progress on those priority goals; monitors progress toward them, uses the monitoring data to make adjustments to practice, and provides measurable evidence of growth in personal mastery of the targeted priorities.
- The evaluator monitors progress and provides feedback.
- <u>The targets are "thin slices" of specific gains sought</u> not broad overviews or long term goals taking years to accomplish.
- Deliberate practices ratings are based on comparison of proficiency at a "start point" and proficiency at a designated "evaluation point". The start point data can be based on a preceding year FSLA evaluation data on a specific indicator or proficiency area, or determined by school leader and evaluator either at the end of the preceding work year or at the start of the new work year in which the DP targets will be used for evaluation.

<u>Relationship to other measures of professional learning</u>: Whereas FSLA indicator 4.5 addresses the leader's involvement with professional learning focused on faculty needs and indicator 10.2 addresses the leader's pursuant of learning aligned with a range of school needs, the Deliberate Practice targets are more specific and deeper learning related to teaching, learning, or school leadership practices that impact student learning. The DP learning processes establish career-long patterns of continuous improvement and lead to high quality instructional leadership.

Selecting Growth Targets:

Growth target 1: An issue that addresses a school improvement need related to student learning and either selected by the district or approved by leader's supervisor. The focus should be on complex issues that take some time to master such as providing observation and feedback of high-effect size instructional practices.

Growth target 2: An issue related to a knowledge base or skill set relevant to instructional leadership selected by leader).

Growth target 3-4: Optional: additional issues as appropriate.

• The addition of more targets should involve estimates of the time needed to accomplish targets 1 and 2. Where targets 1 and 2 are projected for mastery in less than half of a school year, identify additional target(s).

The description of a target should be modeled along the lines of learning goals.

- A concise description (rubric) of what the leader will know or be able to do
- Of sufficient substance to take at least 6 weeks to accomplish

• Includes scales or progressive levels of progress that mark progress toward mastery of the goal. Rating Scheme

- Unsatisfactory = no significant effort to work on the targets
- Needs Improvement = evidence some of the progress points were accomplished but not all of the targets
- Effective = target accomplished
- Highly effective = exceeded the targets and able to share what was learned with others

Sample:

Target: Leader will be able to provide feedback to classroom teachers on the effectiveness of learning goals with scales in focusing student engagement on mastery of state standards.

Scales: Level 3: Leader develops and i

Level 3: Leader develops and implements a process for monitoring the alignment of classroom assessments to track trends in student success on learning goals.

Level 2: Leader develops and implements a process for routinely visits classes and engaging students in discussion on what they are learning and compares student perceptions with teacher's learning goals. Level 1: Leader can locate standards in the state course description for each course taught at the school and completes the on-line module on Learning Goals (both at <u>www.floridastandards.org</u>) and engages teachers in discussion on how they align instruction and learning goals with course standards.

Deliberate Practice Growth Target

School Leader's Name and Position:	
Evaluators Name and Position:	
Target for school year: <u>2015-16</u> Date Growth Targets Approved:	
School Leader's Signature: Signature	Evaluator's
Deliberate Practice Growth Target #: (Insert target identification nu	mber here, the check one category below)

() District Growth Target	() School Growth Target	() Leader's Growth target
Focus issue(s): Why is the target worth	pursuing?	
Growth Target: Describe what you expe	ct to know or be able to do as a rest	ult of this professional learning effort.
Anticipated Gain(s): What do you hope	to learn?	
•		
Plan of Action: A general description of	how you will go about accomplishin	g the target.
Progress Points: List progress points or you goal	steps toward fulfilling your goal that	enable you to monitor your progress. If
1.		
2.		
3		
Notes:		

Rubric and Narrative Information:

Domain 1 - Student Achievement

Narrative: Student achievement results in the student growth measures (SGM) segment of evaluation represent student results on specific statewide or district assessments or end-of-course exams. The leadership practice segment of the evaluation, through the proficiency areas and indicators in this domain, focuses on <u>leadership</u> <u>behaviors</u> that influence the desired student results.

Narrative: This proficiency area focuses on the leader's knowledge and actions regarding academic standards, use of performance data, planning and goal setting related to targeted student results, and capacities to understand what results are being obtained. This proficiency area is aligned with Florida Principal Leadership Standard #1.

Indicator 1.1 - Academic Standards: The leader demonstrates understanding of student requirements and academic standards (Common Core Standards and Next Generation Sunshine State Standards).

Narrative: Standards-based instruction is an essential element in the state's plan of action for preparing Florida's students for success in a 21st century global economy. This indicator is focused on the leader's understanding of what students are to know and be able to do. School leaders need to know the academic standards teachers are to teach and students are to master.

Note: Every credit course has specific academic standards assigned to it. Common Core Standards and Next Generation Sunshine State Standards (NGSSS) assigned to each course are found at <u>www.floridastandards.org</u>.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of
leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of	actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only	of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or	leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
proficiency for other leaders.	normal variations.	proficiency.	
Every faculty meeting and staff development forum is focused on student achievement on the Common Core Standards and NGSSS, including periodic reviews of student work. The leader can articulate which Common Core Standards are designated for implementation in	The link between standards and student performance is in evidence from the alignment in lesson plans of learning goals, activities and assignments to course standards. The leader is able to recognize whether or not learning goals and student activities are related to	Common Core Standards and NGSSS are accessible to faculty and students. Required training on standards-based instruction has been conducted, but the link between standards and student performance is not readily evident to many faculty or students.	Classroom learning goals and curriculum are not monitored for alignment to standards or are considered a matter of individual discretion regardless of course description requirements. The leader is hesitant to intrude or is indifferent to decisions in the classroom that are at variance from
multiple courses.	standards in the course descriptions.	Assignments and activities in most, but not all courses relate to the standards in the course descriptions.	the requirements of academic standards in the course descriptions.
			Training for the faculty on standards-based instruction does not occur and the leader does not demonstrate knowledge of how to access standards.
Leadership Evidence of pro may be seen in the leader's l		Impact Evidence of leaders in the behaviors or actions or	
Illustrative examples of such are not limited to the followin	-	and/or community. <u>Illustrative</u> evidence may include, but a	e examples of such
 with courses in the mast descriptions and monitor Lesson plans are monitor correct standards. Agendas, memoranda, e communications to facul standards in curriculum, tracking student progress Common Core Standard courses are identified ar Common Core Standard 	etc. reflect leader's ty on the role of state lesson planning, and s. Is shared by multiple ad teachers with shared Is are organized by the ns to coordinate instruction rds.	 standards. Teacher leaders' meeting records verify recurring review of progress on state standards. Students can articulate what they are expected to learn in a course and their perceptions align with standards in the course description. Teachers routinely access course descriptions to maintain alignment of instruction with standards. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	

indicator.		
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient ev	idence to rate current proficier	ncy on this indicator, assign a
proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency	levels below. If not being rated	d at this time, leave blank:
[] Highly Effective [] Effective	[] Needs Improvement	[] Unsatisfactory
Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed th	at reflects current proficiency of	on this indicator? The examples
above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list	of what is expected):	

Reflection Questions for Indicator 1.1

Highly Effective:	Effective:	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory:
Do you routinely share examples of specific leadership, teaching, and curriculum strategies that are associated with improved student achievement on the Common Core Standards or NGSSS?	How do you support teachers' conversations about how they recognize student growth toward mastery of the standards assigned to their courses?	How do you monitor what happens in classrooms to insure that instruction and curriculum are aligned to academic standards?	Where do you find the standards that are required for the courses in your master schedule?

Indicator 1.2 – Performance Data: The leader demonstrates the use of student and adult performance data to make instructional leadership decisions.

Narrative: This indicator addresses the leader's proficiency in use of student and adult performance data to make instructional leadership decisions. What does test data and other sources of student performance data related to targeted academic goals say about what is needed? What does data about teacher proficiency or professional learning needs indicate needs to be done? The focus is what the leader does with data about student and adult performance to make instructional decisions that impact student achievement.

Rating Rubric

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The leader can specifically	The leader uses multiple	The leader is aware of	The leader is unaware of
document examples of	data sources, including	state and district results	or indifferent to the data
decisions in teaching,	state, district, school, and	and has discussed those	about student and adult
assignment, curriculum,	classroom assessments,	results with staff, but has	performance, or fails to
assessment, and	and systematically	not linked specific	use such data as a basis
intervention that have been	examines data at the	decisions to the data.	for making decisions.

made on the basis of data analysis. The leader has coached school administrators in other schools to improve their data analysis skills and to inform instructional decision making.	subscale level to find strengths and challenges. The leader empowers teaching and administrative staff to determine priorities using data on student and adult performance. Data insights are regularly the subject of faculty meetings and professional development sessions.	Data about adult performance (e.g. evaluation feedback data, professional learning needs assessments) are seldom used to inform instructional leadership decisions.	
Leadership Evidence of pro may be seen in the leader's <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such are not limited to the followin	behaviors or actions. evidence may include, but	Impact Evidence of leadersh in the behaviors or actions of and/or community. <u>Illustrative</u> evidence may include, but are following:	the faculty, staff, students examples of such
 performance assessmer leader. Analyses of trends and performance over time a to faculty on instructional Analyses of trends and peedback on faculty prof learning needs are reflect faculty on instructional in Leader's agendas, mem 	are reflected in presentations al improvement needs. Datterns in evaluation iciencies and professional cted in presentations to mprovement needs. oranda, etc. reflect recurring e data and data analyses.	their teams or departmendata analyses.Teacher leaders make p	eetings reflect recurring ormance data. changes in practice within nts based on performance resentations to colleagues data to modify instructional
proficiency level by checking	one of the four proficiency lev	ence to rate current proficiency rels below. If not being rated at [] Needs Improvement	this time, leave blank:
Evidence Log (Specifically,		reflects current proficiency on t	

Reflection Questions for Indicator 1.2

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How do you aggregate	How do you verify that all	By what methods do you	How much of the discussions
data about teacher	faculty have sufficient	enable faculty to participate	with district staff about
proficiencies on	grasp of the significance	in useful discussions about	student performance data
instructional practices to	of student performance	the relationship between	are confusing to you and
stimulate dialogue about	data to formulate rational	student performance data	how do you correct that?

what changes in instruction are needed in order to improve student performance?	improvement plans?	and the instructional actions under the teachers' control?	
--	--------------------	---	--

Indicator 1.3 – Planning and Goal Setting: The leader demonstrates planning and goal setting to improve student achievement.

Narrative: Knowing the standards and making use of performance data is expected to play a significant role in planning and goal setting. This indicator is focused on the leader's alignment of planning and goal setting with improvement of student achievement.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The leader routinely shares examples of specific leadership, teaching, and curriculum strategies that are associated with improved student achievement. Other leaders credit this leader with sharing ideas, coaching, and providing technical assistance to implement successful new initiatives supported by quality planning and goal setting.	Goals and strategies reflect a clear relationship between the actions of teachers and leaders and the impact on student achievement. Results show steady improvements based on these leadership initiatives. Priorities for student growth are established, understood by staff and students, and plans to achieve those priorities are aligned with the actual actions of the staff and students.	Specific and measurable goals related to student achievement are established, but these efforts have yet to result in improved student achievement or planning for methods of monitoring improvements. Priorities for student growth are established in some areas, understood by some staff and students, and plans to achieve those priorities are aligned with the actual actions of some of the staff.	Planning for improvement in student achievement is not evident and goals are neither measurable nor specific. The leader focuses more on student characteristics as an explanation for student results than on the actions of the teachers and leaders in the system.
Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:		Impact Evidence of leaders in the behaviors or actions of and/or community. <u>Illustrative</u> evidence may include, but ar following:	the faculty, staff, students e examples of such

 Clearly stated goals are accessible to faculty and students. Agendas, memoranda, and other documents reflect a comprehensive planning process that resulted in formulation of the adopted goals. Leader's presentations to faculty provide recurring updates on the status of plan implementation and progress toward goals. Leader's presentations to parents focus on the school goals for student achievement. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 Faculty members are able to describe their participation in planning and goal setting processes. Goals relevant to students and teachers' actions are evident and accessible. Students are able to articulate the goals for their achievement which emerged from faculty and school leader planning. Teachers and students track their progress toward accomplishment of the stated goals. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evide proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency level by checking one of the four profice of the four profile o	
	[] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory
Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of	· · ·

Reflection Questions for Indicator 1.3

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What methods of sharing	How will you monitor	How do you engage more	How are other school leaders
successful planning	progress toward the	faculty in the planning	implementing planning and
processes with other	goals so that adjustments	process so that there is a	goal setting?
school leaders are most	needed are evident in	uniform faculty	
likely to generate district-	time to make "course	understanding of the goals	
wide improvements?	corrections?"	set?	

Indicator 1.4 - Student Achievement Results: The leader demonstrates evidence of student improvement through student achievement results.

Narrative: Engagement with the standards, using data, making plans and setting goals are important. This indicator shifts focus to the leader's use of evidence of actual improvement to build support for continued effort and further improvement.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
A consistent record of	The leader reaches the required numbers, meeting	Accumulation and	Evidence of student
improved student		exhibition of student	improvement is not

achievement exists on multiple indicators of student success.	performance goals for student achievement.	improvement results are inconsistent or untimely.	routinely gathered and used to promote further growth.
Student success occurs not only on the overall averages, but in each group of historically disadvantaged students. Explicit use of previous data indicates that the leader has focused on improving performance. In areas of previous success, the leader aggressively identifies new challenges, moving proficient performance to the exemplary level. Where new challenges emerge, the leader highlights the need, creates effective interventions, and reports improved results.	Results on accomplished goals are used to maintain gains and stimulate future goal setting. The average of the student population improves, as does the achievement of each group of students who have previously been identified as needing improvement.	Some evidence of improvement exists, but there is insufficient evidence of using such improvements to initiate changes in leadership, teaching, and curriculum that will create the improvements necessary to achieve student performance goals. The leader has taken some decisive actions to make some changes in time, teacher assignment, curriculum, leadership practices, or other variables in order to improve student achievement, but additional actions are needed to generate improvements for all	Indifferent to the data about learning needs, the leader blames students, families, and external characteristics for insufficient progress. The leader does not believe that student achievement can improve. The leader has not taken decisive action to change time, teacher assignment, curriculum, leadership practices, or other variables in order to improve student achievement.
Leadership Evidence of pro	ficiency on this indicator	students. Impact Evidence of leaders	hip proficiency may be seen
may be seen in the leader's I <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such are not limited to the followin	behaviors or actions. evidence may include, but	in the behaviors or actions or and/or community. <u>Illustrative</u> evidence may include, but an following:	f the faculty, staff, students <u>e examples</u> of such
 The leader generates data improvements have occurs. Agendas, memoranda, a faculty and students commade and relate that prostudent capacity to make. Evidence on student improshared with parents. Other leadership evident indicator. 	urred. and other documents for nmunicate the progress ogress to teacher and e further gains. provement is routinely	 Teachers routinely inform student progress on inst Posters and other inform student improvements a and community. 	national signage informing of re distributed in the school neetings' minutes reflect student improvements.
Scale Levels: (choose one) proficiency level by checking [] Highly Effective		rels below. If not being rated a [] Needs Improvement	t this time, leave blank: [] Unsatisfactory
	what has been observed that not reflect an exclusive list of		this indicator? The examples

Proficiency Area 2. <u>Student Learning as a Priority</u>: Effective school leaders demonstrate that student learning is their top priority through effective leadership actions that build and support a learning organization focused on student success.

Reflection Questions for Indicator 1.4

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How do you share with other school leaders how to use student improvement results to raise expectations and improve future results?	How do you engage students in sharing examples of their growth with other students?	How do you engage faculty in routinely sharing examples of student improvement?	What processes should you employ to gather data on student improvements?

Narrative: This proficiency area is aligned with Florida Principal Leadership Standard #2. A learning organization has essential elements regarding the behavior of people in the organization. When all elements are present and interacting, productive systemic change is possible. This proficiency area is focused on the degree to which learning organization elements exist in the school and reflect the following priorities on student learning:

- Supports for personal mastery of each person's job focus on job aspects related to student learning
- Team learning among faculty is focused on student learning
- Processes for exploring and challenging mental models that hamper understanding and progress on student learning are in use
- A shared vision has student learning as a priority
- Systems thinking is employed to align various aspects of school life in ways that promote learning

Indicator 2.1 – Learning Organization: The leader enables faculty and staff to work as a system focused on student learning and engages faculty and staff in efforts to close learning performance gaps among student subgroups within the school.

Narrative: Are the elements of a learning organization present among the adults in the school? Are the learning organization elements focused on student learning? Is the system in operation at the school engaging faculty in improving results for under-achieving subgroups? This indicator addresses the systemic processes that make gap reduction possible. Is the leader proficient in building capacity for change?

Note: Indicator 5.4 from Florida Principal Leadership Standard #5 addresses actual success in reducing achievement gaps.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
---	---	---	---

The essential elements of a learning organization (i.e. personal mastery of competencies, team learning, examination of mental models, shared vision, and systemic thinking) are focused on improving student learning results. Positive trends are evident in closing learning performance gaps among all student subgroups within the school. There is evidence that the interaction among the elements of the learning organization deepen the impact on student learning. The leader routinely shares with colleagues throughout the district the effective leadership practices learned from proficient implementation of the essential elements of a learning organization.	The leader's actions reflect attention to building an organization where the essential elements of a learning organization (i.e. personal mastery of competencies, team learning, examination of mental models, shared vision, and systemic thinking) are emerging, but processes that support each of the essential elements are not fully implemented, or are not yet consistently focused on student learning as the priority, or are not focused on closing learning performance gaps among student subgroups within the school.	There is no or minimal evidence of proactive leadership that supports emergence of a learning organization focused on student learning as the priority function of the organization. Any works in progress on personal mastery of instructional competencies, team learning processes, examinations of mental models, a shared vision of outcomes sought, or systemic thinking about instructional practices are not aligned or are not organized in ways that impact student achievement gaps.
Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:	Impact Evidence of leaders in the behaviors or actions or and/or community. <u>Illustrative</u> evidence may include, but an following:	f the faculty, staff, students e examples of such
 Principal's support for team learning processes focused on student learning is evident throughout the school year. Principal's team learning processes are focused on student learning. Principal's meeting agendas reflect student learning topics routinely taking precedence over other issues as reflected by place on the agenda and time committed to the issues. School Improvement Plan reflects a systemic analysis of the actionable causes of gaps in student performance and contains goals that support systemic improvement. The principal supports through personal action, professional learning by self and faculty, exploration of mental models, team learning, shared vision, and systems thinking practices focused on improving student learning. 	 Team learning practices faculty and focused on p student subgroups withi Professional learning ac performance gaps amor the school. Performance gaps amor the school show improve Faculty, department, teameetings focus on stude Data Teams, Profession and/or Lesson Study gror recurring meetings and issues. Faculty and staff talk ab larger than themselves, 	berformance gaps among in the school. tions by faculty address ing student subgroups within ang student subgroups within ement trends. am, and cross-curricular ent learning. aal Learning Communities,

 create improved outcomes Other leadership evidence	of proficiency on this	vels below. If not being rated	e of proficiency on this
indicator. Scale Levels: (choose one) Wh	here there is sufficient evic		cy on this indicator, assign a
proficiency level by checking on	he of the four proficiency le		at this time, leave blank:
[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs Improvement	[] Unsatisfactory

Reflection Questions for Indicator 2.1

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
Has your leadership resulted in people continually expanding their capacity to create the results they truly	Where the essential elements of a learning organization are in place and interacting, how do you monitor what you are	What essential elements of a learning organization have supports in place and which need development?	What happens in schools that are effective learning organizations that does not happen in this school?
desire? Is there evidence that new and expansive patterns of thinking are nurtured? Are the people who make up your school community continually learning to see the "big picture" (i.e. the systemic connections between practices and processes)?	creating collectively is focused on student learning needs and making a difference for all students?	Understanding that systemic change does not occur unless all of the essential elements of the learning organization are in operation, interacting, and focused on student learning as their priority function, what gaps do you need to fill in your supporting processes and what leadership actions will enable all faculty and staff to get involved?	How can you initiate work toward a learning organization by developing effective collaborative work systems (e.g., Data Teams, Professional Learning Communities, Lesson Studies)?

Indicator 2.2 – School Climate: The leader maintains a school climate that supports student engagement in learning.

Narrative: "Climate" at a school is determined by how people treat one another and what is respected and what is not. School leaders who promote a school climate where learning is respected, effort is valued, improvement is recognized, and it is safe to acknowledge learning needs have provided students support for sustained engagement in learning.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The leader ensures that the school's identity and climate (e.g., vision, mission, values, beliefs, and goals) actually drives decisions and informs the climate of the school. Respect for students' cultural, linguistic and family background is evident in the leader's conduct and expectations for the faculty. The leader is proactive in guiding faculty in adapting the learning environment to accommodate the differing needs and diversity of students. School-wide values, beliefs, and goals are supported by individual and class behaviors through a well-planned management system.	The leader systematically (e.g., has a plan, with goals, measurable strategies, and recurring monitoring) establishes and maintains a school climate of collaboration, distributed leadership, and continuous improvement, which guides the disciplined thoughts and actions of all staff and students. Policies and the implementation of those policies result in a climate of respect for student learning needs and cultural, linguistic and family background. Classroom practices on adapting the learning environment to accommodate the differing needs and diversity of students are consistently applied throughout the school.	Some practices promote respect for student learning needs and cultural, linguistic and family background, but there are discernable subgroups who do not perceive the school climate as supportive of their needs. The school climate does not generate a level of school-wide student engagement that leads to improvement trends in all student subgroups. The leader provides school rules and class management practices that promote student engagement and are fairly implemented across all subgroups. Classroom practices on adapting the learning environment to accommodate the differing needs and diversity of students are inconsistently applied.	Student and/or faculty apathy in regard to student achievement and the importance of learning is easily discernable across the school population and there are no or minimal leadership actions to change school climate. Student subgroups are evident that do not perceive the school as focused on or respectful of their learning needs or cultural, linguistic and family background or there is no to minimal support for managing individual and class behaviors through a well-planned management system.
Leadership Evidence of promay be seen in the leader's lea	behaviors or actions. evidence may include, but	Impact Evidence of leaders in the behaviors or actions of and/or community. <u>Illustrative</u> evidence may include, but ar following:	f the faculty, staff, students <u>e examples</u> of such
 The leader organizes, allocates, and manages the resources of time, space, and attention so that the needs of all student subgroups are recognized and addressed. There are recurring examples of the leader's presentations, documents, and actions that reflect respect for students' cultural, linguistic and family 		 Classroom rules and po positive expectations an All student subgroups pa and activities. A multi-tiered system of 	d not just "do nots." articipate in school events supports that ring needs and diversity of

 background. The leader maintains a climate of openness and inquiry and supports student and faculty access to leadership. The school's vision, mission, values, beliefs, and goals reflect an expectation that student learning needs and cultural, linguistic and family backgrounds are respected and school rules consistent with those beliefs are routinely implemented. Professional learning is provided to sustain faculty understanding of student needs. Procedures are in place and monitored to ensure students have effective means to express concerns over any aspect of school climate. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 Students in all subgroups express a belief that the school responds to their needs and is a positive influence on their future well-being. Walkthroughs provide recurring trends of high student engagement in lessons. Student services staff/counselors' anecdotal evidence shows trends in student attitudes toward the school and engagement in learning. Teacher/student/parent survey or questionnaire results reflect a school climate that supports student engagement in learning. The availability of and student participation in academic supports outside the classroom that assist student engagement in learning. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 		
Indicator. Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evidence to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency levels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank: [] Highly Effective [] Effective [] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):			

Reflection Questions for Indicator 2.2

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
In what ways might you further extend your reach within the district to help others benefit from your knowledge and skill in establishing and maintaining a school climate that supports student engagement in learning?	What strategies have you considered that would ensure that the school's identity and climate (e.g., vision, mission, values, beliefs, and goals) actually drives decisions and informs the climate of the school? How could you share with your colleagues across the district the successes (or failures) of your efforts?	How might you structure a plan that establishes and maintains a school climate of collaboration, distributed leadership, and continuous improvement, which guides the disciplined thought and action of all staff and students?	What might be the importance of developing a shared vision, mission, values, beliefs, and goals to establish and maintain a school climate that supports student engagement in learning?

Indicator 2.3 – High Expectations: The leader generates high expectations for learning growth by all students.

Narrative: The leader who expects little from students and faculty will get less than they are capable of accomplishing. "Every child can learn" takes on new meaning when supported by faculty and school leader expectations that students can and will learn a lot...not just a minimum to get by. Expecting quality is a measure of respect.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The leader incorporates community members and other stakeholder groups into the establishment and support of high academic expectations. The leader benchmarks expectations to the performance of the state's, nation's, and world's highest performing schools. The leader creates systems and approaches to monitor the level of academic expectations. The leader encourages a culture in which students are able to clearly articulate their diverse personal academic goals.	The leader systematically (e.g., has a plan, with goals, measurable strategies, and a frequent monitoring schedule) creates and supports high academic expectations by empowering teachers and staff to set high and demanding academic expectations for every student. The leader ensures that students are consistently learning, respectful, and on task. The leader sets clear expectations for student academics and establishing consistent practices across classrooms. The leader ensures the use of instructional practices with proven effectiveness in creating success for all students, including those with diverse characteristics and needs.	The leader creates and supports high academic expectations by setting clear expectations for student academics, but is inconsistent or occasionally fails to hold all students to these expectations. The leader sets expectations, but fails to empower teachers to set high expectations for student academic performance.	The leader does not create or support high academic expectations by accepting poor academic performance. The leader fails to set high expectations or sets unrealistic or unattainable goals. Perceptions among students, faculty, or community that academic shortcomings of student subgroups are explained by inadequacy of parent involvement, community conditions, or student apathy are not challenged by the school leader.

Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:	Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and staff. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:
 School Improvement Plan targets meaningful growth beyond what normal variation might provide. Test specification documents and state standards are used to identify levels of student performance and performance at the higher levels of implementation is stressed. Samples of written feedback provided to teachers regarding student goal setting practices are focused on high expectations. Agendas/Minutes from collaborative work systems (e.g., Data Teams, Professional Learning Communities) address processes for "raising the bar." Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 Rewards and recognitions are aligned with efforts for the more difficult rather than easier outcomes. Learning goals routinely identify performance levels above the targeted implementation level. Teachers can attest to the leader's support for setting high academic expectations. Students can attest to the teacher's high academic expectations. Parents can attest to the teacher's high academic expectations. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evide proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency lev [] Highly Effective [] Effective	
	reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples

Reflection Questions for Indicator 2.3

Reflection Questions			
Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What strategies have you considered using that would increase the professional knowledge opportunities for colleagues across the school district in the area of setting high academic expectations for students?	How might you incorporate community members and other stakeholder groups into the establishment and support of high academic expectations?	What are 2-3 key strategies you have thought about using that would increase your consistency in creating and supporting high academic expectations for every student?	What might be some strategies you could use to create or support high academic expectations of students?

Indicator 2.4 – Student Performance Focus: The leader demonstrates understanding of present levels of student performance based on routine assessment processes that reflect the current reality of student proficiency on academic standards.

Narrative: Lots of talk about high expectations, goal setting, working hard, rigor, and getting results is important, but leaders need to know where students' actual performance levels are to be able to track real progress. Knowing annual test results is useful, but it is not enough. What does the leader do to know whether progress is being made or not and whether "mid-course" corrections are required?

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
Assessment data generated at the school level provides an on-going perspective of the current reality of student proficiency on academic standards. There is evidence of decisive changes in teacher assignments and curriculum based on student and adult performance data. Case studies of effective decisions based on performance data are shared widely with other leaders and throughout the district.	Each academic standard has been analyzed and translated into student- accessible language and processes for tracking student progress are in operation. Power (high priority) standards are widely shared by faculty members and are visible throughout the building. Assessments on student progress on them are a routine event. The link between standards and student performance is in evidence from the posting of proficient student work throughout the building.	Standards have been analyzed, but are not translated into student- accessible language. School level assessments are inconsistent in their alignment with the course standards. Power (high priority) standards are developed, but not widely known or used by faculty, and/or are not aligned with assessment data on student progress. Student work is posted, but does not reflect proficient work throughout the building.	There is no or minimal coordination of assessment practices to provide on-going data about student progress toward academic standards. School level assessments are not monitored for alignment with the implementation level of the standards. No processes in use to analyze standards and identify assessment priorities. No high priority standards are identified and aligned with assessment practices.
 Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Documents, charts, graphs, tables, and other forms of graphic displays reflecting students' current levels of performance are routinely used by the leader to communicate "current realities." Documents, charts, graphs, tables, and other forms of graphic displays reflect trend lines over time on student growth on learning priorities. Teacher schedule changes are based on student data. 		Current examples of stu	f the faculty, staff, students <u>e examples</u> of such re not limited to the ogress practices. progress on learning goals. dent work are posted with cting how the work aligns

Curriculum materials changes are based on student data.
Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator.

Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evidence to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency levels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank:

<u>Proficiency Area 3</u>. Instructional Plan Implementation: Effective school leaders work collaboratively to develop and implement an instructional framework that aligns curriculum with state standards, effective instructional practices, student learning needs, and assessments.

[] Highly Effective[] Effective[] Needs Improvement[] UnsatisfactoryEvidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples
above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected).[] Unsatisfactory

Reflection Questions for Indicator 2.4

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What data other than end	What data other than end	What data other than end of	What data other than end of
of year state	of year state	year state assessments	year state assessments
assessments would be	assessments would be	would be helpful in	would be helpful in
helpful in understanding	helpful in understanding	understanding student	understanding student
student progress at least	student progress on at	progress on at least a semi-	progress?
every 3-4 weeks?	least a quarterly basis?	annual basis?	

Domain 2 - Instructional Leadership

Narrative: School leaders do many things. Domain 2 of the FSLA addresses a core of leader behaviors that impact the quality of essential elements for student learning growth. The skill sets and knowledge bases employed for this domain generate 40% of the FSLA Score. The success of the school leader in providing a quality instructional framework, appropriately focused faculty development, and a student oriented learning environment are essential to student achievement.

Narrative: Proficiency Area 3 is focused on Florida Principal Leadership Standard #3 (FPLS). Aligning the key issues identified in the indicators into an efficient system is the leader's responsibility. This area stresses the leader's proficiency at understanding the current reality of what faculty and students know and can do regarding priority practices and goals.

Indicator 3.1 – FEAPs: The leader aligns the school's instructional programs and practices with the Florida Educator Accomplished Practices (Rule 6A-5.065, F.A.C.) and models use of the Florida common language of instruction to guide faculty and staff implementation of the foundational principles and practices.

Narrative: Indicator 3.1 is focused on the school leader's understanding of the Florida Educator Accomplished Practices (FEAPs) and ability to use Florida's common language of instruction. To be effective participants in school, district and statewide communities of practice working collegially for high quality implementation of the FEAPs, educators at the school level must be able to communicate and organize their efforts using the terms and concepts in

the FEAPs and the Florida common language of instruction. This indicator is about the school leader's proficiency in making that happen by using a core set of expectations (the FEAPs) and terminology (the common language) to guide and focus teacher discussions on instructional improvements. Florida's common language of instruction is used so that educators in Florida use the core terms in the same way and with a common understanding.

Note: The FEAPs, a FEAPs brochure, and Florida's common language may be explored at http://www.floridaschoolleaders.org.

Rating Rubric

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The instructional program and practices are fully aligned with the FEAPs. Faculty and staff implementation of the FEAPs is consistently proficient and professional conversations among school leadership and faculty about instruction use the Florida common language of instruction and the terminology of the FEAPs. The leader's use of FEAPs and common language resources results in all	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader's use of FEAPs content and terms from the common language is a routine event and most instructional activities align with the FEAPs. Coordinated processes are underway that link progress on student learning growth with proficient FEAPs implementation. The leader's use of FEAPs and common language resources results in most faculty at the school site	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. The leader demonstrates some use of the FEAPs and common language to focus faculty on instructional improvement, but is inconsistent in addressing the FEAPs. The leader's use of FEAPs and common language resources results in some faculty at the school site having access to and making use of the FEAPs and common language. There are gaps in alignment of ongoing	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. There is no or minimal evidence that the principles and practices of the FEAPs are presented to the faculty as priority expectations. The leader does not give evidence of being conversant with the FEAPs or the common language. The leader's use of FEAPs and common language resources results in few faculty at the school site having access to and making use of the FEAPs
educators at the school site having access to and making use of the FEAPs and common language. Teacher-leaders at the school use the FEAPs and common language.	having access to and making use of the FEAPs and common language. The leader uses the common language to enable faculty to recognize connections between the FEAPs, the district's evaluation indicators, and contemporary research on	instructional practices at the school site with the FEAPs. There is some correct use of terms in the common language but errors or omissions are evident.	and common language.
Leadership Evidence of pro may be seen in the leader's	behaviors or actions.	Impact Evidence of leaders in the behaviors or actions of	the faculty, staff, students,
Illustrative examples of such	evidence may include, but	and/or community. Illustrative	e examples of such

are not limited to the following:	evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:
 The leader's documents, agendas, memorandum, etc. make reference to the content of the FEAPs and make correct use of the common language. School improvement documents reflect concepts from the FEAPs and common language. The leader can articulate the instructional practices set forth in the FEAPs. Faculty meetings focus on issues related to the FEAPs. The leader's monitoring practices result in written feedback to faculty on quality of alignment of instructional practice with the FEAPs. The leader's communications to parents and other stakeholders reflect use of FEAPs and common language references. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 Teachers are conversant with the content of the FEAPs. Teachers can describe their primary instructional practices using the terms and concepts in the FEAPs. Teachers use the common language and attribute their use to the leader providing access to the online resources. School level support programs for new hires include training on the FEAPs. FEAPs brochures and excerpts from the common language are readily accessible to faculty. Faculty members are able to connect indicators in the district's instructional evaluation system with the FEAPs. Sub-ordinate leaders (e.g. teacher leaders, assistant principals) use FEAPs and common language terms accurately in their communications. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evide proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency lev [] Highly Effective [] Effective	nce to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a
Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of	eflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples

Reflection Questions for Indicator 3.1

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How are you able to provide specific feedback to teachers on improving proficiency in the FEAPs and/or common language?	How do you recognize practices reflected in the FEAPs and/or common language as you conduct teacher observations?	Do you review the FEAPs and/or common language resources frequently enough to be able to recall the main practices and principles contained in them?	Do you know where to find the text of the FEAPs and common language?

Indicator 3.2 – Standards-Based Instruction: The leader delivers an instructional program that implements the state's adopted academic standards (Common Core and NGSSS) in a manner that is rigorous and culturally relevant to the students by:

- aligning academic standards, effective instruction and leadership, and student performance practices with system objectives, improvement planning, faculty proficiency needs, and appropriate instructional goals, and
- communicating to faculty the cause and effect relationship between effective instruction on academic standards and student performance.

Narrative: Florida's plan of action for educating our children for the 21st century is based on standards-based instruction. Course descriptions specify the standards that are to be learned in each course. All of the course content in courses for which students receive credit toward promotion/graduation is expected to be focused on the standards in the course description. This indicator addresses the leader's proficiency at making sure all students receive rigorous, culturally relevant standards-based instruction by aligning key practices with the state's academic standards (Common Core, NGSSS, Access Points). The leader does what is necessary to make sure faculty recognize and act on the cause and effect relationship between good instruction (i.e., research-based strategies, rigorous, culturally relevant,) on the "right stuff" (the state standards adapted based on data about student needs).

Note: Course descriptions and the standards for each course may be explored at www.floridastandards.org.

vement:	Unsatisfactory: Leader's
ons or impact	actions or impact of
tions relevant	leader's actions relevant to
or are evident	this indicator are minimal
sistent or of	or are not occurring, or are
ope or	having an adverse impact.
sure that	evidence that the leader
are learning	monitors the alignment of
state	instruction with state
the course.	standards, or the rigor and
aligned with in some delivered in a her in some culturally ome students. Is processes to ess in some loes not hake s in a timely	cultural relevance of instruction across the grades and subjects. The leader limits opportunities for all students to meet high expectations by allowing or ignoring practices in curriculum and instruction that are culturally, racially, or ethnically insensitive and/or inappropriate. The leader does not know and/or chooses not to interact with staff about teaching using research- based instructional strategies to obtain high levels of achievement for all students.

growth. Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: • The leader's faculty, department, grade-level meeting agendas, minutes, and other documents focus on the alignment of curriculum and instruction with state standards. • School Improvement Plan goals and actions are	Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff, students and/or community. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: • Faculty members routinely access or provide evidence of using content from www.floridastandards.org • Faculty has and makes use of the list of standards associated with their course(s).
 Inked to targeted academic standards. The leader's presentations to faculty on proficiency expectations include illustrations of what "rigor" and "culturally relevant" mean. Monitoring documents indicate frequent review of research-based instructional practices regarding alignment, rigor and cultural relevance. Results of monitoring on research-based instruction are used to increase alignment to standards, rigor, and/ or cultural relevance. School's financial documents reflect expenditures supporting standards-based instruction, rigor, and/or cultural relevance. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 Activities and assignments are aligned with standards applicable to the course and those connections are conveyed to students. Teachers can describe a school wide "plan of action" that aligns curriculum and standards and provide examples of how they implement that plan in their courses. Teachers attest to the leader's efforts to preserve instructional time for standards-based instruction. Teachers attest to the leader's frequent monitoring of research-based instructional practices and application of those practices in pursuit of student progress on the course standards. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.
	rels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank: I Needs Improvement I Unsatisfactory reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples

Reflection Questions for Indicator 3.2

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What procedures might	In what ways can you	What might be 2-3 key	Where do you go to find out
you establish to increase	offer professional	leadership strategies that	what standards are to be
your ability to help your	learning for individual	would help you to	addressed in each course?
colleagues lead the implementation of the	and collegial groups within the school or	systematically act on the belief that all students can	How might you open up
district's curriculum to	district that illustrate how	learn at high levels?	opportunities for all students
provide instruction that is	to provide rigor and	How can your leadership in	to meet high expectations through your leadership in
standards-based,	cultural relevance when	curriculum and instruction	curriculum and instruction?
rigorous, and culturally relevant?	delivering instruction on the standards?	convey respect for the diversity of students and	Do you have processes to monitor how students spend
What can you share	How do you engage	staff?	their learning time?
about your leadership	teachers in deliberate	How might you increase the	

actions to ensure that staff members have adequate time and support, and effective monitoring and feedback on proficiency in use of research-based instruction focused on the standards?	practice focused on mastery of standards- based instruction?	consistency with which you monitor and support staff to effectively use research- based instruction to meet the learning needs of all students? What are ways you can ensure that staff members are aligning their instructional practices with state standards?	In what ways are you monitoring teacher implementation of effective, research-based instruction? In what ways are you monitoring teacher instruction in the state's academic standards?
--	--	--	--

Indicator 3.3 – Learning Goals Alignments: The leader implements recurring monitoring and feedback processes to insure that priority learning goals established for students are based on the state's adopted student academic standards as defined in state course descriptions, presented in student accessible forms, and accompanied by scales or rubric to guide tracking progress toward student mastery.

Narrative: "Learning goals" is a high-effect size strategy that uses scales or progressive levels to monitor student growth on the way to mastery of a state academic standard. Learning goals typically take 2-9 weeks of student time to master so are more comprehensive than daily objectives. The essential issue is that the teacher creates "scales" or levels of progress toward mastery of the learning goal. Teacher and students use those scales to track progress toward mastery of the goal(s). This indicator addresses the leader's proficiency at monitoring and providing feedback on teacher and student use of priority learning goals with scales. The leader is expected to go beyond low levels of monitoring that address whether the teacher provides such goals and attends to the levels of student understanding and engagement with the learning goals. Do the students pursue those goals? Do they track their own progress? Is celebrations of success on learning goals focused on how success was achieved more than that is was obtained?

Note: Beginning in the 2012-13 school year, professional learning about learning goals and sample learning goals may be explored at <u>www.floridastandards.org</u>, <u>www.floridaschoolleaders.org</u>, and <u>www.startwithsuccess.org</u>.

Rating Rubric

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
Recurring leadership involvement in the improvement in quality of daily classroom practice is evident and is focused on student progress on priority learning goals.	Clearly stated learning goals accompanied by a scale or rubric that describes measurable levels of performance, aligned to the state's adopted student academic standards, is an	Specific and measurable learning goals with progress scales, aligned to the state's adopted student academic standards in the course description, are in use in some but not most	Clearly stated priority learning goals accompanied by a scale or rubric that describes levels of performance relative to the learning goal are <u>not</u> systematically provided across the curriculum to

		6.0	
Routine and recurring practices are evident that support celebration of student success in accomplishing priority learning goals and such celebrations focus on how the success was obtained. The leader routinely shares examples of effective learning goals that are associated with improved student achievement. Other leaders credit this leader with sharing ideas, coaching, and providing technical assistance to implement successful use of leaning goals in	instructional strategy in routine use in courses school wide. Standards-based instruction is an evident priority in the school and student results on incremental measures of success, like progress on learning goals, are routinely monitored and acknowledged. The formats or templates used to express learning goals and scales are adapted to support the complexity of the expectations and the learning needs of the students.	of the courses. Learning goals are posted/provided in some classes are not current, do not relate to the students current assignments and/or activities, or are not recognized by the students as priorities for their own effort. Learning goals tend to be expressed at levels of text complexity not accessible by the targeted students and/or at levels of complexity too simplified to promote mastery of the associated standards. Processes that enable students and teachers to	guide student learning, <u>or</u> learning goals, where provided, are <u>not</u> aligned to state standards in the course description. The leader engages in minimal to non-existent monitoring and feedback practices on the quality and timeliness of information provided to students on what they are expected to know and be able to do (i.e. no alignment of learning goals with state standards for the course). There are minimal or no leadership practices to monitor faculty practices
standards-based instruction.	Clearly stated learning goals aligned to state or district initiatives in support of student reading skills are in use school wide.	students and teachers to track progress toward mastery of priority learning goals are not widely implemented throughout the school.	on tracking student progress on priority learning goals.
Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:		Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff, students and/or community. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:	
 Agendas, meeting minutes, and memoranda to the faculty make evident a focus on importance of learning goals with scales to engage students in focusing on what they are to understand and be able to do. The leader's practices on teacher observation and feedback routinely address learning goals and tracking student progress. The leader provides coaching or other assistance to teachers struggling with use of the learning goals strategy. Procedures are in place to monitor and promote faculty collegial discussion on the implementation levels of learning goals to promote alignment with the implementation level of the associated state standards. Leader's communications to students provide evidence of support of students making progress on learning goals. 		 Clearly stated learning goals accompanied by a scale or rubric that describes levels of performance relative to the learning goal are posted or easily assessable to students. Teams or departments meet regularly to discuss the quality of learning goals with scales being employed and adapt them based on student success rates. Teacher lesson plans provide evidence of the connection of planned activities and assignments to learning goals. Teacher documents prepared for parent information make clear the targeted learning goals for the students. Students are able to express their learning goals during walkthroughs or classroom observations. Students are able to explain the relationship between current activities and assignments and priory learning goals. 	
Okeechobee County Scho	pol District		Page 34

 Progress monitoring of adult and student performance on targeted priority learning goals is documented, charted, and posted in high traffic areas of the school. Evidence of the leader's intervention(s) with teachers who do not provide learning goals that increase students' opportunities for success. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 teams routinely discuss learning goals and scales for progression Methods of both teachers and students tracking student progress toward learning goals are evident. Celebrations of student success include reflections by teachers and students on the reasons for the success Teachers can identify the learning goals that result in the high levels of student learning. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator 	
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evid proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency level		
[] Highly Effective [] Effective	[] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory	
Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):		

Reflection Questions for Indicator 3.3

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What specific strategies have you employed to measure improvements in teaching and innovations in use of learning goals and how can you use such measures as predictors of improved student achievement?	What system supports are in place to ensure that the best ideas and thinking on learning goals are shared with colleagues and are a priority of collegial professional learning?	To what extent do learning goals presented to the students reflect a clear relationship between the course standards and the assignments and activities students are given?	What have I done to deepen my understanding of the connection between the instructional strategies of learning goals and tracking student progress?

Indicator 3.4 – Curriculum Alignments: Systemic processes are implemented to ensure alignment of curriculum resources with state standards for the courses taught.

Narrative: Academic standards are determined at the state level and the curriculum used to enable students to master those standards is determined at the district and school level. Curriculum must be aligned with the standards if it is to support standards-based instruction. Curriculum resources may or may not be fully aligned with the standards assigned to a specific course. The learning needs of students in specific classes may require additional or adapted curriculum materials to address issues of rigor, cultural relevance, or support for needed learning goals. School leaders maintain processes to monitor the appropriateness and alignment of curriculum to standards and intervene to make adjustments as needed to enable students to access curriculum that supports the standards.

Note: Where gaps or misalignments are noted by the processes addressed in this indicator, the leader's actions relevant to Indicator 8.2 (Strategic Instructional Resourcing) should be addressed.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to
this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The leader routinely engages faculty in processes to improve the quality of curriculum resources in regard to their alignment with standards and impact on student	Specific and recurring procedures are in place to monitor the quality of alignment between curriculum resources and standards.	Processes to monitor alignment of curriculum resources with standards in the course descriptions are untimely or not comprehensive across the curriculum.	There are no or minimal processes managed by the leader to verify that curriculum resources are aligned with the standards in the course descriptions.
achievement and supports replacing resources as more effective ones are available. The leader is proactive in	Procedures under the control of the leader for acquiring new curriculum resources include assessment of alignment	Efforts to align curriculum with standards are emerging but have not yet resulted in improved student achievement.	
 engaging other school leaders in sharing feedback on identification and effective use of curriculum resources that are associated with improved student achievement. Parents and community members credit this leader with sharing ideas or curriculum supports that enable home and community to support student mastery of priority standards. 	with standards. Curriculum resources aligned to state standards by resource publishers/developers are used school wide to focus instruction on state standards, and state, district, or school supplementary materials are routinely used that identify and fill gaps, and align instruction with the implementation level of the standards.	Curriculum resources aligned to state standards by text publishers/developers are used school wide to focus instruction on state standards, but there is no to minimal use of state, district, or school supplementary materials that identify and fill gaps, and align instruction with the implementation level of the standards.	
Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:		Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff, students and/or community. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:	
 Curriculum is presented to faculty and students as the content reflected in course descriptions rather than the content in a textbook. School procedures for acquisition of instructional materials include assessment of their usefulness in helping students' master state standards and include processes to address gaps or misalignments. 		 Teachers can describe t weaknesses of primary t with standards in the sta Students are able to cha other school provided re student mastery of cours Pacing guides focus ass 	texts in regard to alignment te course description. aracterize text books and sources tools as aids in se standards.

 Course descriptions play a larger role in focusing course content than do test item specification documents. Agendas, meeting minutes, and memoranda to the faculty make evident a focus on importance of curriculum being a vehicle for enabling students to master standards in the course description. Media center acquisitions reflect a systematic effort to build curriculum supports that support student mastery of content standards at various levels of implementation. NGSSS and Common Core standards are routinely used to frame discussions on the quality and sufficiency of curriculum support materials. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 standards rather than coverage of chapters in a text. Documents can be presented that inform of the alignment between curriculum resources and standards for the course. Teachers can identify supplementary material used to deepen student mastery of standards. Parent feedback/questionnaire results indicate recognition that the school is focused on standards-based instruction rather than covering topics or chapters. Student feedback/questionnaire results indicate recognition that the curriculum is focused on what students are to understand and be able to do. Results on student growth measures show steady improvements in student learning. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 		
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evidence to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency levels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank: [] Highly Effective [] Effective [] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory			
Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):			

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What system is in place to ensure that your best ideas and thinking on using curriculum to enable students to master standards are shared with colleagues, particularly when there is evidence at your school of improved student achievement?	What specific school improvement strategies have you employed to measure improvements in teaching and innovations in curriculum that serve as predictors of improved student achievement?	How can you monitor whether the activities and assignments student get that involve use of curriculum resources are aligned with learning goals and standards?	Do you know which standards are addressed in your curriculum?

Indicator 3.5 – Quality Assessments: The leader ensures the appropriate use of high quality formative and interim assessments aligned with the adopted standards and curricula.

Narrative: How do we know what our students already know, what they need to know, and how they are doing as we move forward with instruction? The school leader needs "assessment literacy" to address these questions. Where indicator 1.2 addresses the leader's proficiency in use of student performance data, this indicator focuses on actions taken at the school site to generate interim assessment data and make sure faculty use formative assessment practices to monitor and adjust instruction. Assessment of student progress toward academic standards is an important aspect of tracking student progress. Leaders need to make use of data on interim and formative assessments to guide goal setting and progress monitoring. They need to provide teachers access to quality assessment data to inform a variety of decisions regarding such issues as resource allocations, student and teacher schedules, professional learning impacts, and adjustments in plans.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The leader uses a variety of creative ways to provide professional learning for individual and collegial groups within the district	The leader systematically seeks, synthesizes, and applies knowledge and skills of assessment literacy and data analysis.	The leader haphazardly applies rudimentary knowledge and skills of assessment literacy and is unsure of how to build	The leader has little knowledge and/or skills of assessment literacy and data analysis.
focused on applying the knowledge and skills of assessment literacy, data analysis, and the use of	The leader routinely shares knowledge with staff to increase students'	knowledge and develop skills of assessment literacy and data analysis.	There is little or no evidence of interaction with staff concerning assessments.
state, district, school, and classroom assessment data to improve student achievement.	achievement. Formative assessment practices are employed routinely as part of the instructional program.	The leader inconsistently shares knowledge with staff to increase student achievement. There is inconsistency in	The leader is indifferent to data and does not use data to change schedules, instruction, curriculum or leadership.
Formative assessments are part of the school culture and interim assessment data is	The leader uses state, district, school, and classroom assessment	how assessment data are used to change schedules, instruction, curriculum, or leadership.	Student achievement remains unchanged or declines.
routinely used to review and adapt plans and priorities.	data to make specific and observable changes in teaching, curriculum, and leadership decisions. These specific and	There is rudimentary use of assessment data from state, district, school, and classroom.	The leader does not use assessment data from state, district, school, and classroom.

 observable changes result in increased achievement for students. Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Documents for faculty use that set clear expectations for the use of formative assessments to monitor student progress on mastering course standards Samples of written feedback provided to teachers regarding effective assessment practices. 	Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and staff. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: • Teachers can describe interactions with the leader where effective assessment practices are promoted. • Teachers' assessments are focused on student progress on the standards of the course. • Teachers attest to the leader's efforts to apply knowledge and skills of effective assessment
 Collaborative work systems' (e.g., data teams, professional learning communities) agendas and minutes reflect recurring engagements with interim and formative assessment data. Faculty meeting agendas and minutes reflect attention to formative and interim assessment processes. Classroom walkthrough data reveals routine use of formative assessment practices in the classrooms. Assessment rubrics are being used by the school. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 practices. Teachers can provide assessments that are directly aligned with course standard. Teachers attest to the leader's frequent monitoring of assessment practices. Student folders and progress tracking records reflect use of formative data. Documents are in use that informs teachers of the alignment between standards and assessments. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evide proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency lev [] Highly Effective [] Effective	
Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of	reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How might you engage	How might you engage	How are you	How are you expanding
other school leaders in	teacher leaders in sharing	systematically seeking,	your knowledge and/or
sharing quality examples	quality examples of	synthesizing, and applying	skills of assessment
of formative assessment	formative assessment	knowledge and skills of	literacy and data analysis?
and use of interim	practices with other	assessment literacy and	What strategies have you
assessment data?	faculty?	data analysis? In what	considered that would
		ways are you sharing your	increase your interaction
What procedures might	How can you provide	knowledge with staff to	with staff concerning
you establish to increase	ongoing professional	increase all students'	assessments?
your ability to help your	learning for individual and	achievement?	How are you using your
colleagues provide	collegial groups within the	In what ways are you using	How are you using your
professional learning for	district focused on applying	state, district, school, and	knowledge and skills of assessment literacy to
individual and collegial	the knowledge and skills of	classroom assessment	change schedules,
groups within the district	assessment literacy, data	data to make specific and	instruction, and curriculum
focused on applying the	analysis, and the use of	observable changes in	,
knowledge and skills of	state, district, school, and	-	or leadership practices to

Indicator 3.6 – Faculty Effectiveness: The leader monitors the effectiveness of classroom teachers and uses contemporary research and the district's instructional evaluation system criteria and procedures to improve student achievement and faculty proficiency on the FEAPs.

Narrative: School leaders are responsible for monitoring the effectiveness of classroom teachers. This indicator addresses the proficiency and focus of the leader's monitoring processes to maintain awareness of faculty effectiveness and the use of monitoring data to improve student and faculty performance. The focus here is on monitoring teacher use of strategies supported by contemporary research, teacher proficiency on issues contained in the district's teacher evaluation system, what teachers do to improve student achievement, and faculty proficiency on the FEAPs.

Note: Indicator 3.1 is focused on the leader's grasp of the FEAPs whereas this indicator focuses on monitoring the faculties' grasp of the FEAPs. Indicator 4.2 is focused on the leader's use of monitoring data to provide timely feedback.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The leader's monitoring process generates a shared vision with the faculty of high expectations for faculty proficiency in the FEAPs, research- based instructional strategies, and the indicators in the teacher evaluation system. The leader shares productive monitoring	The leader's effectiveness monitoring process provides the leader and leadership team with a realistic overview of the current reality of faculty effectiveness on the FEAPs, the indicators in the teacher evaluation system, and research- based instructional strategies.	The district teacher evaluation system is being implemented but the process is focused on procedural compliance rather than improving faculty proficiency on instructional strategies that impact student achievement. The manner in which monitoring is conducted is	Monitoring does not comply with the minimum requirements of the district teacher evaluation system. Monitoring is not focused on teacher proficiency in research-based strategies and the FEAPs.

Rubric

methods with other school leaders to support district wide improvements. The leader's monitoring practices are consistently implemented in a supportive and constructive manner. Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples are not limited to the following:	Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff, students and/or community. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:		
 Schedules for classroom observation document monitoring of faculty. Records or notes indicate the frequency of formal and informal observations. Data from classroom walkthroughs is focused on high-effect size strategies and other FEAPs implementation. Notes and memorandum from follow-up conferences regarding feedback on formal or informal observations reflect attention to FEAPs issues and research-based practices. Agendas for meetings address faculty proficiency issues arising from the monitoring process. The leader meets with teachers to provide feedback on their growth in proficiency on instructional strategies. Leadership team agendas or memoranda focused on issues arising from monitoring. Principal's resource allocation actions are adjusted based on monitoring data. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 The teachers document that the leader initiated professional development focused on issues arising from faculty effectiveness monitoring. Teacher-leader meeting agendas or memoranda reflect follow-up actions based on feedback from leadership monitoring on FEAPs, teacher evaluation indicators, or research-based strategies. Lesson study, PLC, or teacher team work is initiated to address issues arising from monitoring process. Teachers can describe the high-effect size instructional strategies employed across the grades and curriculum and how they are adapted in the teacher's classroom to meet student needs. Data and feedback from school leader(s) generated from walkthroughs and observations are used by teachers to revise instructional practices. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 		
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evidence to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency levels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank: [] Highly Effective [] Effective [] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory			
Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):			

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How do you convey to highly effective teachers specific feedback that would move them toward even higher levels of proficiency?	How do you improve your conferencing skills so your feedback to teachers is both specific enough to be helpful and perceived as support	How do you restructure your use of time so that you spend enough time on monitoring the proficiency of instructional practices and giving feedback to be	How do you improve your own grasp of what the FEAPs require so that your monitoring has a useful focus?

How do you engage highly effective teachers in sharing a vision of high quality teaching with their colleagues so that there is no plateau of "good enough"?faculty?	
--	--

Proficiency Area 4: <u>Faculty Development</u>: Effective school leaders recruit, retain, and develop an effective and diverse faculty and staff; focus on evidence, research, and classroom realities faced by teachers; link professional practice with student achievement to demonstrate the cause and effect relationship; facilitate effective professional development; monitor implementation of critical initiatives; and provide timely feedback to teachers so that feedback can be used to increase teacher professional practice.

Narrative: This proficiency area is aligned with FPLS standard 4. It moves the focus from "what is the current reality" of faculty proficiency to continuous progress toward what the faculty can achieve with effort and focus.

Indicator 4.1 – Recruitment and Retention: The leader employs a faculty with the instructional proficiencies needed for the school population served.

Narrative: The focus of this indicator is on the leader's actions to staff the school with the best faculty possible for the needs of the school population. It addresses actions taken to anticipate staffing needs, seek out quality applicants, and efforts to retain quality staff once on the faculty.

Rating Rubric

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The leader tracks the success of her or his recruitment and hiring strategies, learns from past experience, and revisits the process annually to continually improve the process. The leader engages in a variety of traditional and	The leader works collaboratively with the staff in the human resources office to define the ideal teacher based upon the school population served. The leader is sensitive to the various legal guidelines about the kind of data that	The leader relies on the district office to post notices of vacancies and identify potential applicants. Efforts to identify replacements tend to be slow and come after other schools have made selections.	The leader approaches the recruitment and hiring process from a reactive rather than a proactive standpoint. Consequently, the process may not be well thought out, is disjointed, and not aligned with key success criteria embedded within the teacher evaluation

Okeechobee County School District Administrator Evaluation System

 instructional capacities n effectiveness and uses t vacancies. Samples of hiring docum interview questions with highly desirable instruction teacher applicants. Documentation that the n process is subjected to a evaluation for continuous The leader has an estable effective and highly effect The leader has a system new hires and reviews the faculty effectiveness. Programs for new and tre promote adjustment to the instructional responsibilitities. Evidence that the leader hiring practices with other colleagues within the discontinuous 	behaviors or actions. evidence may include, but g: updated assessment of the eeded to improve faculty hat assessment in filling ments (e.g., posting notices, look/listen fors) that identify onal proficiencies needed in recruitment and select an in-depth review and s improvement purposes. lished record of retaining ctive teachers on the staff. hatic process for selecting hat process for its impact on ansfer teachers that he school culture and ties is provided. has shared successful er administrators and	 of the process. Teacher leaders are inveneeds and providing inp Teachers new to the schinduction processes that their adjustment to the s Teacher leaders (e.g. de leaders) can describe th 	the faculty and staff. evidence may include, but g: a hiring process that bous on essential s needed for the school critical part of the hiring luation of the effectiveness olved in monitoring staffing ut to the leader. hool can describe effective t had a positive impact on chool. epartment heads, team e instructional capacities lates to fill vacancies on the	
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evidence to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency levels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank: [] Highly Effective [] Effective [] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):				

Reflection Questions fo	[] Effective	[] Needs Improvement	[] Unsatisfactory
What can be done to encourage quality teachers to stay with your school and quality applicants to seek to join the faculty?	What connections do you have to reach potential applicants other that the districts personnel office?	Have you gathered data about why teachers choose to leave your faculty? What strategies have you employed to meet the learning needs of your faculty, from novice to veteran to expert?	At what point in the school year do you check on staff retention and estimate future staffing needs? In what ways are professional learning opportunities linked to individual faculty needs?

Indicator 4.2 – Feedback Practices: The leader monitors, evaluates proficiency, and provides timely and actionable feedback to faculty on the effectiveness of instruction on priority instructional goals and the cause and effect relationships between professional practice and student achievement on those goals.

Narrative: Where indicator 3.6 focuses on monitoring to maintain awareness of faculty effectiveness, this indicator focuses on the use of the monitoring process to provide quality and timely feedback to teachers. The feedback processes need to deepen teacher understanding of the impact of their practices on student learning.

Rating Rubric

L

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The leader uses a variety of creative ways to provide positive and corrective feedback. The entire organization reflects the leader's focus on accurate, timely, and specific recognition of proficiency and improvement in proficiency. The focus and specificity of feedback creates a clear	The leader provides formal feedback consistent with the district personnel policies, and provides informal feedback to reinforce proficient performance and highlight the strengths of colleagues and staff. The leader has effectively implemented a system for collecting feedback from	The leader adheres to the personnel policies in providing formal feedback, although the feedback is just beginning to provide details that improve teaching or organizational performance, or there are faculty to whom feedback Is not timely or not focused on priority improvement needs.	There is no or only minimal monitoring that results in feedback on proficiency. Formal feedback, when provided, is nonspecific. Informal feedback is rare, nonspecific, and not constructive.

Okeechobee County School District Administrator Evaluation System 1

vision of what the priority instructional goals are for the school and the cause and effective relationship between practice and student achievement on those priority goals. The leader balances individual recognition with team and organization- wide recognition.	teachers as to what they know, what they understand, where they make errors, and when they have misconceptions about use of instructional practices. Corrective and positive feedback is linked to organizational goals and both the leader and employees can cite examples of where feedback is used to improve individual and organizational performance.	The leader tends to view feedback as a linear process; something they provide teachers rather than a collegial exchange of perspectives on proficiency.	
 evaluation indicators are feedback needed improvipractice. Samples of written feedling prioritized instant of an instant schedule that supports from the leader implements and learning School improvement plata analyses. Evidence the leader has feedback from teachers instructional practices. The leader's use of time days a week spent on missues (i.e. "watching the specific and actionable for practices. The leader provides fee to enhance performance proficiency. Feedback reflects judgma a "yes-no" checklist app 	behaviors or actions. evidence may include, but ig: among proficiency levels on a used by the leader to focus vements in instructional back provided teachers tructional practices. structional practices. structional monitoring requent instructional l's administrative staff. a schedule that results in and observation of teaching an reflects monitoring data a system for securing specific to prioritized e results in at least 2 work nonitoring instructional e game") and providing feedback on instructional dback that describes ways e and reach the next level of nent on proficiency, not just	 and informal observation Teachers report recognit as individuals. Teachers describe feedb terms of recognizing inst suggestions to take their Teachers report that lead classroom observation at data as part of the feedback Feedback to teachers, ow is based on multiple sour observations, walkthroug lesson studies, PLCs, as more than one person. Teacher leaders have op colleagues teaching prace 	he faculty and staff <u>.</u> evidence may include, but gi egularly scheduled formal s. ion as team members and ack from the leader in ructional strengths and teaching to a new level. der uses a combination of nd teacher-self assessment ack. ver the course of the year, rces of information (e.g. hs, videos, self-reflections, sessment data,) and from oportunities to observe stices and provide feedback. n data is used by teachers s.

indicator.			
Scale Levels: (choose one) Whe	ere there is sufficient evide	ence to rate current proficiency of	on this indicator, assign a
proficiency level by checking one	e of the four proficiency lev	els below. If not being rated at i	this time. leave blank:
[] Highly Effective		[] Needs Improvement	[] Unsatisfactory
	[] Effective	[] Needs Improvement	[] Unsatisfactory
[] Highly Effective	[] Effective t has been observed that	[] Needs Improvement reflects current proficiency on the	[] Unsatisfactory

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How frequently do teachers recognize that your feedback is directly linked to improving both their personal performance and that of the school? What might you do to ensure that they see this important connection?	What are some examples of focused, constructive, and meaningful feedback that you provide to your staff? How does this support their learning?	In what ways do you currently recognize faculty in providing feedback and affirmation to them? To what extent do you acknowledge the efforts of teams, as well as that of individuals?	How can frequent, focused, and constructive feedback support teachers in improving their instructional practice?

Indicator 4.3 – High effect size strategies: Instructional personnel receive recurring feedback on their proficiency on high effect size strategies.

Narrative: Teaching is a complex process. The "right thing to do" varies with conditions in the classroom. However, teachers need proficiency on a core repertoire of high importance strategies. These are strategies all teachers are expected to be able to use effectively. This indicator is focused on the leader's proficiency in focusing faculty attention on improvement of those "high effect size" strategies – those with higher probabilities of causing student growth when done correctly and in appropriate circumstances.

Note: Department lists of high-effect size strategies are posted at www.fldoe.org and www.floridaschoolleaders.org

Rating Rubric

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The leader uses a variety of creative ways to provide positive and corrective feedback on the implementation of high effect size strategies. As a	In addition to the formal feedback consistent with the district evaluation system indictors, the leader provides recurring informal feedback on high	The leader adheres to the district evaluation system requirements for providing formal feedback on high effect size strategies, but the feedback is general	The leader is not aware of the high effect size strategies expected to be used in district schools or fails to communicate them

Okeechobee County School District Administrator Evaluation System

organization-wide recognition. Dear into both the deal of the examples of where feedback on high effect size strategies is used to improve individual and organizational performance. Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and staff. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: • Professional learning supports on the high effective size strategies are readily available to faculty. Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and staff. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: • Professional learning supports on the high effective size strategies are readily available to faculty. Teachers can attest to regularly scheduled formal and informal observations with feedback on high effect size instructional strategies. • Walkthrough and observation practices are designed to emphasize feedback on use of high effect vize is strategies. • Teachers report necognition as team members and as individuals for quality work on high effect strategies. • Evidence the leader has a system for securing specific feedback from teachers on their implementation of high effect size strategies correctly and in appropriate circumstances. • Teachers report that leader uses a combination of classroom observation and teacher-self assessment data as part of the feedback on high effect size strategies. • Documentation of an instructional monitoring schedule that supports frequent (every other week), instructional monitoring of high effect size • High effect strategies provided through various state and district initatives are employed by teachers t	result, the correct and appropriate implementation of high effect size instructional strategies across the curriculum and grades is a routine part of the learning environment for all students. The entire organization reflects the leader's focus on accurate, timely, and specific recognition of correct and appropriate implementation of high effect size strategies. The leader balances individual recognition on high effect size strategies with team and	effect size strategies to reinforce proficient performance and highlight the strengths of colleagues and staff. The leader has effectively implemented a system for collecting feedback from teachers as to what they know, what they understand, where they make errors, and when they have misconceptions about use of high effect size strategies. Corrective and positive feedback on high effect size strategies is linked to organizational goals. Both the leader and	rather than providing details that improve teaching or organizational performance related to high effect size strategies. The leader tends to view feedback as a linear process; something they provide teachers rather than two way communications where the leader also learns from the teachers' expertise.	to faculty. Feedback on high effect size strategies is rare, nonspecific, and not constructive.
 may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Professional learning supports on the high effective size strategies are readily available to faculty. Samples of written feedback provided teachers high effect size instructional strategies. Walkthrough and observation practices are designed to emphasize feedback on use of high effective size strategies. School improvement plan includes actions to improve proficiency in high effect size strategies. Evidence the leader has a system for securing specific feedback from teachers on their implementation of high effect size strategies correctly and in appropriate circumstances. Documentation of an instructional monitoring schedule that supports frequent (every other week) instructional monitoring of high effect size strategies. The leader provides feedback that describes ways 	0	employees can cite examples of where feedback on high effect size strategies is used to improve individual and organizational		
 Professional learning supports on the high effective size strategies are readily available to faculty. Samples of written feedback provided teachers high effect size instructional strategies. Walkthrough and observation practices are designed to emphasize feedback on use of high effective size strategies. School improvement plan includes actions to improve proficiency in high effect size strategies. Evidence the leader has a system for securing specific feedback from teachers on their implementation of high effect size strategies correctly and in appropriate circumstances. Documentation of an instructional monitoring schedule that supports frequent (every other week) instructional monitoring of high effect size strategies. The leader provides feedback that describes ways 	may be seen in the leader's <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such	behaviors or actions. evidence may include, but	in the behaviors or status of <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such	the faculty and staff. evidence may include, but
	 size strategies are readi Samples of written feedleffect size instructional set walkthrough and observed designed to emphasize effective size strategies. School improvement platimprove proficiency in h Evidence the leader has specific feedback from to implementation of high ecorrectly and in approprior. Documentation of an instructional monitoring The leader provides feedback from the leader provides feedback feedback feedback feedback feedback from the leader provides feedback feedback	ly available to faculty. back provided teachers high strategies. vation practices are feedback on use of high in includes actions to igh effect size strategies. a system for securing eachers on their effect size strategies iate circumstances. structional monitoring frequent (every other week) of high effect size strategies. dback that describes ways	 and informal observation effect strategies. Teachers report recogni as individuals for quality strategies. Teachers describe feedt terms of recognizing insi suggestions to take thein Teachers report that lea classroom observation a data as part of the feedt strategies. High effect size strategie state and district initiative teachers to whom the in Departments routinely d 	tion as team members and work on high effect back from the leader in tructional strengths and r teaching to a new level. der uses a combination of and teacher-self assessment back on high effect size es provided through various es are employed by itiatives apply. iscuss their capacity to

 strategies and reach the next level on same. The leader manages schedules that enable teachers to make observational rounds or view video examples of other teachers using the high effect size strategies. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 their subject area. Teachers are afforded opportunities to observe mentor teachers using the high effect size strategies. Lesson study teams use the process to improve application of high effect strategies to the content of targeted lessons. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evided proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency level [] Highly Effective [] Highly Effective [] Effective Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of	rels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank: [] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How frequently do teachers recognize that your feedback is directly linked to improving both their personal performance on high effect size strategies and as well as the organizational performance?	What are some examples of focused, constructive, and meaningful feedback on high effect size strategies that you provide to your staff? How does this support their learning?	In what ways do you currently recognize faculty in providing feedback and affirmation to them on high effect size strategies? To what extent do you acknowledge the efforts of teams, as well as that of individuals?	How can frequent, focused, and constructive feedback support teachers in improving their instructional practice?
What might you do to ensure that they see this important connection?			

Narrative: The Department of Education and/or district-supported initiatives focused on improving student performance require school leader support to be successful at the school site. This indicator addresses the leader's

Indicator 4.4 - <u>Instructional Initiatives</u>: District supported state initiatives focused on student growth are supported by the leader with specific and observable actions including monitoring of implementation and measurement of progress toward initiative goals and professional learning to improve faculty capacity to implement the initiatives.

proficiency in supporting such initiatives. Indicator 4.4 also focuses on professional learning needed to implement priority initiatives.

Note: District and FLDOE websites provide support and information about priority initiatives.

Highly Effective: Leader's	Effective: Leader's actions	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory: Leader's
actions or impact of	or impact of leader's	Leader's actions or impact	actions or impact of
leader's actions relevant to	actions relevant to this	of leader's actions relevant	leader's actions relevant to

this indicator exceed effective levels and	indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of	to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of	this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are
constitute models of	quality work with only	insufficient scope or	having an adverse impact.
proficiency for other	normal variations.	proficiency.	
leaders. All initiatives are	Most of the district and	Some initiatives are	District and state
implemented across the	state initiatives are	implemented across the	supported initiatives are
grades and subjects as appropriate with full fidelity	implemented across the grades and subjects as	some of the grades and subjects as appropriate	not supported by the leader with any specific
to the components of each	appropriate with full fidelity	with work in progress to	plans, actions, feedback or
initiative.	to the components of each	implement the components	monitoring.
The leader monitors	initiative.	of each initiative.	
teachers' implementation of the initiative, tracks the	The leader is conversant with the impact the	The leader relies on	The leader is unaware of
impact of the initiative on	initiative is expected to	teachers to implement the initiatives and is seldom	what state and district initiatives are expected to
student growth, and shares	have and monitors teacher	involved in monitoring or	be implemented at the
effective practices and impacts with other school	and student implementation of the	providing feedback on the	school.
leaders.	elements of the initiative.	impact of the initiative's implementation on student	
		growth.	
Leadership Evidence of pro may be seen in the leader's		Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen	
Illustrative examples of such		in the behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff, students and/or community. Illustrative examples of such	
are not limited to the followin	•	evidence may include, but ar	
The initiatives being pur	sued are explicitly identified	following: Classroom teachers des	cribe how they implement
and access to supportin		the various initiatives.	
Leader's agendas, mem		 Video exemplars that support implementing the initiatives are routinely used by faculty. 	
 A Multi-tiered System of 	on the targeted initiatives.	 Online resources and te 	
	n (Rti) is fully implemented	deepened understanding	g of the initiatives are used
and the leader monitors	regularly to sustain	 by faculty. State or district web-bas 	ed resources aligned with
implementation.The leader monitors pra	ctices in areas where	the initiatives are regula	•
subject specific strategie	es are expected and	Teachers have participa	
provides feedback on th strategies (e.g. ESOL st		development associated with the initiative and implemented the strategies learned.	
 Reading Strategies from 	÷ ,	Other impact evidence of	
implemented.		indicator.	
	all of the initiatives in use ess is monitored for each.		
 Other leadership eviden 			
indicator.	14/h and the sector		
, , ,		ence to rate current proficiency vels below. If not being rated a	-
[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs Improvement	[] Unsatisfactory
		reflects current proficiency on	this indicator? The examples
	not reflect an exclusive list of	what is expected):	

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How do you engage your faculty in communities of practice where practices related to the initiatives are shared with faculty in other schools or districts?	How do you use monitoring of these initiatives to identify faculty professional development needs that, if addressed, would improve the quality of implementation?	How do you communicate with district and state resources to learn more about what these initiatives can contribute to my school?	How do you find out what initiatives should be implemented?

Indicator 4.5 – Facilitating and Leading Professional Learning: The leader manages the organization, operations, and facilities to provide the faculty with quality resources and time for professional learning and promotes, participates in, and engages faculty in effective individual and collaborative learning on priority professional goals throughout the school year.

Narrative: Indicator 4.5 is focused on what the leader does to engage faculty in meaningful professional learning (which includes being involved in what the faculty is learning).Professional learning on-the-job is an essential aspect of effective schools. School leaders who manage the school in ways that support both individual and collegial professional learning get better outcomes than those who do not. The leader's personal participation in professional learning plays a major role in making professional learning efforts pay off. This indicator addresses the leader's role as a leader in professional development.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The leader uses a variety of creative ways to provide professional learning for individual and collegial groups focused on deepening subject matter	The leader provides recurring opportunities for professional learning for individual and collegial groups focused on issues directly related to faculty	Less than a majority of the faculty can verify participation in professional learning focused on student needs or faculty proficiency at	Focused professional development on priority learning needs is not operational. Few faculty members have
knowledge and proficiency at high effect size strategies.	proficiency at high effect size strategies and student learning needs.	high effect size strategies. Time for professional learning is provided but is	opportunities to engage in collegial professional development processes on the campus.
The leader is personally involved in the learning activities of the faculty in	The leader removes barriers to time for professional learning and	not a consistent priority. Minimal effort expended to	Individual professional learning is not monitored

 way s that both show support and deepen understanding of what to monitor. The entire organization reflects the leader's focus on accurate, timely, and specific professional learning that targets improved instruction and student learning on the standards in the course descriptions. Leadership monitoring of professional learning is focused on the impact of instructional proficiency on student learning. 	provides needed resources as a priority. Participation in specific professional learning that target improved instruction and student learning is recognized by the faculty as a school priority. Leadership monitoring of professional learning is focused on the impact of instructional proficiency on student learning.	assess the impact of professional learning on instructional proficiency. Leadership monitoring of professional learning is focused primarily participation with minimal attention given to the impact of instructional proficiency on student learning.	and is not connected to the school improvement plan or student learning needs.
Leadership Evidence of pro		Impact Evidence of leaders	
may be seen in the leader's <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such		in the behaviors or status of the faculty and staff. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but	
are not limited to the followin	•	are not limited to the following:	
	y or at the direction of the	Faculty members describe an organizational climate	
leader establish a clear	•	supportive of professional learning and can provide	
individual professional d	-	examples of personal involvement.	
	y or at the direction of the	 Minutes and/or summary records of lesson study 	
leader establish a clear	•	teams, book study groups, and/or PLCs provide	
collegial professional de	-	evidence that these collegial opportunities are active	
Schedules provide evide	ence of recurring time	on the campus.	
allocated for professiona	al learning.	Agendas, documents, or anecdotal records of teams	
	rovide easy and recurring	and/or department meet	•
access to professional le	•	engagement in professio	•
	sources allocated to support	 Information on the availation on the availation of th	
prioritized professional le	•	 learning is easily access Other impact evidence of 	•
 Documents generated p administrators are monit 	toring faculty participation in	 Other impact evidence c indicator. 	
professional learning.	toring lacuity participation in		
 Other leadership eviden 	ce of proficiency on this		
indicator.			
	Where there is sufficient evide	ence to rate current proficiency	on this indicator, assign a
	one of the four proficiency lev		
[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs Improvement	[] Unsatisfactory
	what has been observed that		this indicator? The examples
above are illustrative and do	not reflect an exclusive list of	what is expected):	

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What strategies have you implemented so that you spread your learning about providing professional learning for individual and collegial groups within your school to your colleagues across the school system?	What might be some creative ways to provide professional learning for individual and collegial groups focused on deepening subject matter knowledge and proficiency at high effect size strategies?	As you think about your leadership in providing professional learning, what are key strategies for you to consider that would help you provide recurring opportunities for professional learning for individual and collegial groups focused on issues directly related to faculty proficiency at high effect size strategies and student learning needs?	How would you describe your efforts to make certain that your professional learning is focused on student needs or faculty proficiency at high effect size strategies?

Indicator 4.6 – Faculty Development Alignments: The leader implements professional learning processes that enable faculty to deliver culturally relevant and differentiated instruction by:

- generating a focus on student and professional learning in the school that is clearly linked to the system-wide objectives and the school improvement plan,
- identifying faculty instructional proficiency needs (including standards-based content, research-based pedagogy, data analysis for instructional planning and improvement),
- aligning faculty development practices with system objectives, improvement planning, faculty proficiency needs, and appropriate instructional goals,
- and using instructional technology as a learning tool for students and faculty.

Narrative: Faculty development has many aspects. This indicator addresses the leader's proficiency at developing faculty capacity to implement culturally relevant differentiated instruction by <u>aligning</u> the various faculty developments processes and practices with certain key issues (Standards-based content, research-based methods, data for planning, etc. as specified in the text of the standard.)

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The leader has	Professional learning	The leader attempts to	Professional learning is

demonstrated a record of differentiated professional learning for faculty based on student needs. The leader has developed a system of job-embedded professional learning that differentiates training and implementation of instructional priorities based on teacher needs, which help retain proficient and highly exemplary staff. The leader routinely shares professional learning opportunities with other schools, departments, districts, and organizations.	includes a plan for the implementation of the prioritized instructional needs (e.g., research- based instruction, data analysis, instructional technology, culturally relevant) aligned to school improvement plan and some effort has been made to differentiate (coaching, mentoring, collaborative teams, coaching) and embed professional development to meet the needs of all faculty members. The leader is able to use data from evaluation of instructional personnel to assess proficiencies and identify priority needs to support and retain proficient and exemplary faculty members.	implement all of the priority instructional needs without a plan for doing so. The leader is aware of the differentiated needs of faculty and staff members, but professional development is only embedded in faculty meetings at this time, rather than incorporating the use of collaboration, study teams, etc. in order to meet the unique needs of staff.	typically "one size fits all," and there is little or no evidence of recognition of individual faculty needs or matching of faculty needs to student achievement needs. Consequently, retaining proficient and exemplary staff is problematic.
Leadership Evidence of promay be seen in the leader's b		Impact Evidence of leaders in the behaviors or status of	
<u>Illustrative examples</u> of such		Illustrative examples of such	evidence may include, but
are not limited to the following		are not limited to the followin	
 Documentation that professional learning is determined on the basis of student achievement and teacher competency data. Evidence that professional learning includes culturally relevant instructional practices. Faculty meetings focus on professional learning related to the schools instructional priorities. The leader examines data on teacher proficiencies and identifies needs that are subsequently addressed by professional learning. Technology resources are provided to maximize faculty access to online learning and sharing video exemplars for quality instructional practices. Individualized professional development plans approved by the principal are clearly aligned with school improvement priorities. Meeting agendas and memorandum to faculty provide evidence of on-going monitoring of the implementation of critical initiatives (e.g., data analysis, text complexity), standards-based instructional program, multi-tiered system of Staff describes ways that professional learning is culturally relevant to the population served and differentiated to meet their unique instructional needs. Lesson study groups and PLCs have explicitly stated goals and a focus for their collegial learning needs. Faculty requests for professional learning needs. Faculty can demonstrate their use of course descriptions as the source of claturally relevant and differentiated instruction. Other impact evidence of culturally relevation. 		population served and eir unique instructional d PLCs have explicitly s for their collegial learning. a process that helps them earning plans. ofessional learning are ey relate to identified needs ement plan. eir learning needs as they g needs. e their use of course ce of learning goals and lence of culturally relevant ction.	

	supports, and differentiated instruction.		
•	The leader's documents and agendas provide		
	evidence of guiding faculty toward deeper		
	understanding of the cultures of students in the		
	school and how instruction is adapted to improve		
	student engagement in learning.		
_			
•	Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this		
	indicator.		
Sca	ale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evid	ence to rate current proficiency o	on this indicator, assign a
pro	ficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency le	vels below. If not being rated at t	this time, leave blank:
	[] Highly Effective [] Effective	[] Needs Improvement	[] Unsatisfactory
Evi	dence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that	reflects current proficiency on th	is indicator? The examples
abo	we are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of	what is expected):	
		. ,	
Ent	er data here:		

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What procedures have you established to increase professional knowledge opportunities for colleagues across the school system?	What system do you use to prioritize learning needs and empower faculty to create individual learning plans?	What strategies have you employed to meet the learning needs of your faculty, from novice to veteran to expert?	In what ways are professional learning opportunities linked to individual faculty needs?

Indicator 4.7 – Actual Improvement: The leader improves the percentage of effective and highly effective teachers on the faculty.

Narrative: An indicator required by 1012.34 F.S., the focus is on whether the accumulated impact of the leader's actions result in positive trend lines on teacher effectiveness. Evidence gathered from proficiency area #3 provide a base line that, along with teacher rating in the district's teacher evaluation system and student growth measures, enable assessment of whether actual improvement in teacher's proficiency is occurring.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The percentage of teachers rated effective or highly effective increases	The percentage of	There is no evidence of	The percentage of
	teachers rated effective or	improvement in student	teachers rated effective or
	highly effective increases	growth measures for the	highly effective declines

needs improvement for two consecutive years declines. Student growth measure and instructional practice ratings are in substantial agreement for at least 75 percent of the faculty.	five percentage points of the prior year, but there is evidence of specific improvements in student growth measures or proficiency in high effect size strategies.	rated as effective, needs improvement, or unsatisfactory. There is significant variation between teachers' student growth measures and principal's assessment of instructional practices.	by changes in staff membership. There is no evidence of improvement in student growth measures for the majority of the teachers rated as needs improvement or unsatisfactory.
 leader establish that the of faculty members on sidentifies those making Documents generated by leader establish that the of faculty members on by and identifies those ma Documents generated by leader establish that the of faculty members rate unsatisfactory and can improvement. The leader tracks stude assessment data aligned actual improvement in the maintains records of the growth over time. 	behaviors or actions. evidence may include, but	 Impact Evidence of leaders in the behaviors or status of <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such are not limited to the followin The percentage of teach increases. The percentage of teach needing improvement (c decreases. The percentage of teach district average on stude increases. The percentage of teach rating on high effect size increases. Lesson studies produce improved student outcor Tracking of learning goa lines showing improvem State and district tests s performance. VAM scores in teacher a 	hip proficiency may be seen the faculty and staff. evidence may include, but g: mers rated highly effective mers rated effective mers previously rated as leveloping) or unsatisfactory mers ranking at or above the ent growth measures mers with highly effective e instructional strategies revised lessons with mes. Ils produces data and trend ent in teacher effectiveness. how improved student assessment show lines show improvement in used on VAM scores.
proficiency level by checking [] Highly Effective Evidence Log (Specifically,	g one of the four proficiency let [] Effective	ence to rate current proficiency vels below. If not being rated a [] Needs Improvement reflects current proficiency on what is expected):	t this time, leave blank: [] Unsatisfactory

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How well aligned are your	How would you describe	How would you describe	How are you making a
assessments of	your efforts to improve	your efforts to understand	difference in the quality of
instructional practice with	instruction?	what instructional	teaching in your school?
the results of student		improvements are needed	
growth measures?	In what ways are you	and then communicate that	What are some of the
	providing feedback on	in useful ways?	strategies you are
In what ways are you	instructional practice that		employing that help you be
assisting the better	result in improved student	What information are you	aware of where the
performing teachers to	learning for those teachers	collecting to help you know	greatest problems are in
improve as much as you	most in need of growth?	what is or is not happening	terms of instructional
are assisting the lower		in the classrooms where	proficiency?
performers?		teachers need	
		improvement?	

Proficiency Area 5: <u>Learning Environment</u>: Effective school leaders structure and monitor a school learning environment that improves learning for all of Florida's diverse student population.

Narrative: This proficiency area is aligned with FPLS standard 5. Much of what student's experience in school is a result of decisions and actions by the adults in the school. Learning environments that are success oriented, student centered, treat diversity as an asset, and focus on eliminating achievement gaps support students preparation for fulfilling lives.

Indicator 5.1 – Student Centered: The leader maintains a safe, respectful and inclusive student-centered learning environment that is focused on equitable opportunities for learning and building a foundation for a fulfilling life in a democratic society and global economy by providing recurring monitoring and feedback on the quality of the learning environment and aligning learning environment practices with system objectives, improvement planning, faculty proficiency needs, and appropriate instructional goals.

Narrative: School leaders who monitor what students experience by being enrolled in the leader's school have better insights on how to make the system work than those who do not monitor impact of policies and practices on students. It is the leader's responsibility to know whether student life is equitable, respectful, and supportive of engagement in learning.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The leader provides clear,	The leader provides clear	The leader provides limited	The leader provides little to
convincing, and consistent	evidence that they create	evidence that they create a	no evidence that s/he
evidence that they ensure	and maintain a learning	safe school either in	make plans for a safe and

the creation and maintenance of a learning environment conducive to successful teaching and learning for all and shares these practices with others throughout the district. Involves the school and community to collect data on curricular and extra- curricular student involvement to assure equal opportunity for student participation.	ar student involvement. safety concerns as they arise. Does not collect data on curricular and extra- curricular student involvement.		
 Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, bu are not limited to the following: Documents that establish safe, respectful, and inclusive school-wide common expectations for students and staff. Agendas, meeting minutes, etc., show recurring attention to student needs. The leader's documents reveal a pattern of examining student opportunities for achieving success Leader has procedures for students to express needs and concerns direct to the leader. The leader provides programs and supports for student not making adequate progress. School policies, practices, procedures are designed to address student needs. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 are not limited to the following: Teachers can describe a specific policies, practices, and procedures that result in a safe, respectful, and inclusive student-centered learning environment. Student questionnaire results reflect satisfaction with school attention to student needs and interests. Counseling services and safe school programs (e.g. anti-bullying") are implemented. Tutorial processes are provided and easily accessible by students. Teachers receive training on adapting instruction to student needs. Extended day or weekend programs focused on student academic needs are operational and monitored Parent questionnaire results reflect satisfaction with schools attention to student needs and interests. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this 		
indicator. Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evidence to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency levels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank: [] Highly Effective [] Highly Effective [] Effective [] Highly Effective [] Effective [] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected): Enter data here:			

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What practices have you engaged in to increase professional knowledge opportunities for colleagues across the school system regarding your efforts to ensure the creation and maintenance of a learning environment conducive to successful teaching and learning for all?	What evidence would you accept you were ensuring the creation and maintenance of a learning environment conducive to successful teaching and learning for all?	How would you describe your efforts to provide clear evidence that you create and maintain a learning environment that is generally conducive to ensure effective teaching and learning, although there may be some exceptions?	What strategies are you intentionally implementing to create and maintain a safe and respectful environment to ensure successful teaching and learning or addresses safety concerns as they arise?

Indicator 5.2 - Success Oriented: Initiates and supports continuous improvement processes and a multi-tiered system of supports focused on the students' opportunities for success and well-being.

Narrative: The issues in 5.1 focus on monitoring how school policy and practice affect the quality of student lives. This indicator shifts focus from those broad issues to what happens at the school that creates opportunities for student success and students' perceptions that school life is organized to do something good for them. School should be rigorous and demanding but also implemented in ways that create recurring opportunities for success.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
Through all grades and subjects a multi-tiered system of supports is operational providing core universal supports (research-based, high- quality, general education instruction and support; screening and benchmark assessments for all students, and continuous data collection continues	Problem solves skillfully (e.g., conceptualizing, applying, analyzing, synthesizing, and/or evaluating information) to provide adequate time, resources, and support to teachers to deliver the district's curriculum to all students. Celebrations of student	Problem solving efforts are unskillfully used to provide adequate time, resources, and support to teachers to deliver the district's curriculum and state's standards to students. Celebrations of student success are provided but are inconsistent in focusing on how/why students	No actions other than use of slogans and exhortations to succeed are taken by the leader to address practices and process that actually enable success. MTSS not operational.

to inform instruction)		succoded	
to inform instruction). Where student are not successful on core instruction, problem solving is employed to identify and implement targeted supplemental supports (data based interventions and progress monitoring). Where targeted supplemental supports are not successful, intensive individual supports are employed based on individual student needs. Skillful problem solving to	success are common events and are focused on recognition of the methods and effort expended so students understand what behaviors led to the success. Most grades and subject track student learning growth on priority instructional targets. MTSS operational across the grades and subjects.	succeeded. MTSS operational in some classes.	
ensure staff have adequate time and support, and effectively monitoring teacher's effective use of research- based instruction.			
Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:		Impact Evidence of leaders in the behaviors or actions of and/or community. <u>Illustrative</u> evidence may include, but ar following:	f the faculty, staff, students <u>e examples</u> of such
 Agendas, memorandum, and other documents provide direction on implementation of MTSS. Agendas, memorandum, and other documents reflect recurring discussion with faculty on continuous progress monitoring practices. The leader recognizes the accomplishments of individual teachers, student, groups and the whole school via newsletters , announcements, websites, social media and face-to-face exchanges) Leader solicits student input on processes that support or hamper their success. Leader does surveys and other data collections that assess school conditions that impact student wellbeing. Data collection processes are employed to collect student, parent, and stakeholder perception data on the school supports for student success. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 		 Teachers' records reveal and progress monitoring Teacher-directed celebridentify causes of succe Supplemental supports Faculty and student des is genuinely committed tand life. Faculty teams, departmentation on student success are 	ations of student success ss. are provided in classes. cribe the leader as one who to student success in school ents, grade levels or who have worked together recognized. cking of progress results in s.

Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evidence to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency levels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank:				
[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs Improvement	[] Unsatisfactory	
Evidence Log (Specifically, what	at has been observed	I that reflects current proficiency or	this indicator? The examples	
above are illustrative and do not	above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):			
Enter data here:				

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What supports do you	How do you enable	How do you monitor	How do you obtain training
need to provide to	teachers proficient at	instructional practice to	on what the MTSS model
deepen the faculty's	MTSS to share the	assess the quality of	requires and how do you
capacity to provide	process with other	implementation of MTSS?	convey the expectations
intensive individual	teachers?		inherent in the model to your
supports?		How do you monitor the	faculty?
	What continuous	impact of targeted	
How do you share	progress practices	supplemental supports?	
effective continuous	should be shared with		
progress practices with	the entire faculty?	What barriers to student	
oth4r school leaders?		success are not being	
		addressed in your school?	

Indicator 5.3 – <u>Diversity</u>: To align diversity practices with system objectives, improvement planning, faculty proficiency needs, and appropriate instructional goals, the leader recognizes and uses diversity as an asset in the development and implementation of procedures and practices that motivate all students and improve student learning, and promotes school and classroom practices that validate and value similarities and differences among students.

Narrative: "Diversity practices" refers to the capacity of teachers and school leaders to recognize the many variations in students that impact learning growth (e.g. learning processes, prior learning experiences, family and cultural backgrounds); implement practices that respect diversity in learning needs (e.g. multi-tiered system of supports) and make adjustments at the classroom level that make use of student strengths and promote growth needs.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
--	---	---	---

The leader shares with others throughout the district strategies that help them put into action their belief that all students can learn at high levels by leading curriculum, instruction, and assessment that reflect and respect the diversity of students and staff. The leader provides an instructional program where recurring adaptations in instructional to address variations in student learning needs, styles, and learning strengths are routine events in all classes.		The leader inconsistently acts on the belief that all students can learn at high levels by sometimes leading curriculum, instruction, and assessment that reflect and respect the diversity of students and staff. The leader has taken some actions that set expectations for teachers adapting instructional strategies to meet individual student needs and such individualization is evident in some but not most classes.	
Illustrative examples of such	evidence may include, but	in the behaviors or status of the faculty and staff. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:	
 are not limited to the following: Documents that support the use of diversity as an asset in the development and implementation of procedures and practices. Agendas, memorandum, etc., reflecting recurring attention at faculty meetings to capacity to recognize diversity issues and adapt instruction accordingly. Leader's actions in providing professional learning for faculty that deepens understanding of a range of diversity issues and evidence of monitoring for implementation in the classroom of appropriate diversity practices. School policies, practices, procedures that validate and value similarities and differences among students. The school leader collects and reviews agenda and minutes from departmental or team meetings to monitor attention to diversity issues in pursuit of student learning growth. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 		 and procedures that valia and differences among s Professional developme provided for new teacher instruction to address di body and community. Student questionnaire resindividual characteristics leader and faculty. Parent questionnaire resindividual characteristics leader and faculty. A multi-tiered system of implemented in the class and make adjustments f The school provides an students, parents, and the "user friendly" and set and set and set and the set and th	Int opportunities are ars regarding ways to adapt versity issues in the student esults reflect belief that their is are respected by school sults reflect belief that their is are respected by school supports (MTSS) is srooms in ways that respect for diversity factors. interactive website for he community designed to ensitive to diversity issues in g information of interest to school community

Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evidence to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency levels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank:			
[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs Improvement	[] Unsatisfactory
Evidence Log (Specifically, wh	at has been observed that	at reflects current proficiency on thi	s indicator? The examples
above are illustrative and do no	t reflect an exclusive list of	of what is expected):	
Enter data here:			

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What procedures might you establish to increase your ability to help your colleagues develop curriculum, instruction, and assessment that reflect and respect the diversity of students and staff?	What strategies might you employ so that you could share with others throughout the district practices that help them put into action your belief that all students can learn at high levels by leading curriculum, instruction, and assessment that reflect and respect the diversity of students and staff?	How might you increase the consistency with which you act on the belief that all students can learn at high levels by sometimes leading curriculum, instruction, and assessment that reflect and respect the diversity of students and staff?	How might you expand the opportunities for all students to meet high expectations by leading curriculum, instruction, and assessment that reflect and respect the diversity of students and staff?

Indicator 5.4 – Achievement Gaps: The leader engages faculty in recognizing and understanding cultural and developmental issues related to student learning by identifying and addressing strategies to minimize and/or eliminate achievement gaps associated with student subgroups within the school.

Narrative: Where indicator 5.3 is focused on the broad array of diversity factors that impact success of individual students and student sub-groups, indicator 5.4 focuses on <u>academic growth</u> of specific sub-groups whose academic performance lags behind what they are capable of achieving. The leader is expected to prepare the faculty to do what is needed to meet the academic improvement needs of the sub-group(s).

Highly Effective: Leader's	Effective: Leader's actions	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory: Leader's
actions or impact of	or impact of leader's	Leader's actions or impact	actions or impact of
leader's actions relevant to	actions relevant to this	of leader's actions relevant	leader's actions relevant to
this indicator exceed	indicator are sufficient and	to this indicator are evident	this indicator are minimal
effective levels and	appropriate reflections of	but are inconsistent or of	or are not occurring, or are
constitute models of	quality work with only	insufficient scope or	having an adverse impact.
proficiency for other	normal variations.	proficiency.	

leaders.				
The leaders. The leader has created a self-regulating system based on data that guarantees regular and predictable success of all sub-groups, even if conditions change from one year to another. Achievements gaps have been eliminated or substantially minimized with trend lines consistently moving toward elimination of such gaps.	Processes to minimize achievement gaps within all impacted subs-groups are employed for all sub- groups with positive trend lines showing reduction of gaps for all subgroups. The leader consistently applies the process of inquiry and/or has enabled development of processes that generate greater understanding of the school's current systems and their impact on sub- group academic achievement.	Sub-groups within the school and associated with achievement gaps have been identified and some processes are underway to understand root causes. Some actions to minimize the gaps have been implemented but either do not reach all sub-group students or have inconsistent or minimal results. The leader inconsistently applies the process of inquiry and/or has enabled only limited efforts to develop of processes that generate greater understanding of the school's current systems and their impact on sub- group academic	The leader does not identify nor implement strategies to understand the causes of sub-group achievement gaps. No changes in practices or processes have been implemented under the leader's direction that is designed to address achievement gaps. The leader does not apply the process of inquiry and/or develop processes that generate greater understanding of the school's current systems and their impact on sub- group academic achievement.	
Leadership Evidence of pro	ficiency on this indicator	achievement. Impact Evidence of leaders	hip proficiency may be seen	
may be seen in the leader's	behaviors or actions.	in the behaviors or status of	the faculty and staff.	
Illustrative examples of such are not limited to the following	÷	<u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:		
The leader uses statistic		Faculty and staff can describe the school-wide		
academic needs of sub-		achievement goals focused on narrowing		
•	oped and provided to faculty	achievement gaps and relate how that implement		
	or eliminating achievement ler-performing sub-groups	 those goals to impact individual students. Under-achieving sub-group students are enrolled in 		
and for students with dis		 offder-actileving sub-gro advanced classes and p 		
	e leader's work in deepening	expectations.		
faculty understanding of	faculty understanding of cultural and development		Teachers can describe specific policies, practices, and proceedures that help them use sufficiency and	
issues related to improvement of academic learning		and procedures that help them use culture and developmental issues to improve student learning.		
	growth by sub-group students.The leader develops school policies, practices,		 Faculty and staff can explain how goals eliminate 	
procedures that validate and value similarities and		differences in achievement for students at different		
differences among students.		socioeconomic levels.		
Leader's actions in support of engaging sub-group		English language learners, and students with		
students in self-help processes and goal setting		 disabilities Teacher records reflecting tracking sub-group 		
 related to academic achievement. The leader personally engages students in under- 		 reacher records reliecting tracking sub-group student progress on targeted learning goals related 		
 The leader personally e performing sub-groups v 		to academic achievement.		
encouragement, and high		Student questionnaire re		
		students) reflecting reco	gnition of school efforts to	

 Leader's take actions in aligning parent and community resources with efforts to reduce achievement gaps. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 improve their academic performance. Parent questionnaire results from sub-group parents reflecting recognition of school efforts to improve student achievement. Lesson study groups focused on improving lessons to impact achievement gap. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evided proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency level [] Highly Effective [] Effective Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reabove are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of v Enter data here:	els below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank: I Needs Improvement I Unsatisfactory eflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples

Reflection Questions			
Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What strategies might you employ to increase your ability to help your colleagues understand how the elements of	What are one or two critical steps you could take that would shift your examination of culture to a point that they become a	How might you systematically apply the process of inquiry to develop methods of generating greater	Why do sub-groups students like those in your school not perform as well as similar groups in other schools?
culture are impacted by the current systems (e.g., curriculum, instruction, assessment, etc.) in order to improve student achievement?	self-regulating system based on data that guarantees regular and predictable success even if conditions change?	understanding of the cultures of individuals within the building and how the elements of culture are impacted by the current systems (e.g., curriculum, instruction, assessment) to improve student achievement?	In what ways might you demonstrate greater understanding of cultures and their impact on the current systems in your school to improve student learning?

Domain 3: Organizational Leadership

Narrative: This domain addresses proficiencies that impact the quality of a broad array of school operations. The focus is applying these proficiencies to improve student achievement, instructional leadership, and professional conduct.

Narrative: This proficiency area is aligned to FPLS standard #6. How decisions are made can be as important as what decisions are made. The leader's proficiency at balancing the various aspects of decision-making is the focus of this area.

Indicator 6.1 – Prioritization Practices: The leader gives priority attention to decisions that impact the quality of student learning and teacher proficiency, gathering and analyzing facts and data, and assessing alignment of decisions with school vision, mission, and improvement priorities.

Narrative: Leaders make many decisions. Those that impact student learning and teacher proficiency require priority attention. The focus is the leader's ability to make sure that decisions on student learning and faculty proficiency are not lost among the lower priority issues or given inadequate attention because of all the other things leaders do.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The leader produces clear, convincing, and consistent evidence that demonstrates an understanding of learning, teaching, and student development to inform all decisions and continuously uses this information to enhance teaching and learning. The leader produces clear, convincing, and consistent evidence that, on an ongoing basis, all decisions are made in a way that promotes the school's vision and mission.	The leader's decisions consistently demonstrate an understanding of learning, teaching, and student development. The leader produces clear evidence of making most decisions in a way that supports the school's vision and mission regarding student learning and faculty proficiency.	The leader provides limited evidence that demonstrates understanding of learning, teaching, and student development to inform decisions or is inconsistent in using this information to enhance decisions about teaching and learning. The leader produces limited evidence that the school's vision and mission impacts decision making.	The leader provides little or no evidence that demonstrate awareness of learning, teaching, and student development to inform decisions. The leader produces little to no evidence of making decisions that are linked to the school's vision and mission. Decisions adverse to student growth and/or faculty development are made.
Effective decision-making practices are frequently			

administrators and colleagues throughout the system. Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: • The school's vision and mission statement	Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff, students and/or community. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: • Teachers can describe a decision-making process
 developed under this leader is focused on student growth and improving faculty proficiency. Staff evaluations and professional development documents emphasize student learning or faculty proficiency growth. Documents showing the development and modification of teacher and student schedules are based on data about student needs. Leader's meeting schedules reflect recurring attention to student learning and faculty proficiency issues. Artifacts substantiating school improvement and curriculum review/revision are based on student learning needs or assessments of teacher proficiency. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 that reflects an emphasis on vision, mission, student learning, and teacher proficiency requirements. Teachers can recall decisions that were made resulting in changes to their teaching schedule to support student learning. Team and department meeting minutes reflect student learning and faculty proficiency as priority issues. Sub-ordinate leaders give priority attention to issues impacting student learning and teacher proficiency. Principal's secretary prioritizes mail based on relation to student learning and faculty growth. Office staff handles routine events to protect leader's time for instructional and faculty development issues. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.
	rels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank: [] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What procedures have	What system do you use	What strategies have you	How should your awareness
you established to	to prioritize learning	employed to meet the	of learning, teaching, and
increase professional	needs and empower	learning needs of your	student development inform
knowledge opportunities	faculty to create	faculty, from novice to	decisions?
for colleagues across the	individual learning plans?	veteran to expert?	
school system?			How might you better align
	How might you reinforce	Why is it necessary to	your decisions with the vision
How do you promote and	and establish your efforts	explicitly reference your	and mission of your school?
foster continuous	so that direct reports and	vision and mission, even	
improvement with new	your entire school	though they are visibly	
staff? What changes	community understand	posted in high traffic areas	

might you make to your decision-making processthe link between decisions and your priorities?	of your school?	
--	-----------------	--

Narrative: Problem solving is an essential support to decision making. The leader's skill in using thinking skills and

Indicator 6.2 – Problem Solving: The leader uses critical thinking and data-based problem solving techniques to define problems and identify solutions.

data to define

problems and identify solutions is the focus here.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The leader demonstrates the ability to construct a clear and insightful problem statement with evidence of relevant contextual factors. The leader identifies multiple approaches for solving a problem and proposes one or more solutions/hypotheses that indicate a deep comprehension of the problem. The solutions are sensitive to contextual factors as well as all of the following: ethical, logical, and cultural dimensions of the problem. The leader's evaluation of solutions is comprehensive and includes all of the following: history of the problem, logic/reasoning, feasibility and impact of the solution. The solution is	The leader demonstrates the ability to construct a problem statement with evidence of most relevant contextual factors and the problem statement is adequately detailed. The leader identifies multiple approaches for solving a problem. The leader's solutions are sensitive to contextual factors as well as at least one of the following: ethical, logical, or cultural dimensions of the problem. Evaluation of solutions is adequate and includes: history of the problem, reviews logic and reasoning, examines feasibility of solution, and weighs impact. The solution is implemented and the results reviewed with some	The leader is beginning to demonstrate the ability to construct a problem statement with evidence of most relevant contextual factors, but the problem statements are superficial or inconsistent in quality. Typically, a single "off the shelf" solution is identified rather than designing a solution to address the contextual factors. The solution is implemented in a manner that addresses the problem statement but ignores relevant factors. Results are reviewed with little, if any, consideration for further work.	The leader demonstrates a limited ability to identify a problem statement or related contextual factors. Solutions are vague or only indirectly address the problem statement. Solutions are implemented in a manner that does not directly address the problem statement and are reviewed superficially with no consideration for further work.

implemented in a manner that addresses each of the contextual factors of the problem. A thorough review of the results is conducted to determine need for further work.consideration for further work.	
Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:	Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff, students and/or community. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:
 Samples of problem statements, contextual factors, recommended approaches, proposed solutions, evaluation, and review with consideration for further work are presented. A well-established problem-solving process can be described by the leader. Data records reveal the range of problems addressed and after-implementation data collections. Reports and newsletters to stakeholders inform of problems addressed and the impact of solutions implemented. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 Teachers can personally attest to the problem-solving skills of the leader. Teachers report a high degree of satisfaction with the problem-solving process established by the leader. Teacher and/or students describe participating in problem solving led by the school leader. Multi-tiered System of Supports (MTSS) is fully operational in classrooms. Sub-ordinate leaders are engaged in data-based problem solving. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evide proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency level [] Highly Effective [] Effective	rels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank: [] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory
Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of	reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples what is expected):

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What might be some of the things you learned about problem solving that will influence your leadership practice in the future?	What can you do to enable your sub-ordinate leaders to be more effective in problem solving?	What are some specific recollections (data) that come to mind that define your thinking about effective problem solving?	How would you describe your problem solving process?

Indicator 6.3 – Quality Control: The leader maintains recurring processes for evaluating decisions for effectiveness, equity, intended and actual outcome(s); implements followup actions revealed as appropriate by feedback and monitoring; and revises decisions or implementing actions as needed.

Narrative: Decisions are made....but there is a follow-up process. What was the impact of the decisions? The focus here is the leader's follow-up on decisions and capacity to make revisions where needed.

Highly Ff others land		Na ada las na atra atra	
Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The leader can provide clear and consistent evidence of decisions that have been changed based on new data. The leader has a regular pattern of decision reviews and "sunsetting" in which previous decisions are reevaluated in light of the most current data.	The leader has a record of evaluating and revising decisions based on new data. Review of decision and follow-up actions are consistently timely.	The leader has some processes for acquiring new information on impact of decisions and appears to be willing to reconsider previous decisions, but does not have a clear or consistent record of making changes where needed or as soon as needed.	There is little or no evidence of reflection and reevaluation of previous decisions. Sub-ordinate leaders are not encouraged to evaluate prior decisions.
There is a culture of open acknowledgement of undesired outcomes in which the leader and everyone in the organization can discuss what is not working without fear of embarrassment or reprisal.			
Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency m in the behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff and/or community. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:		the faculty, staff, students e examples of such	
actions.	e-evaluation in light of	 Teachers can attest to having participated in a re- evaluation of a decision based on emerging trends and data. Teachers report confidence in the decisions being 	
Okeechobee County Scho	nol District		Page 69

 Principal's work schedule reflects time for monitoring the implementation of priority decisions. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 Sub-ordinate leaders' records reveal time committed to gathering data and following up on impact and implementation of the sub-ordinate leaders' decisions. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.
	vels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank: [] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How do you continue to clarify the decision-making process in a dynamic, changing environment?	Why is it necessary for you as a school leader to reevaluate prior decisions and programs in light of emerging research, personal experience, and changing situations?	What will you do from now on to ensure previous decisions and programs are revisited and evaluated on a routine basis?	When do you take time with your leadership team to reflect on decisions that have been made? In what ways do you evaluate decisions on the basis of student achievement?

Indicator 6.4 – Distributive Leadership: The leader empowers others and distributes leadership when appropriate.

Narrative: A school is too complex for one person to make all decisions. Some of the functions of leadership must be shared with others. Developing capacity for success in a workforce requires enabling other people to be responsible for meaningful decisions. The leader's capacity to share the "right stuff' and distribute decision making among other appropriate staff is the focus here.

Highly Effective: Leader's	Effective: Leader's actions	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
actions or impact of	or impact of leader's	Leader's actions or impact	
leader's actions relevant to	actions relevant to this	of leader's actions relevant	
this indicator exceed	indicator are sufficient and	to this indicator are evident	
effective levels and	appropriate reflections of	but are inconsistent or of	
constitute models of	quality work with only	insufficient scope or	
proficiency for other	normal variations.	proficiency.	
proficiency for other leaders.	normal variations.	proficiency.	

Innovation and improvement in instructional processes, faculty development, or school operations have resulted from distributive leadership. The leader encourages staff members to accept leadership responsibilities outside of the school building. The leader incorporates teacher and support staff into leadership and decision-making roles in the school in ways that foster the career development of	The leader creates opportunities for staff to demonstrate leadership skills by allowing them to assume leadership and decision-making roles. The leader supports the decisions made as part of the collective decision- making process. Decision-making delegations are clear: Sub-ordinates know what decisions are made by the leader, which by the leader after input from others, and which are delegated to sub-ordinates to decide.	Some well-understood leadership roles other than the school principal are functioning and contributing to effective and timely decisions on some school priorities, but there are recurring delays in reaching decisions on other issues. Decisions are often rushed or made without appropriate input due to lack of planning and implementation of development activities by staff members.	There is no or only minimal evidence that anyone other than the principal has a meaningful role in making timely decisions. The leader rarely seeks input on significant issues from a variety of stakeholder groups (e.g. faculty leaders, teachers, student, parents, community, or business leaders).	
participating teachers. Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:		Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff, students and/or community. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:		
 Organizational charts or other documents reveal how leadership is distributed and informs who is involved in what. School improvement plan process reflects involvement by a variety of parties. Evidence of shared decision-making and distributed leadership is present in leader's memorandums, e- mails, and other communications. Leader's communication to faculty and stakeholders recognizes the role of those to whom leadership functions were distributed. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 		 Sub-ordinate leaders and teacher leaders report meaningful roles in decision making. Minutes, agendas, and other records of meetings held by sub-ordinate leaders reflect their involvement in significant decision making. Teachers are able to identify which colleagues have a leadership or decision making role in any given issue. Teacher and or parent surveys reflect satisfaction with access to sub-ordinate and teacher leaders rather than requiring access only to the principal. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 		
	Where there is sufficient evide g one of the four proficiency lev [] Effective			
Evidence Log (Specifically,	what has been observed that not reflect an exclusive list of	reflects current proficiency on		

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
To what extent do you have a systematic process in place for delegating authority to subordinates?	How might you increase the range and scope of tasks and responsibilities you delegate to key individuals or teams?	Under what circumstances would you be willing to release increased decision-making authority to your staff and faculty?	What factors prevent you from releasing responsibilities to staff?
	In what areas do faculty and staff bring expertise that will improve the quality of decisions at your school?	How might you use the function of delegation to empower staff and faculty at your school?	

Indicator 6.5 – Technology Integration: The leader employs effective technology integration to enhance decision making and efficiency throughout the school. The leader processes changes and captures opportunities available through social networking tools, accesses and processes information through a variety of online resources, incorporates datadriven decision making with effective technology integration to analyze school results, and develops strategies for coaching staff as they integrate technology into teaching, learning, and assessment processes.

Narrative: Technology was a separate standard in the 2005 Florida Principal Leadership Standards (FPLS). By 2011 the state had made great strides toward accepting technology into the schools. In the 2011 FPLS, technology moved from a separate general "pro-technology" standard to focused <u>applications</u> of technology embedded in several standards. This indicator focuses on technology integration and the leader's use of technology to improve decision-making processes in several priority areas.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The leader mentors other school leaders on effective means of acquiring technology and integrating it into the decision- making process. The leader provides direct	Technology support for decision- making processes is provided for all of the staff involved in decision making on school instructional and faculty improvement efforts.	Technology support for decision- making processes is provided for some, but not all of the staff involved in decision making on school instructional and faculty	There is no or only minimal evidence that decision- making prioritization, problem solving, decision evaluation or distributed leadership processes are supported by technology integration.

mentoring and coaching supports so that new staff and new sub-ordinate leaders are quickly engaged in effective use of technology supports needed to enhance decision-making quality.	Technology integration supports all of the following processes: decision- making prioritization, problem solving, decision evaluation and distributed leadership. Engages sub-ordinate leaders in developing strategies for coaching staff on integration of technology.	improvement efforts. Technology integration supports some, but not all of the following processes: decision-making prioritization, problem solving, decision evaluation and distributed leadership.	Decision making is not supported by a well- understood system of procedures to identify problems and generate solutions. Technology integration does not support data exchanges, project management, and feedback processes.
Leadership Evidence of pro may be seen in the leader's Illustrative examples of such are not limited to the followin	behaviors or actions. evidence may include, but	Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff, students and/or community. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:	
 provide technology support with available resources School website provides information about and a Technology tools are us and analyses and distribute Evidence that shared de distributed leadership is Technology used to enhomentoring functions. Other leadership eviden indicator. Scale Levels: (choose one) proficiency level by checking [] Highly Effective Evidence Log (Specifically,	in improvement plans. y integration plan used to borts to the degree possible s stakeholders with ccess to the leader. ed to aid in data collection bution of data findings. ecision -making and supported by technology. ance coaching and	 work functions and use for process. Data from faculty that sumonitoring impact of decident technology. PowerPoint presentation of faculty members suppmaking and disseminated Faculty use social networks students and parents in decision making and to idecisions made. Other impact evidence of indicator. 	hs, e-mails, and web pages port involvement in decision on of decisions made. ork methods to involve data collection that supports inform stakeholders of of proficiency on this on this indicator, assign a t this time, leave blank: [] Unsatisfactory

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
To what extent do you have a systematic process in place for integrating new technology so that faculty and students are keeping pace with the communications and thinking supports used in the emerging global economy?	How might you increase the range and scope of technology integration to support communications and information acquisition processes used by faculty and staff ? How might the technology improve the quality of decisions at your school?	Under what circumstances would you be willing to support increased use of technology to support efficiency in communication and decision-making processes? How might you use the function of delegation to empower staff and faculty at your school to make more proficient use of technology integration?	What factors prevent you from supporting technology integration??

Proficiency Area 7. <u>Leadership Development</u>: Effective school leaders actively cultivate, support, and develop other leaders within the organization, modeling trust, competency, and integrity in ways that positively impact and inspire growth in other potential leaders.

Narrative: This proficiency area aligns to Standard 7. Leaders are developed by other leaders. This is a process critical to an organization's capacity to improve over time and sustain quality processes. This proficiency area focuses on what leaders do to develop leadership in others.

Indicator 7.1 – Leadership Team: The leader identifies and cultivates potential and emerging leaders, promotes teacher-leadership functions focused on instructional proficiency and student learning, and aligns leadership development practices with system objectives, improvement planning, leadership proficiency needs, and appropriate instructional goals.

Narrative: The FPLS are based on a presumption that the school leader works with and through a team of other people to insure coordination and focus of school operations and improvements. Leadership teams get things done!

Rating Rubric

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The participants in the school's leadership team	Those who are assigned or have accepted leadership	The leader has identified staff for leadership	The leader does not recognize the need for

Okeechobee County School District Administrator Evaluation System

clear and efficientsuimplementation of theirlearole(s) and work in aeffcollegial partnership withimother leadership teamdeparticipants to coordinateparticipants to coordinateoperations on studentgrowth and facultydevelopment.leaLeadership developmentfurprocesses employed byThthe school leader areshared with other schoolleaders as a model forasdeveloping qualityresinsThe leader has specifically	nctions have consistent upport from the school ader in focusing their forts on instructional uprovement and faculty evelopment. The leader has specifically entified and cultivated otential and emerging aders for the major nctions of the school. The leader has personally entored at least one merging leader to asume leadership sponsibility in structional leadership or an administrative level, th positive results.	functions, follows district personnel guidelines for accepting applications for new leaders, but has not implemented any systemic process for identifying emergent leaders, or is inconsistent in application of such a process. The leader provides some training to some of the people assigned leadership functions, but does not involve staff other than those in the designated roles.	leadership by other people. Staff with leadership titles (e.g., department heads, team leaders, deans, assistant principals) has little or no involvement in processes that build leadership capacities. Persons under the leader's direction are unable or unwilling to assume added responsibilities. There is no or only minimal evidence of effort to develop leadership potential in others.
Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:		Impact Evidence of leaders in the behaviors or actions of and/or community. <u>Illustrative</u> evidence may include, but ar following:	f the faculty, staff, students <u>e examples</u> of such
 Organizational charts identify the leadership roles and team members. The leader has a system for identifying and mentoring potential leaders. The leader can cite examples in which s/he coached several emerging leaders to assume greater levels of responsibility within the organization. Minutes, e-mails, and memorandums reflecting exchanges among leadership team members are focused on school improvement goals, student growth, and faculty development. The leader's communications to faculty and stakeholders reflect recognition of the leadership team. 		 formal opportunities to d leadership competencies Teachers at the school r development is supporte Current leadership team training or mentoring the leader regarding leaders 	eport that leadership ed and encouraged. members can describe ey receive from the school ship. processes that encourage chool improvement and ples.

•	Other leadership evidence of	proficiency on this			
	indicator.				
Sca	le Levels: (choose one) Whe	re there is sufficient evid	ence to rate current proficiency	/ on this indicator, assign a	
pro	ficiency level by checking one	of the four proficiency le	vels below. If not being rated a	t this time, leave blank:	
] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs Improvement	[] Unsatisfactory	
Evi	Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples				
abo	ve are illustrative and do not r	eflect an exclusive list of	what is expected):	· · · · · ·	

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How do you provide guidance and mentorship to emerging leaders outside of your personal job description and leadership responsibilities? How would you describe the system you use to ensure that emerging leaders pursue job opportunities when they are available? How might you embed this preparation into their job duties, and what changes will you need to make to help build such leadership capacity at your school?	How have you designed the school improvement process to develop leadership capacity from existing faculty? What strategies and lessons might you impart to your direct reports to better prepare them for expanded leadership opportunities?	What process do you employ to encourage participation in leadership development? When do you release responsibility to your assistants to own key decisions? How do you leverage school improvement activities to build leadership capacity for assistants and emerging teacher leaders?	What process is available to you that help you screen and develop potential leaders? How might you spend time explicitly preparing your assistants to assume your role as principal? What steps would you take to spend more time in preparing your assistants to assume your role as principal?

Indicator 7.2 – Delegation: The leader establishes delegated areas of responsibility for sub-ordinate leaders and manages delegation and trust processes that enable such leaders to initiate projects or tasks, plan, implement, monitor, provide quality control, and bring projects and tasks to closure.

Narrative: Leadership teams engage other skilled people in the business of the school. However, involvement does not insure effective organizations. This indicator focuses on the distribution of responsibility and whether sub-ordinate leaders have been delegated all that is needed to succeed.

Staff throughout the organization is empowered in formal and informal ways.There is a clear pattern of delegated decisions, with authority to match responsibility at every level in the organization.The releader sometimes delegates, but also maintains decision-making authority that could be delegated to others.The leader does not afford subordinates the opportunity or support to delegated to others.Faculty members participate in the facilitation of meetings and exercise leadership in committees and task forces; other employees, including noncertified staff, exercise appropriate.The relationship of authority and responsibility at delegation of authority is clear in personnel documents, such as evaluations, and also in the daily conduct of meetings and organizational business.The leader sometimes delegated authority is inconsistent from one delegation to another.If delegation has occurred the eige authority and responsibility accomplished or what resonsibility onduct of meetings and organizational business.Clarity of the scope of delegated authority is inconsistent from one delegation in this organization contributes directly to the identification and empowerment of the next generation of leadership.If delegation as occurred the daily conduct of meetings and organizational business.Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and staff. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, tract are not limited to the following: </th <th>Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other</th> <th>Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.</th> <th>Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.</th> <th>Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.</th>	Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
and empowerment of the next generation of leadership. Leadership. Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: • A Responsibility Matrix or chart of "who does what" provides evidence that the leader trust others within the school by identifying how leadership responsibilities are delegated to other faculty members on his or her staff. • The leader's processes keep people from performing redundant activities. • The leader has crafted "job descriptions" for subordinate leaders' roles that clarify what they are to	organization is empowered in formal and informal ways. Faculty members participate in the facilitation of meetings and exercise leadership in committees and task forces; other employees, including noncertified staff, exercise appropriate authority and assume leadership roles where appropriate. The climate of trust and delegation in this	delegated decisions, with authority to match responsibility at every level in the organization. The relationship of authority and responsibility and delegation of authority is clear in personnel documents, such as evaluations, and also in the daily conduct of meetings and	 delegates, but also maintains decision-making authority that could be delegated to others. Clarity of the scope of delegated authority is inconsistent from one delegation to another. Actions taken by those to who tasks are delegated are sometimes overruled 	subordinates the opportunity or support to develop or to exercise independent judgment. If delegation has occurred there is a lack of clarify on what was to be accomplished or what resources were available to carry out delegated
 may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: A Responsibility Matrix or chart of "who does what" provides evidence that the leader trust others within the school by identifying how leadership responsibilities are delegated to other faculty members on his or her staff. The leader's processes keep people from performing redundant activities. The leader has crafted "job descriptions" for subordinate leaders' roles that clarify what they are to in the behaviors or status of the faculty and staff. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Teachers report that areas of delegated responsibility include authority to make decisions and take action within defined parameters. Faculty and staff can cite examples of delegation where the leader supported the staff member's decision. Faculty report that building leaders express high levels of confidence in their capacity to fulfill obligations relevant to the shared task of educating 	directly to the identification and empowerment of the next generation of			
 Communications to delegated leaders provide predetermined decision-making responsibility. Documents initiating projects and tasks identify personal responsibility for success at the beginning of the project. Delegation and trust are evident in personnel Staff to whom responsibility has been delegated in turn delegates appropriate aspects of their tasks to other staff thus expanding engagement. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 may be seen in the leader's In <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such are not limited to the followin A Responsibility Matrix of provides evidence that the school by identifying responsibilities are deleged members on his or her set. The leader's processes performing redundant and ordinate leaders' roles the do and have the delegate Communications to delege predetermined decision- Documents initiating propersonal responsibility for of the project. 	behaviors or actions. evidence may include, but g: or chart of "who does what" he leader trust others within how leadership gated to other faculty staff. keep people from ctivities. job descriptions" for sub- nat clarify what they are to red authority to do. gated leaders provide making responsibility. jects and tasks identify or success at the beginning	 in the behaviors or status of a <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such are not limited to the followin Teachers report that are responsibility include au and take action within de Faculty and staff can cite where the leader suppor decision. Faculty report that buildi levels of confidence in the obligations relevant to the children. Staff to whom responsibility turn delegates appropriation other staff thus expandir Other impact evidence of the status of the staff the status of the staff the staf	the faculty and staff. evidence may include, but g: as of delegated thority to make decisions efined parameters. e examples of delegation ted the staff member's ng leaders express high heir capacity to fulfill he shared task of educating ility has been delegated in the aspects of their tasks to ng engagement.

 evaluations. Delegation and trust are evident in the school improvement plan as a variety of school staff are identified as being directly responsible for various components of the planning effort. Meeting minutes provide evidence of delegation and trust being extended to select members of the faculty. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evid proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency le [] Highly Effective [] Effective	
	reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
To what extent do you have a systematic process in place for delegating authority to subordinates?	How might you increase the range and scope of tasks and responsibilities you delegate to key individuals or teams? In what areas do faculty and staff bring expertise that will improve the quality of decisions at your school?	Under what circumstances would you be willing to release increased decision-making authority to your staff and faculty? How might you use the function of delegation to empower staff and faculty at your school?	What factors prevent you from releasing responsibilities to staff?

Indicator 7.3 – Succession Planning: The leader plans for and implements succession management in key positions.

Narrative: When the leader is off campus – who is in charge? When the leader changes jobs or retires, who is prepared to take over? What about the school's subs-ordinate leaders? Who takes over for them? Succession planning is building relationships and preparation processes for involving others in ways that prepare them to move into key positions as they become vacant.

Highly Effective: Leader's	Effective: Leader's actions	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory: Leader's	
actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to	or impact of leader's actions relevant to this	Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant	actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to	
this indicator exceed	indicator are sufficient and	to this indicator are evident	this indicator are minimal	
effective levels and	appropriate reflections of	but are inconsistent or of	or are not occurring, or are	
constitute models of	quality work with only	insufficient scope or	having an adverse impact.	
proficiency for other leaders.	normal variations.	proficiency.		
In addition to the practices	The leader proficiently	Inasmuch as the leader	The leader takes little or no	
at the effective level, the	implements a plan for	understands the need to	actions to establish a plan	
leader systematically	succession management	establish a plan for	for succession	
evaluates the success of	in key positions that	succession management,	management.	
the succession program, making adjustments as	includes identification of key and hard-to-fill	the plan remains simply that - a plan - as thoughts	Staff are hired to fill	
needed and engaging sub-	positions for which critical	about the plan and its	vacancies in key positions	
ordinate leaders in	competencies have been	component parts have yet	who do not possess the critical instructional	
succession management	identified.	to be implemented.	capabilities required of the	
processes in their own areas of responsibility.	In conjunction with central	The leader primarily relies	school, which	
	office staff, the leader	on central office staff to	compromises the school's	
Central office personnel rely upon this leader to	identifies and evaluates applicant pools, collects	identify and evaluate applicant pools, the	efforts to increase student academic achievement,	
share highly successful	information on competency	competency levels of	and no processes to	
succession planning	levels of employees in	employees in identified	remedy the trend are	
practices with other	identified applicant pools	applicant pools, and the	taken.	
leaders throughout the district.	and identifies competency gaps.	competency gaps.		
		Little to no effort on the		
	Based on an analysis of these gaps, the leader	part of the leader is made to increase the		
	develops and uses	competency level of the		
	programs and strategies	potential successor		
	for smooth succession	leaders within the faculty		
	including temporary strategies for getting work	or such efforts are limited in scope.		
	done during vacancy			
	periods.			
Leadership Evidence of pro		Impact Evidence of leaders		
may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions.		in the behaviors or status of the faculty and staff.		
<u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:		<u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:		
 Documents generated by or at the direction of the 		 Select teachers can attest to having been identified 		
leader establish a clear pattern of attention to		into applicant pools for leadership in key and hard-		
individual professional development that addresses		to-fill positions that may develop in the future.		
÷	 succession management priorities. The leader has processes to monitor potential staff 		Select teachers report that the principal has identified various competency levels needed for key	
departures.		identified various competency levels needed for key or hard-to-fill leadership positions.		
The leader accesses district applicant pools to		 Select teachers describe providing the leader 		
review options as soon as district processes permit.		feedback as to gaps in their personal competency		
Informal dialogues with	faculty routinely explore their	for which the leader has	developed professional	
Olyaashahaa Caupty Sah				

 interests in expanded involvement and future leadership roles. Leader has documents or processes to inform potential leaders of the tasks and qualifications involved in moving into leadership roles. A succession management plan that identifies succession problems, key and hard-to-fill positions for which critical competencies have been identified, and key contacts within the school community. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 		 learning experiences. Teachers can describe transparent processes for being considered for leadership positions within the school. Sub-ordinate leaders engage other faculty in competency building tasks that prepare them for future leadership roles. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where proficiency level by checking one of			
[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs Improvement	[] Unsatisfactory
Evidence Log (Specifically, what h above are illustrative and do not ref		reflects current proficiency on this	indicator? The examples

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
In what ways might you	In what ways are you	What are the key	In what ways would a plan
further extend your reach	interacting with central	components of within your	for succession
within the district to help	office personal to share	succession management	management be helpful to
others throughout the	highly effective succession	plan?	you as you move to
district benefit from your	planning practices with		replace key and hard-to-fill
knowledge and skill in	other leaders throughout	What might be the one or	positions at your school?
succession management	the district?	two personal leadership	
practices?		practices to which you will	
	What are some of your	pay particular attention as	
What have you prepared to	strategies you have	you implement your	
assist your successor	employed that help your	succession management	
when the time comes?	school get work done	plan?	
	during vacancy periods?		

Indicator 7.4 – Relationships: The leader develops sustainable and supportive relationships between school leaders, parents, community, higher education, and business leaders.

Narrative: This is a fundamentally important skill set. Leaders get quality work done through other people. The skill set of relationship building, including networking and engaging others in a shared vision, are hallmarks of quality leaders.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
While maintaining on-site work relationships with faculty and students as a priority, the leader finds ways to develop, support, and sustain key stakeholder relationships with parent organizations, community leaders, and businesses, and mentors other school leaders in quality relationship building. The leader has effective relationships throughout all stakeholder groups and models effective relationship building for other school leaders.	The leader systematically (e.g., has a plan, with goals, measurable strategies, and a frequent- monthly-monitoring schedule) networks with all key stakeholder groups (e.g., school leaders, parents, community members, higher education, and business leaders) in order to cultivate, support, and develop potential and emerging leaders. Leader has effective collegial relationships with most faculty and subordinates.	The leader is inconsistent in planning and taking action to network with stakeholder groups (e.g., school leaders, parents, community members, higher education, and business leaders) to support leadership development. Relationship skills are employed inconsistently.	The leader makes no attempt to or has difficulty working with a diverse group of people. Consequently, the leader does not network with individuals and groups in other organizations to build collaborative partnerships in support of leadership development.
may be seen in the leader's <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such	Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but		hip proficiency may be seen the faculty and staff. evidence may include, but g:
 are not limited to the following: Documentation can be provided describing the leader's plan—with goals, measurable strategies, and a frequent-monthly-monitoring schedule—to develop sustainable and supportive relationships with key stakeholder groups in support of potential and emerging leaders. Documentation can be provided as to the relationships with other building leaders the leader has established in support of potential and emerging leaders within the school. Documentation can be provided as to the relationships with parents, community members, higher education, and business leaders the leader has established in support of potential and emerging leaders within the school. 		 support of potential and school. Community members re developed sustainable a them in support of poten the school. Higher education memb that the leader has deve supportive relations with and emerging leaders a Business leaders within 	eader has developed ive relations with them in emerging leaders at the port that the leader has and supportive relations with tial and emerging leaders at ers within the area report loped sustainable and them in support of potential t the school. the area report that the ustainable and supportive

 Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 emerging leaders at the school. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evide	
proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency lev	0
[] Highly Effective [] Effective	[] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory
Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of	reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples what is expected):

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
In what ways might you further extend your reach within the district to help others throughout the district benefit from your knowledge and skill in establishing relationships among key stakeholder groups?	What strategies are you employing so you can share your experiences relative to establishing relationships with key stakeholders to support potential and emerging leaders?	In what ways are you working to establish networks with key stakeholder groups to cultivate and support potential and emerging leaders in your school?	How might your relationships with faculty and key stakeholder groups help to cultivate and support potential and emerging leaders in your school?

Proficiency Area 8. <u>School Management</u>: Effective school leaders manage the organization, operations, and facilities in ways that maximize the use of resources to promote a safe, efficient, legal, and effective learning environment; effectively manage and delegate tasks and consistently demonstrate fiscal efficiency; and understand the benefits of going deeper with fewer initiatives as opposed to superficial coverage of everything.

Narrative: This proficiency area aligns with Standard 8. A school is an "organization." School leaders manage implementation of many rules, regulations, and policies. However, the "organization" is the people working together to provide learning to students. What leaders do to manage those people and the environment in which they work is the focus of this area.

Indicator 8.1 – Organizational Skills: The leader organizes time, tasks, and projects effectively with clear objectives, coherent plans, and establishes appropriate deadlines for self, faculty, and staff.

Narrative: Time, tasks, and projects all need organization to have the desired impact. This indicator focuses on the key aspects of organization essential to school success.

		No odo luo	
Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The leader uses project management as a teaching device, helping others understand the interrelationship of complex project milestones throughout the organization. The leader uses complex project management to build system thinking throughout the organization. Project plans are visible in heavily trafficked areas, so that accomplishments are publicly celebrated and project challenges are open for input from a wide variety of sources. Successful project results	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. Project management documents are revised and updated as milestones are achieved or deadlines are changed. The leader understands the impact of a change in a milestone or deadline on the entire project, and communicates those changes to the appropriate people in the organization. Task and project management and tracking of deadlines are routinely monitored with an emphasis of issues related to instruction and faculty development.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. Project management methodologies are vague or it is unclear how proposed project management tools will work together in order to help keep tasks and projects on time and within budget. The impact of changes in an action plan or deadline is inconsistently documented and communicated to people within the organization.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. There is little or no evidence of time, task or project management focused on goals, resources, timelines, and results.
can be documented.	ficiency on this indicator	Impact Evidence of leaders	hin proficiency may be seen
Leadership Evidence of pro may be seen in the leader's I <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such are not limited to the followin • Examples of projects that	behaviors or actions. evidence may include, but	Impact Evidence of leaders in the behaviors or status of <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such are not limited to the followin • Reports that require tead	the faculty and staff. evidence may include, but
on the input from a varie	ety of sources.	time and in compliance with expectations.	
Examples of timely com		Sub-ordinate leaders' records reveal specific levels of fiscal support to projects delegated to them and	
environment improvement projects focused on issues like safety, efficiency, effectiveness, or legal		processes for tracking th	
compliance.	noy, encouveness, or legal	implemented.	
Examples of multiple pro	pjects and timelines	Random sampling (infor	
managed by the leader	by strategically delegating	teachers reveals consist	
time, resources, and res	•	describe ongoing projec	
School Improvement Pla	an implementation records	Random sampling (infor	mal interviews) with

 Leadership responsibility matrix or chart describes how management of tasks and projects are allocated and reflects monitoring tasks. School financial information showing meeting deadlines and procedures and processes for assessing the adequacy of fiscal resources budgeted to tasks. (Is there a way to recognize when funds will run short or if there will be an excess which can be repurposed?) Examples of "systems planning tools" (e.g., tree diagram, matrix diagram, flowchart, PERT Chart, Gant Chart) are used that display the chronological interdependence of the project events that unfold over time. Tasks and reports for parties outside the school are monitored for timely completion. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 describe how school leadership monitors work in progress and due dates. Minutes, agendas, records and/or anecdotal information from teachers reveal the preponderance of teacher meetings have clear objectives or purposes focused on system instructional goal, professional learning, or improvement planning. School-wide teacher questionnaire results related to school management issues reflect awareness of a positive impact of organization on school operations. Teachers are aware of time and task management processes and contribute data to them. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.
proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency level	
] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory
[] Highly Effective [] Effective [

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How much of your work	To what extent are tasks	How do you ensure	What changes in your
on organization of time	and major tasks	unanticipated changes do	practice are needed to
and projects is reactive to	delineated in your overall	not derail or prevent	ensure necessary projects
establish conformity with	project design? What	completion of key projects	are identified, realistically
deadlines and short term	might you do to	at your school?	designed, carefully
situations and how much	emphasize the most		implemented, and
is proactive focused on	important components	How do you monitor	supported with sufficient
creating capacity for	over minor tasks?	whether work needed to	time and resources?
continuous		meet deadlines is	
improvement.?	How do you distinguish	proceeding at a necessary	How to you distribute
	between the support	pace?	workloads so the
Are you able to identify	needed for high priority		appropriate people are
and articulate to others	projects and tasks that		involved and with sufficient
the systemic connections	impact student		clarity on goals and
between the various	achievement or faculty		timeframes to get work
projects and tasks you	development and		done?
manage?	compliance with projects		

Okeechobee County School District Administrator Evaluation System

that have fixed due dates for parties outside the building?		
---	--	--

Indicator 8.2 – Strategic Instructional Resourcing: The leader maximizes the impact of school personnel, fiscal and facility resources to provide recurring systemic support for instructional priorities and a supportive learning environment.

Narrative: Resources are always limited. How well a leader does at putting resources where they are needed and when they are needed to support instructional goals is the focus here. Do teachers and students get what they need when they need it?

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The leader regularly saves resources of time and money for the organization, and proactively redeploys those resources to help the organization achieve its strategic priorities. Results indicate the positive impact of redeployed resources in achieving strategic priorities. The leader has established processes to leverage existing limited funds and increase capacity through grants, donations, and community resourcefulness.	The leader leverages knowledge of the budgeting process, categories, and funding sources to maximize all available dollars to achieve strategic priorities. The leader has a documented history of managing complex projects, meeting deadlines, and keeping budget commitments. The leader documents a process to direct funds to increase student achievement that is based on best practice and leveraging of antecedents of excellence in resources, time, and instructional strategies.	The leader sometimes meets deadlines, but only at the expense of breaking the budget; or, the leader meets budgets, but fails to meet deadlines. The leader lacks proficiency in using the budget to focus resources on school improvement priorities. Resources are not committed or used until late in the year or are carried over to another year due to lack of planning and coordination. The leader makes minimal attempts to secure added resources.	The leader has no clear plan for focusing resources on instructional priorities and little or no record of keeping commitments for schedules and budgets.
Leadership Evidence of pro	ficiency on this indicator	Impact Evidence of leaders	
may be seen in the leader's light seen in the le		in the behaviors or status of <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such	,

are not limited to the following:	are not limited to the following:		
 School financial information shows alignment of spending with instructional needs. Documents are provided to faculty that indicate clear protocols for accessing school resources. School Improvement Plan and spending plans are aligned. Leader's documents reveal recurring involvement in aligning time, facility use, and human resources with priority school needs. Schedules and calendars for use of the facility reflect attention to instructional priorities. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 School-wide teacher questionnaire results reveal satisfaction with resources provided for instructional and faculty development. Staff receipt books, activity agreements, and fundraiser requests reflect priority attention to instructional needs. Teachers can describe the process for accessing and spending money in support of instructional priorities. Teachers can provide examples of resource problems being taken on by school leadership as a priority issue to be resolved. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 		
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evidence to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a			
proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency lev			
[] Highly Effective [] Effective	[] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory		
Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples			
above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):			

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How would you describe the systematic method for pursuing grants, partnerships, and	To what extent are faculty and staff aware of your budgeting expectations? How are your budgeting	Have there been instances in which you failed to meet deadlines or where expenditures resulted in	When resources are limited, what actions do you take as the school leader to allocate them
combining community resources you have implemented to support increases to student achievement?	expectations delineated, published, and communicated?	budget overruns? What did you learn from that experience and how did you apply lessons from it?	most efficiently?

Indicator 8.3 – Collegial Learning Resources: The leader manages schedules, delegates, and allocates resources to provide recurring systemic support for collegial learning processes focused on school improvement and faculty development.

Narrative: Team learning is an essential element in a learning organization. Does the leader provide needed supports to collegial learning? Are barriers to success removed? Everyone working in isolation reduces the probability of improvements. Collegial processes need resource support. This indicator assesses the leader's proficiency at providing that support.

Highly Effective: Leader's	Effective: Leader's actions	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory: Leader's
actions or impact of	or impact of leader's	Leader's actions or impact	actions or impact of
leader's actions relevant to	actions relevant to this	of leader's actions relevant	leader's actions relevant to
this indicator exceed	indicator are sufficient and	to this indicator are evident	this indicator are minimal

effective levels and	appropriate reflections of	but are inconsistent or of	or are not accurring or are
constitute models of	appropriate reflections of quality work with only	but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or	or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
proficiency for other	normal variations.	proficiency.	naving an adverse impact.
leaders.		pronoionoy.	
The leader leverages	The leader has established	The leader lacks	The leader has little or no
knowledge of the	routines regarding	proficiency in using	record of making plans or
budgeting process,	allocation of time and	budget, work schedules,	keeping commitments to
categories, and funding	facility resources that	and/ or delegation of	provide resources or build
sources to maximize the	result in wide faculty	involvement to focus time	schedules of events that
impact of available dollars	participation in collegial	and resources on collegial	support collegial processes
on collegial processes and	processes and faculty	processes and faculty	and faculty development.
faculty development.	development.	development.	
Results indicate the	School fiscal resources are		
positive impact of deployed	allocated to support	There is a lack of	
resources in achieving a	collegial processes and	sustained and focused	
culture of deliberate	faculty development.	resource allocation on	
practice focused on school	Clear delegations of	these issues.	
improvement needs.	Clear delegations of responsibility are evident		
The leader has established	that involve highly effective		
processes to support	faculty in sustaining		
collegial processes and	collegial processes and		
faculty development	faculty development.		
through grants, business			
or higher education			
partnerships, and/or			
community			
resourcefulness.			
Leadership Evidence of pro		Impact Evidence of leaders	
may be seen in the leader's		in the behaviors or status of	
<u>Illustrative examples</u> of such		<u>Illustrative examples</u> of such	
 are not limited to the followin School financial informa 	,	are not limited to the followin	
 School financial informa employed in support of c 		Teachers routinely recount examples of collegial work team learning or problem colving feauled on	
	groups to reserve rooms for	work, team learning or problem solving focused on student achievement.	
meetings are provided to		 Lesson study groups, PLC's, and other forms of 	
•	chool resources to support	 Lesson study groups, PLC's, and other forms of collegial learning teams are operational. 	
collegial learning needs.		 School-wide teacher questionnaire results reflect 	
•	an reflects role(s) of collegial	•	collegial learning groups.
learning teams.			earning plans incorporate
•			learning.
documents reflect suppo			ade level meetings devote a
processes both on-camp		majority of their time to o	collegial learning processes.
participation on commur		Other impact evidence of	of proficiency on this
	odified to promote collegial	indicator.	
use through common pla	-		
Other leadership eviden	ce of proficiency on this		
indicator.			

Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evidence to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a				
proficiency level by checking one	of the four proficiency le	evels below. If not being rated at t	this time, leave blank:	
[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs Improvement	[] Unsatisfactory	
Evidence Log (Specifically, what	has been observed that	t reflects current proficiency on th	is indicator? The examples	
above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):				

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How would you describe	To what extent are faculty	Have there been instances	When resources are
the systematic method for	and staff aware of your	in which you failed to act	limited, what actions do
pursuing grants,	focus on collegial	on opportunities to support	you take as the school
partnerships, and	processes?	collegial processes or	leader to reallocate them
combining community		faculty development?	to the high impact
resources you have	How are faculty given		functions like collegial
implemented to support	opportunities to request or	What did you learn from	processes and faculty
increases in the quality of	recommend time or	that experience and how	development?
collegial processes?	resource allocations that	did you apply lessons from	
	support collegial processes	it?	
	and faculty development?		

Proficiency Area 9. <u>Communication</u>: Effective school leaders use appropriate oral, written, and electronic communication and collaboration skills to accomplish school and system goals by:

- Practicing two-way communications, seeking to listen and learn from and building and maintaining relationships with students, faculty, parents, and community;
- Managing a process of regular communications to staff and community keeping all stakeholders engaged in the work of the school; and
- Recognizing individuals for good work; and maintaining high visibility at school and in the community.

Narrative: The "voice of the school" represents a core set of communication processes that shape perceptions about the school – the leader's communications central among them. The leader must manage the "voice of the school" so clear, coherent and accurate information flows to faculty, students, and stakeholders. The perceptions of those involved in the success of the school need to be heard, acknowledged, and understood.

Indicator 9.1 – Constructive Conversations: The leader actively listens to and learns from students, staff, parents, and community stakeholders and creates opportunities within the school to engage students, faculty, parents, and community stakeholders in constructive conversations about important issues.

Narrative: Skillful "speaking" is important. So is skillful listening. People can engage in conversation on many things, but some things are more important to school improvement than others. Making sure speaking and listening occurs on the important issues is a leader's task.

Rating Rubric

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
In addition to the practices at the effective level, the highly effective leader routinely mentors others within the district to effectively employ key active listening skills (e.g. wait time, paraphrasing, asking clarifying questions) when interacting with diverse stakeholder groups about high achievement for all students. There is evidence of the leader making use of what was learned in constructive conversations with others in the leader's subsequent actions, presentations, and adjustments to actions.	The leader systematically (e.g., has a plan, with goals, measurable strategies, and a frequent- monthly-monitoring schedule) and reciprocally listens to and communicates with students, parents, staff, and community using multiple methods (i.e., oral, written, and electronic) to seek input/ feedback and to inform instructional and leadership practices. The leader systematically communicates with diverse stakeholders about high achievement for all students.	The leader's involvement in regard to listening to and communicating with students, parents, staff, and community is primarily unplanned and/or initiated by others rather than the leader "reaching out." The leader has only a few methods to seek input/feedback with the intent to inform instructional and leadership practices. The leader's communications with stakeholders about high achievement for all students are not carefully planned and implemented.	The leader's visibility within the community is virtually non-existent; conducts little to no interactions with stakeholders regarding the work of the school. The leader is isolated from students, parents, staff, and community and engages in no or minimal listening to and communicating with them to seek input/feedback and inform instructional and leadership practices. The leader avoids engaging faculty and/or stakeholders in conversations on controversial issues that need to be addressed in the interest of school improvement.
Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:		Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and staff. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:	
 Samples of communication methods used by the leader. A School Improvement Plan that demonstrates knowledge of the specific school community and the impact of community factors on learning needs of students and faculty. A school-wide plan to engage families and 		 Students confirm that th and effectively uses a w communication to descr input/feedback. Faculty members confirr listener and effectively u 	e leader is a good listener ide variety of methods of ibe expectations and seek n that the leader is a good

Okeechobee County School District Administrator Evaluation System

 community in understanding student needs and participating in school improvement efforts. Evidence of opportunities for families to provide feedback about students' educational experiences. Logs of community interaction (e.g., number of volunteers, community members in the school, telephone conversations and community presence at school activities). Leader writes articles for school or community newspapers. Leader makes presentations at PTSA or community organizations. Leader hosts informal "conversations" with faculty, parents, and/or business leaders to share perceptions about the school and pertinent educational issues. The leader can identify influential "opinion leaders" in the school community and has processes for engaging them in school improvement efforts. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 and seek input/feedback. Parents and community members confirm that the leader is a good listener and effectively uses a wide variety of methods of communication to describe expectations and seek input/feedback. Local newspaper articles report involvement of school leader and faculty in school improvement actions. Letters and e-mails from stakeholders reflect exchanges on important issues. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.
	rels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank: [] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How might you further expand your influence over your colleagues within the district relative to the implementation of effective listening and communication techniques?	What support might you provide your colleagues within the school that would help them become as capable in the area of listening and communicating as you?	How would you describe your efforts to implement a plan to communicate with various stakeholders within your school community? What might be some of the things you are taking away from this experience that will influence your communication practice in the future?	How might listening with the intent to learn from students, staff, parents, and community stakeholders be beneficial to the successful operation of the school?

Indicator 9.2 – Clear Goals and Expectations: The leader communicates goals and expectations clearly and concisely using Florida's common language of instruction and appropriate written and oral skills, communicates student expectations and performance information to students, parents, and community, and ensures faculty receives timely information about student learning requirements, academic standards, and all other local, state, and federal administrative requirements and decisions.

Narrative: Proficiency in the competencies addressed in this indicator impacts success on many other indicators. The most successful school leaders are able to provide clear goals and expectations on every aspect of school operations and instructional leadership. You need to do the "school leader's two step." Having clear goals and expectations is step one, communicating them so others can act on them is step two.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
Clear evidence communication on goals and expectations is present, including open forums, focus groups, surveys, personal visits, and use of available technology.	The leader conducts frequent interactions with students, faculty, and stakeholders to communicate and enforce clear expectations, structures, and fair rules and procedures.	Expectations and goals are provided and communicated in a timely, comprehensible and actionable form regarding some student and faculty performance issues.	Expectations and goals regarding student and faculty performance are not provided or are not communicated in a timely, comprehensible and actionable form.
Ensures that all community stakeholders and educators are aware of the school goals for instruction, student achievement, and strategies and progress toward meeting these goals.	Utilizes a system of open communication that provides for the timely, responsible sharing of information with the school community using a variety of formats in multiple ways through different media in order to ensure	Designs a system of open communication that provides for the timely, responsible sharing of information to, from, and with the school community on goals and expectations, but it is inconsistently implemented.	The leader's actions demonstrate a lack of understanding of the importance of establishing clear expectations, structures, rules, and procedures for students and staff. Uses terms in the Florida
The leader coaches others within the district to effectively employ the Florida common language of instruction in communicating school goals and expectations.	communication with all members of the school community. Is proficient in use of the Florida common language of instruction to align school goals with district and state initiatives.	Has a limited capacity to employ Florida's common language of instruction in aligning school goals and expectations with district and state initiatives.	common language of instruction incorrectly thus misguiding others.

 Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Evidence of visibility and accessibility (e.g., agendas of meetings, newsletters, e-mail correspondence, appointment book, etc.) is provided. Evidence of formal and informal systems of communication that include a variety of formats (e.g., written, oral) in multiple ways through different media (e.g., newsletter, electronic) used to communicate goals and expectations for how to accomplish the goals. School safety and behavioral expectations are accessible to all. Dissemination of clear norms and ground rules for standards- based instruction and Multi-tiered System of Supports (MTSS) is provided. School Improvement Plan is based on clear 	 Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and staff. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Faculty routinely access <u>www.floriodastandards.org</u> to align course content with state standards. Staff survey results reflect awareness and understanding of priority goals and expectations. Parent survey results reflect understanding of the priority academic improvement goals of the school. Parents' communications to the school reflect understanding of the goals and expectations that apply to their children. PTSA/Booster club operations and participation addresses support for school academic goals. Student survey results reflect understanding of goals and expectations that apply to the students. Sub-ordinate leaders use Florida's common language of instruction.
 Leader is able to access Florida's common language of instruction via online resources. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evide proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency leve [] Highly Effective [] Effective Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that the sufficient evide the sufficient evi	
above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of	

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What additional strategies	How might you articulate to	How might you improve	What are your priority
have you established to	faculty the benefits that	your consistency of	goals for school
diffuse your practices on	could be gained by the	interactions with	improvement?
goals and expectations	school if parents and	stakeholders regarding the	
among your colleagues	community members	work of the school?	How do you know whether
across the school system?	understood the rationale		others find them clear and
	for most decisions on goals	Knowing that some	comprehensible?
How does feedback from	and expectations?	teachers and parents are	
key stakeholder groups		reluctant to initiate	
inform the work of the		conversations with school	
school?		leaders, what strategies	
		have you employed or	
		considered in which you—	
		as the leader—would	

	initiate communication on priority goals and expectations?	
--	--	--

Indicator 9.3 – Accessibility: Maintains high visibility at school and in the community, regularly engages stakeholders in the work of the school, and utilizes appropriate technologies for communication and collaboration.

Narrative: Leaders need to be seen by those they are to lead...and those who are asked to engage in rigorous effort on the leader's goals need access to the leader. While leaders must manage their time, they must also make sure those who need access can get it in reasonable ways and timeframes. In a 21st century technological society use of social networking and other technologies to promote accessibility is a valuable leadership competency.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
In addition to the practices at the effective level, the leader initiates processes that promote sub-ordinate leaders access to all through a variety of methods stressing the need for engagement with stakeholder groups. The leader serves as the "voice of the school" reaching out to stakeholders and advocating for school needs. The leader mentors other school leaders on quality processes for accessibility, engaging stakeholders, and using technologies to expand impact.	Leader provides timely access to all through a variety of methods using staff and scheduling practices to preserve time on instructional priorities while providing processes to enable access for parents and community. Leader is consistently visible within the school and community focusing attention and involvement on school improvement and recognition of success. Stakeholders have access via technology tools (e.g., e-mails, phone texts, video conferencing, websites) so that access is provided in ways that do not minimize the leader's time for instructional leadership	Leader's actions to be visible and accessible are inconsistent or limited in scope. Limited use of technology to expand access and involvement. Leadership is focused within the school with minimal outreach to stakeholders.	Leader is not accessible to staff, student, or stakeholders and does not engage stakeholders in the work of the school. Leader has low visibility to students, staff, and community.

 Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Leader's work schedule reflects equivalent of two work days a week in classrooms and interacting with students and teachers on instructional issues. Meeting schedules reflect frequency of access by various stakeholders. Executive business partnerships engaging local business leaders in ongoing support of school improvement. E-mail exchanges with parents and other stakeholders. Websites or weblogs provide school messaging into the community. Leader's participation in community events. Leader has established policies that inform students, 	 Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff, students and/or community. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: School office staff have effective procedures for routing parents and stakeholders to appropriate parties for assistance and informing the leader when direct involvement of the leader is necessary. Sub-ordinate leaders' involvement in community events where school issues may be addressed. "User friendly" processes for greeting and determining needs of visitors. Newspaper accounts reflecting leader's accessibility. Teacher and student anecdotal evidence of ease of access Parent surveys reflect belief that access is welcomed. Office staff handles routine requests for access in 	
 faculty, and parents on how to get access to the leader. Leader monitors office staff implementation of access policies to insure timely and responsive accessibility. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evided. 	 ways that satisfy stakeholders' needs without disrupting leader's time on instructional issues, I gives school leader timely notice when his/her personal involvement should occur without dela Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	
proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency level [] Highly Effective [] Effective	vels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank: [] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory	
Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that		

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How can you involve sub-	What uses can you make	How can you assess what	What work habits would you
ordinate leaders as high visibility assets of the	of modern technology to deepen community	students, faculty, and stakeholders think of your	need to change to be more visible to students, faculty,
school?	engagement and expand	level of accessibility?	and stakeholders?
	your accessibility to all?		

Indicator 9.4 – Recognitions: The leader recognizes individuals, collegial work groups, and supporting organizations for effective performance.

Narrative: Leading is about enabling others to succeed. Recognition of the successes and contributions of others is a key leadership function. Recognition from the leader is motivating and focusing. The recognition needed is more than "good job." It identifies what people did to generate the success being recognized. Recognizing the way in which people succeed encourages them to continue those practices and informs others "by what methods" they may do the same.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
In addition to meeting effective level criteria, the leader utilizes recognition reward, and advancement as a way to promote the accomplishments of the school. Shares the methods that lead to success with other leaders. Engages community groups in supporting and recognizing rigorous efforts to overcome past failures.	The leader systematically (e.g., has a plan, with goals, measurable strategies, and a frequent- monthly-monitoring schedule) recognizes individuals for praise, and where appropriate rewards and promotes based on established criteria. Recognizes individual and collective contributions toward attainment of strategic goals by focusing on what was done to generate the success being celebrated.	The leader uses established criteria for performance as the primary basis for recognition, and reward, but is inconsistent or untimely in doing so, with some people deserving of recognition not receiving it.	The leader does not celebrate accomplishments of the school and staff, or has minimal participation is such recognitions.
Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:		Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and staff. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:	
 Faculty meeting agendas routinely include recognitions of progress and success on goals. Rigorous effort and progress points of collegial work groups are recognized and the methods they employed shared. Samples of recognition criteria and reward structures are utilized. Documents (e.g. written correspondence, awards, agendas, minutes, etc.) supporting the recognition of individuals are based on established criteria. Communications to community groups are arranged recognizing student, faculty, and school 		 as individuals and as tea Teachers describe feedly acknowledges specific in improvements. Teachers report that the of methods to promote the school. Students report both form acknowledgements of the statements of the statements of the school sch	back from the leader that Instructional strengths or Ileader uses a combination he accomplishments of the mal and informal

 accomplishments. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evided proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency level [] Highly Effective [] Highly Effective [] Effective Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of	vels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank: [] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What might be some of the potential benefits that would come from you sharing your talents in this area with your colleagues in the district?	In what ways are you utilizing the recognition of failure as an opportunity to improve? How do you enable those that make progress to share "by what method" they did so?	How might you compare your beliefs about the importance of providing individual and collective praise to your actual practice? What do you want to be most aware of as you make future plans in this area?	As you assess the importance of acknowledging failures and celebrating accomplishments, what assumptions are guiding you?

Domain 4 - Professional and Ethical Behavior

Narrative: This domain is focused on the professional integrity and dedication to excellence of the school leader. The indicators in this domain focus on behaviors essential to success as a school leader.Narrative: There are two broad proficiency areas that are the focus of evaluation of behavior and ethics. One is approached as Proficiency Area 10 of the FSLA which is focused on Florida Principal Leadership Standard #10 (FPLS). The indicators in proficiency area 10 address resiliency, professional learning, commitment, and conduct. The other major professional behavior area, Deliberate Practice, is a separate metric, scored separately and, when combined with the overall FLSA score, generates the Leadership Practice Score.

Indicator 10.1 – Resiliency: The leader demonstrates resiliency in pursuit of student learning and faculty development by:

- staying focused on the school vision,
- reacting constructively to adversity and barriers to success,
- acknowledging and learning from errors,
- constructively managing disagreement and dissent with leadership,
- bringing together people and resources with the common belief that the organization can grow stronger when it applies knowledge, skills, and

• productive attitudes in the face of adversity.

How to Score the FSLA

District Options: The scoring process for the FSLA is one of a number of alternative scoring methods. Districts using the FSLA may use this scoring process <u>or</u> design a district system for scoring the FSLA. Use of the FSLA and use of the FSLA Scoring system are separate decisions. If using the FSLA scoring process, reference this scoring guide in element II-D in the "Review and Approval Checklist for Instructional Personnel and School Administrator Evaluation Systems" when submitting for review and approval. If your scoring model is adapted or is a district-developed scoring process, include your document(s) that describe your scoring process when you submit for review.

About the FSLA Scoring Process

The state scoring model has these features:

- The performance labels used in Section 1012.34, F.S. for summative performance levels are also used in the FSLA to summarize feedback on domains, proficiency areas, and indicators:
 - Highly Effective (HE)
 - Effective (E)
 - Needs Improvement (NI)
 - Unsatisfactory (U)
- Direct Weighting: The FSLA score is based on ratings for each of four domains, but the system specifically gives added weight to Domain 2: Instructional Leadership: The weights are:
 - Domain 1: Student Achievement: 20%
 - Domain 2: Instructional Leadership: 40%
 - Domain 3: Organizational Leadership: 20%
 - Domain 4: Professional and Ethical Behavior: 20%
- Embedded Weighting: The use of Domain scores to generate an FSLA score results in embedded weighting as the Domains have different numbers of indicators. For example: Domain 1 has eight indicators, Domain 3 has 16 indicators and Domain 4 has four indicators, but each Domain contributes 20% to the FLSA score. The result of this is:
 - Domain 2 indicators have the most impact on the FSLA results due to direct weighing. There are 17 indicators, but the Domain is weighted at 40%, thus magnifying the impact of that domain on the final rating.
 - Domain 4 has the next highest level of impact due to embedded weighting. There are only four indicators in this Domain, but the Domain contributes 20% of the FSLA score.
 - Domain 1 has more impact than Domain 3 since Domain 1 has eight indicators and Domain 3 has 16 indicators, but each Domain contributes 20% of the FSLA score.
- Proficiency on Indicators leads to an FSLA Score.
 - Ratings on indicators (using rubrics in the FSLA) are combined to generate a rating (HE, E, NI, or U) on each Proficiency Area.
 - Ratings on Proficiency Areas are combined (using the tables in this scoring guide) to generate a Domain Rating.

 Ratings on Domains are combined (using tables in this scoring guide) to generate a FLSA Score.

How to determine an FSLA Score.

Generating a score for the FSLA has four steps:

Step One: Rate each Indicator.

Start with judgments on the indicators. Indicators in each Proficiency Area are rated as HE, E, NI, or U based on accumulated evidence.

- The FSLA supports this indicator proficiency rating process with <u>rubrics</u> for distinguishing between the levels (HE, E, NI, or U) that are specific to the indicator.
- To guide the rating decision, <u>illustrative examples</u> of leadership actions and illustrative examples of impacts of leadership actions are provided.
- The rubrics for indicators and the illustrative examples are found in the "long forms" the Data Collection and Feedback Protocols" posted on <u>www.floridaschoolleaders.org</u> (in the Learning Library, Resources Menu: Evaluation Resources – School Leaders).
- Ratings can be recorded on the long form or the short form (all FSLA forms and supporting resources are found on <u>www.floridaschoolleaders.org</u>).

Rating Labels: What do they mean?

The principal should complete a self-assessment by scoring each of the indicators. The evaluator also will score each of the indicators. In an end-of the year conference, their respective ratings are shared and discussed. The evaluator then determines a final rating for each indicator and, using the procedures in this scoring guide, calculates an FSLA score.

Indicator ratings:

When assigning ratings to indicators in the FSLA, the evaluator should begin by reviewing the indicator rubrics. These are "word-picture" descriptions of leadership behaviors in each of the four levels of leadership behavior—"Highly Effective", "Effective", "Needs Improvement", and "Unsatisfactory." The evaluator finds the level that best describes performance related to the indicator.

The rating rubrics provide criteria that distinguish among the proficiency levels on the indicator. The illustrative examples of Leadership Evidence and Impact Evidence for each indicator provide direction on the range of evidence to consider. The rating for each indicator is the lowest rating for which the "word-picture" descriptors are appropriate and representative descriptions of what was observed about the leader's performance.

The ratings on the indicators aggregate to a rating on the Proficiency Areas based on tables in this guide. The ratings on the Proficiency Areas within a Domain aggregate to a domain rating, using tables and formulas in this scoring guide.

The FSLA rubrics are designed to give principals a formative as well as a summative assessment of where they stand in all leadership performance areas and detailed guidance on how to improve. While they are not checklists for school visits by the principal's supervisor, they do reflect the key behaviors about which supervisors and principals should be conversing frequently throughout the year. Moreover, these behavioral leadership descriptions will form the basis for principal and supervisor coaching and mentoring sessions.

Distinguishing between proficiency ratings:

The "Effective" level describes leadership performance that has local impact (i.e., within the school) and meets organizational needs. It is adequate, necessary, and clearly makes a significant contribution to the school. The majority of the leadership workforce will be in the effective area once they have a clear understanding of what the FPLS require and have made the adjustments and growth necessary to upgrade performance. The previous rating system of "satisfactory" and "unsatisfactory" does not provide any guidance as to where those who repeat past performance levels will fall in the shift to research and standards-based assessments. Both school leaders and evaluators should reflect on performance based on the new FPLS and the rubrics of the FSLA.

The "Highly Effective" level is reserved for truly outstanding leadership as described by very demanding criteria. Performance at this level is dramatically superior to "Effective" in its impact on students, staff members, parents, and the school district. Highly effective leadership results from recurring engagement with "deliberate practice." In brief, the "Highly Effective" leader helps every other element within the organization become as good as they are. In normal distributions, some leaders will be rated highly effective on some indicators, but very few leaders will be rated highly effective performance level.

The "Needs Improvement" level describes principals who understand what is required for success, are willing to work toward that goal, and, with coaching and support, can become proficient. Needs improvement rating will occur where expectations have been raised and standards made more focused and specific. Professional behavior and focused professional learning will guide school leaders toward increasingly effective performance.

Performance at the "Unsatisfactory" level describe leaders who do not understand what is required for proficiency or who have demonstrated through their actions and/or inactions that they choose not to become proficient on the strategies, knowledge bases, and skills sets needed for student learning to improve and faculties to develop.

Step Two: Rate each Proficiency Area.

Ratings on the indicators in a Proficiency Area are combined to assign a proficiency level (HE, E, NI, or U) to a Proficiency Area: The distribution of indicator ratings within a Proficiency Area result in a Proficiency Area Rating. Since the number of indicators in a Proficiency Area varies, the following formulas are applied to assign Proficiency Area ratings. <u>For each Proficiency Area</u>, use the appropriate table.

Table 1	
For Proficiency	Areas 1,2,5,7,9 and 10 with four Indicators, each Proficiency Area is rated:
Highly Effective	e (HE) if: three or more indicators are HE and none are less than E.
Examples:	HE+HE+HE=HE HE+HE+HE+E=HE
Effective (E) if:	at least three are E or higher and no more than one are NI. None are U.
Examples:	E+E+E+HE=E E+E+E+NI=E E+E+E+E=E
Needs Improve	ment (NI) if: Criteria for E not met and no more than one is U.
Examples:	E+E+NI+NI=NI HE+HE+NI+NI =NI HE+E+U+NI=NI
Unsatisfactory	(U) if: two or more are U.
Examples:	HE+U+U+HE=U E+NI+U+U=U E+E+U+U=U

For the Proficiency Areas with fewer or more than four indicators, use the appropriate table below:

Table 2

For proficiency Area 3 with six Indicators, each Proficiency Area is rated:
Highly Effective (HE) if: four or more indicators are HE and none are less than E.
Examples: HE+HE+HE+HE+HE=HE HE+HE+HE+HE+HE+HE+HE
Effective (E) if: at least four are E or higher and no more than two are NI. None are U.
Examples: HE+HE+E+E+E=E E+E+E+NI+NI=E
Needs Improvement (NI) if: Criteria for E not met and no more than two are U.
Examples: HE+HE+NI+NI+NI+NI+NI+NI+NI+U+U=NI E+E+E+NI+NI+NI=NI
HE+HE+E+E+U=NI
Unsatisfactory (U) if: two or more are U.
Examples: HE+HE+HE+U+U=U NI+NI+NI+U+U=U

Table 3

For Proficiency	Area 4 with seven Indicators, each Proficiency Area is rated:
Highly Effective	e (HE) if: five or more indicators are HE and none are less than E.
Examples:	HE+HE+HE+HE+E+E=HE
Effective (E) if:	at least five are E or higher and no more than two are NI. None are U.
Examples:	HE+HE+E+E+NI+NI=E E+E+E+E+E+NI+NI=E
Needs Improve	ement (NI) if: Criteria for E not met and no more than two are U.
Examples:	E+E+E+E+NI+NI=NI HE+HE+E+E+U+U=NI HE+HE+HE+HE+HE+HE+U=NI
Unsatisfactory	r (U) if: two or more are U.
Examples:	HE+HE+HE+HE+U+U=U NI+NI+NI+NI+U+U=U

Table 4

For Proficiency	/ Area 6 with five lı	ndicators , each P	roficiency Are	ea is rated:	
Highly Effectiv	e (HE) if: four or m	ore indicators are	e HE and none	e are less than E	
Examples:	HE+HE+HE+HE+H	HE=HE H	E+HE+HE+HE	+E=HE	
Effective (E) if:	at least four are E	or higher and no	more than or	ne are NI. None	are U.
Examples:	E+E+E+E=E H	HE+HE+E+E+E=E	HE+E+I	E+E+NI=E	E+E+E+ENI=E
Needs Improvement (NI) if: Criteria for E not met and no more than one is U.					
Examples:	HE+HE+NI+NI+NI	I=NI E+E+NI+N	II+U=NI	NI+NI+NI+NI+U	J=NI
Unsatisfactory (U) if: two or more are U.					
Examples:	HE+HE+HE+U+U=	=U NI+NI	+NI+U+U=U		

Table 5

For Proficiency	Area 8 with thr e	<mark>ee Indicators</mark> , ea	ch Proficiency	Area is rated:	
Highly Effective	e (HE) if: two or i	more indicators	are HE and non	e are less than E.	
Examples:	HE+HE+HE=HE	HE+HE	+E=HE		
Effective (E) if:	two or more are	e E or higher and	no more than	one is NI. None are U.	
Examples:	E+E+E=E	E+E+HE=E	E+HE+NI=E	HE+HE+NI=E	
Needs Improve	Needs Improvement (NI) if: Criteria for E not met and no more than one is U.				
Examples:	NI+NI+NI=NI	NI+NI+U=NI	HE+E+U=NI	HE+NI+NI=NI	
Unsatisfactory (U) if: two or more are U.					
Examples:	HE+U+U=U	NI+U+U=U			

When you have a rating (HE, E, NI, or U) for each Proficiency Area in a Domain, you then generate a Domain rating.

Step Three: Rate Each Domain.

Domains are rated as HE, E, NI, or U based on the distribution of ratings on Proficiency Areas within the Domain. The tables below provide rating criteria for each FSLA Domain.

Table 6 Domain Rating **Domain I: Student Achievement** (Two Proficiency Areas) Highly Effective if: Both Proficiency Areas rated HE Effective if: • One Proficiency Area rated HE and one Effective, or Both rated Effective • Needs Improvement if: One Proficiency Area rated HE or E and one rated NI or U • Both Proficiency Areas rated NI • Unsatisfactory if: • One Proficiency Area rated NI and the other is rated U • Both are rated U

Table 7

10010 7			
Domain Rating	Domain 2: Instructional Leadership (Three Proficiency Areas)		
Highly Effective if:	All three Proficiency Areas are HE		
	Two Proficiency Areas rated HE and one E		
Effective if:	Two Proficiency Area rated E and one Effective or NI		
	All three Proficiency Areas rated E		
Needs Improvement if:	Any two Proficiency Areas rated NI		
	• One Proficiency Area rated NI, one Proficiency Area rated U and one		
	Proficiency Area rated E or HE		
Unsatisfactory if:	Two or more Proficiency Areas rated U		

Т	able	e 8
	unic	- 0

Domain Rating	Domain 3: Organizational Leadership (Four Proficiency Areas)
Highly Effective if:	All four Proficiency Areas are HE
	Three Proficiency Areas rated HE and one E
Effective if:	Two Proficiency Areas rated E and two rated HE
	All four Proficiency Areas rated E
	Three Proficiency Areas rated E and one rated either NI or HE
Needs Improvement if:	Two Proficiency Areas rated E and two rated NI
	Any three Proficiency Areas rated NI
	One Proficiency Area rated NI, one Proficiency Area rated U and
	two Proficiency Area rated E or HE
Unsatisfactory if:	Two or more Proficiency Areas rated U

Table 9

Domain Rating	Domain 4: Professional Behaviors (One Proficiency Area)
Highly Effective if:	If Proficiency Area 10 rated HE
Effective if:	If Proficiency Area 10 rated E
Needs Improvement if:	If Proficiency Area 10 rated NI
Unsatisfactory if:	If Proficiency Area 10 rated U

Okeechobee County School District Administrator Evaluation System When you have determined Domain ratings, you then combine those ratings to generate an FSLA score. **Step 4: Calculate the FSLA Score.**

- In Step One, proficiency ratings for indicators were made based on an assessment of available evidence and the rating rubrics.
- In Step Two, the apportionment of Indicators ratings, using the tables provided, generated a rating for each Proficiency Area within a Domain.
- In Step Three, Domain ratings were generated. All of these steps were based on evidence on the indicators and scoring tables.

<u>At the FSLA scoring stage the model shifts to a weighted point system</u>. Points are assigned to Domain ratings, direct weights are employed, and scores are converted to a numerical scale. The following point model is used:

Table 10

DOMAIN RATING	POINTS ASSIGNED
A Domain rating of Highly Effective	3 points
A Domain rating of Effective	2 points
A Domain rating of Needs Improvement	1 point
A Domain rating of Unsatisfactory	0 points

The Domain points are multiplied by the Domain's direct weight: The rating is entered in column 2 ("Rating"), the points in column 3 ("Points"), and a weighted score calculated in column 5.

Table 11				
Domain	Rating	Points	Weight	Domain Weighted Score
Domain I: Student Achievement			.20	
Domain 2: Instructional Leadership			.40	
Domain 3: Organizational Leadership			.20	
Domain 4: Professional and Ethical Behavior			.20	

Example

Table 12

Domain	Rating	Points	Weight	Domain Weighed Score
Domain I: Student Achievement	HE	3	.20	.6
Domain 2:Instructional Leadership	E	2	.40	.8
Domain 3: Organizational Leadership	HE	3	.20	.6
Domain 4: Professional & Ethical Behavior	NI	1	.20	.2

After a Domain Weighted Score is calculated, the scores are converted to a 100 point scale. This process results in a FSLA Score range of 0 to 300 Points.

This table illustrates the conversion of a Domain Weighted value to a 100 point scale.

Example

Table 13

Domain	Rating	Points	Weight	Weighed	Convert to 100	Domain
				value	point scale	Score
Domain I	HE	3	.20	.6	x 100	60
Student Achievement						
Domain 2	E	2	.40	.8	x 100	80
Instructional						
Leadership						
Domain 3	HE	3	.20	.6	x 100	60
Organizational						
Leadership						
Domain 4	NI	1	20	.2	x 100	20
Professional and						
Ethical Behavior						
FSLA Score						220

The Domain scores are added up and an FSLA score determined. The FSLA Score is converted to an FSLA rating of HE, E, NI, or U based on this scale:

Table 14	
FSLA SCORE	FSLA Proficiency Rating
240 to 300	Highly Effective
151 to 239	Effective
75 to 150	Needs Improvement
0 to 74	Unsatisfactory

The FSLA score is combined with a Deliberate Practice Score to generate a Leadership Practice Score. Section Three provides scoring processes for Deliberate Practice.

The FSLA score will be 80% of the Leadership Score.

The Deliberate Practice Score will be 20% of the Leadership Practice.

(Note: If there is no Deliberate Practice or other additional metric at this time, then the FSLA score is the Leadership Practice Score.)

How to Score Deliberate Practice

Deliberate Practice Score

- The DP score is 20% of the Leadership Practice Score.
- The DP metric will have 1 to 4 specific growth targets.
- Each target will have progress points (much like a learning goal for students).
- The targets will have equal weight and the leader's growth on each will be assessed as HE, E, NI, or U.

Table 15

Scoring a DP Growth Target	Rating Rubrics
Highly Effective	Target met, all progress points achieved, and verifiable improvement in
	leaders performance
Effective	Target met, progress points achievesimpact not yet evident
Needs Improvement	Target not met, but some progress points met
Unsatisfactory	Target not met, nothing beyond 1 progress point

A DP Score has an upper limit of 300 points. Each target is assigned an equal proportion of the total points. Therefore the points for each target will vary based on the number of targets.

Table 16		
Number of growth targets	Maximum points per target	Maximum Point Range
One Target	300	300
Two Targets	150 (300/2)	300 (150 x 2)
Three Targets	100 (300/3)	300 (100 x 3)
Four Targets	75 (300/4)	300 (75 x 4)

Target values based on Rating (HE, E, NI, or U) and Number of Targets.

This chart shows the points earned by a growth target based on a rating Level (HE, E, NI, or U) <u>and</u> the total number of targets in the DP plan.

Table 17	Table 17				
Rating	Point values	If 1 target	lf 2	If 3	lf 4
			targets	targets	targets
HE	max points	300	150	100	75
E	.80 of max	240	120	80	60
NI	.5 of max	150	75	50	37.5
U	.25 if some progress	75	37.5	25	18.75
U	.0 if 1 progress stage	0	0	0	0

A DP score is based on ratings of the targets and the points earned for each rating.

Examples

If Three Growth Targets:

Table 18

DP Target	Rating	Points (based on table 17 – column 5) *
DP TARGET 1	HE	100
DP TARGET 2	E	80
DP TARGET 3	NI	50
DP Score (target score added together)		230

* Points available vary based on total number of growth targets. Use Table 17 to select point values.

Deliberate Practice rating

Table 19

DP Score Range	DP Rating		
241 to 300	Highly Effective		
151 to 240	Effective		
75 to 150	Needs Improvement		
0 to 74	Unsatisfactory		

<u>Summary</u>

80% of the Leadership Practice Score is based on the Florida School Leader Assessment Proficiency Score.

20% of the Leadership Practice Score is based on the Deliberate Practice Growth Score.

EVALUTION FORM: Annual PERFORMANCE LEVEL

This form is used to calculate a Summative Performance Level

Name:						
School:			School	Year:		
Evaluator:	District: Okeechobee		9			
 A. Leadership Practice Score FSLA score x .80 = 	Domain	Rating	Points HE=3, E=2 NI=1, U=0	Weight	Convert to 100 Point Scale	Domain Score
Deliberate Practice Score x .20 =	1			.20	x 100	
	2			.40	x 100	
	3			.20	x 100	
Leadership Practice Score:	4			.20	x 100	
Leadership Practice Rating: ()	FSLA Sco	ore				

Highly Effective () Effective () Needs Improvement () Unsatisfactory

Leadership Practice Ranges	Performance Level Rating
240 to 300	Highly Effective
151 to 239	Effective
75 to 150	Needs Improvement
0 to 74	Unsatisfactory

B. Student Growth Measure Score: _____

Student Growth Rating: ()Highly Effective ()Effective () Needs Improvement() Unsatisfactory Convert the SGA Score from the 15-16 Cut Scores to the SGM points.

2015-16 Cut Scores	Rating	Student Growth Measure Points	Performance Level Rating
72 to 100	Highly Effective	300	Highly Effective
46 to 71	Effective	239	Effective
33 to 45	Needs Improvement	75	Needs Improvement
0 to 32	Unsatisfactory	0	Unsatisfactory

C. Final Summative Score: (A) ______ x 1.34 + (B) ______ x .66 = (C) ______

Final Summative Rating: ()Highly Effective ()Effective ()Needs Improvement()Unsatisfactory

Final Summative Ranges	Performance Level Rating
480 to 600	Highly Effective
301 to 479	Effective
150 to 300	Needs Improvement
0 to 149	Unsatisfactory

My signature does not necessarily indicate agreement with this evaluation. I understand that I may submit a written response to the evaluation within ten working days of the date of my signature

School Leader Signature:	Date:		
Evaluator's Signature:	Date:		

5. Additional Requirements

- The evaluator is the individual who is responsible for supervising the employee. An evaluator may consider input from other personnel trained in evaluation practices. If input is provided by other personnel, that personnel would be other trained administrators such as district administrators. [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)2., F.A.C.].
- All evaluators are trained in the instrument, rubric and observation method. All administrators who complete this instrument ensure that all employees are subject to an evaluation system that is based on evaluation criteria, data sources, methodologies, and procedures associated with the evaluation before the evaluation takes place, and that all administrators with evaluation responsibilities and those who provide input toward evaluation understand the proper use of the evaluation criteria and procedures. [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)3., F.A.C.].
- The evaluator provides timely feedback to the employee. Quarterly meetings are scheduled to review student performance data, instructional staff data, and other school success indicators. Additional meetings are planned after the results of the Climate Survey are completed. Feedback is also provided within ten days for any observations conducted or concerns that may arise.
- Annually, a meeting is scheduled prior to reappointment of the administrator to review the Leadership Practice portion of the evaluation instrument. Once the VAM/SGA calculations are completed for each instructional employee, school VAM/SGA scores are calculated and the final Summative Evaluation document is completed. The VAM/SGA score represents 33.3% of the overall summative evaluation and the LP portion constitutes 66.7% of the summative score. The numerical percentage score is rated as U, NI, E, HE using the cut scores applied to the teacher evaluation process. [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)4., F.A.C.].
- Information from the evaluation system will be used for the development of a professional development plan that will include a general plan for all administrators and plans for any

administrators who scored an overall Needs Improvement or below Summative Evaluation rating. [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)5., F.A.C.].

- Administrators who have been evaluated as less than effective will be required to participate in specific professional development programs tailored to meet their needs as required by s. 1012.98(10), F.S. [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)6., F.A.C.].
- All school administrators must be evaluated at least once a year. [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)7., F.A.C.].
- The evaluation system for school administrators includes opportunities for parents to provide input into performance evaluations as determined appropriate by the district superintendent or other evaluator of school-based administrators. This information is collected via an annual Climate Survey. Information that can be verified will be included for consideration in an administrator's evaluation. [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)9., F.A.C.].
- School-based administrators receive a peer administrator for support during their first year of assignment or to assist personnel who are placed on performance probation, or who request assistance. [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)11., F.A.C.].
- Instructional staff participate in an annual climate survey. The trends demonstrated in this survey are included in the school administrator's performance evaluation. Specific staff input may be considered if it is verifiable and pertinent to the administrator's performance. [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)12., F.A.C.].

6. District Evaluation Procedures

- In accordance with s. 1012.34(3)(c), F.S., the following procedures are in place. The evaluator must:
 - The Okeechobee County School District Superintendent completes the evaluations on all principals and the principals complete the evaluations on all assistant principals. Reports are submitted to the Superintendent for those employees for whom he does not complete the evaluation. These evaluation reports are submitted to the superintendent for the purpose of reviewing the employee's contract. [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(g)1., F.A.C.].
 - The written report of the evaluation is given to the employee within 10 days of the evaluation meeting[Rule 6A-5.030(2)(g)2., F.A.C] where the report is discussed with the employee[Rule 6A-5.030(2)(g)3.,F.A.C.].
 - All employees have the right to submit a written response to the evaluation which will become a permanent attachment to his/her personnel file[Rule 6A-5.030(2)(g)4., F.A.C.].
- The district school superintendent shall annually notify the Department of any school administrators who receive two consecutive unsatisfactory evaluations and shall notify the Department of any school administrators who are given written notice by the district of intent to terminate or not renew their employment, as outlined in s. 1012.34(5), F.S. [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(i), F.A.C.].

7. District Self-Monitoring

Okeechobee County School District has established a process for annually monitoring its evaluation system. The monitoring plan includes following:

- Evaluators' receive training or review the evaluation criteria to understand the proper use of evaluation criteria and procedures, including evaluator accuracy and inter-rater reliability; [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(j)1., F.A.C.]
- Evaluators provide necessary and timely feedback to employees being evaluated; [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(j)2., F.A.C.]
- Evaluators follow all established district policies and procedures in the implementation of evaluation system(s); [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(j)3., F.A.C.]
- Evaluation data is used to identify individual professional development; and [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(j)4., F.A.C.]
- Evaluation data is used inform school and district improvement plans [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(j)5., F.A.C.].

.....

Appendix A – Checklist for Approval

Performance of Students

The district has provided and meets the following criteria:

For all school administrators:

- \Box The percentage of the evaluation that is based on the performance of students criterion.
- $\hfill\square$ An explanation of the scoring method, including how it is calculated and combined.
- \Box At least one-third of the evaluation is based on performance of students.

For all school administrators confirmed the inclusion of student performance:

- □ Data for at least three years, including the current year and the two years immediately preceding the current year, when available.
- \Box If less than the three most recent years of data are available, those years for which data are available must be used.
- \Box If more than three years of student performance data are used, specified the years that will be used.

For all school administrators:

 \Box The district-determined student performance measure(s) used for personnel evaluations.

Instructional Leadership

The district has provided and meets the following criteria:

For all school administrators:

- \Box The percentage of the evaluation system that is based on the instructional leadership criterion.
- \Box At least one-third of the evaluation is based on instructional leadership.
- \Box An explanation of the scoring method, including how it is calculated and combined.
- □ The district evaluation framework for school administrators is based on contemporary research in effective educational practices.

For all school administrators:

□ A crosswalk from the district's evaluation framework to the Principal Leadership Standards demonstrating that the district's evaluation contains indicators based upon each of the Principal Leadership Standards.

For all school administrators:

□ Procedures for conducting observations and collecting data and other evidence of instructional leadership.

Other Indicators of Performance

The district has provided and meets the following criteria:

- Described the additional performance indicators, if any.
- \Box The percentage of the final evaluation that is based upon the additional indicators.
- \Box The scoring method, including how it is calculated and combined.

Summative Evaluation Score

The district has provided and meets the following criteria:

- \Box Summative evaluation form(s).
- \Box Scoring method, including how it is calculated and combined.
- □ The performance standards used to determine the summative evaluation rating (the four performance levels: highly effective, effective, needs improvement/developing, unsatisfactory).

Additional Requirements

The district has provided and meets the following criteria:

- \Box Documented that the evaluator is the individual who is responsible for supervising the employee.
- □ Identified additional positions or persons who provide input toward the evaluation, if any.

Description of training programs:

- □ Processes to ensure that all employees subject to an evaluation system are informed on evaluation criteria, data sources, methodologies, and procedures associated with the evaluation before the evaluation takes place.
- □ Processes to ensure that all individuals with evaluation responsibilities and those who provide input toward evaluation understand the proper use of the evaluation criteria and procedures.

Documented:

- □ Processes for providing timely feedback to the individual being evaluated.
- □ Description of how results from the evaluation system will be used for professional development.
- □ Requirement for participation in specific professional development programs by those who have been evaluated as less than effective.
- $\hfill\square$ All school administrators must be evaluated at least once a year.

For school administrators:

- □ Inclusion of opportunities for parents to provide input into performance evaluations when the district determines such input is appropriate.
- Description of the district's criteria for inclusion of parental input.
- Description of manner of inclusion of parental input.
- □ Description of the district's peer assistance process, if any.
- □ Description of an opportunity for instructional personnel to provide input into a school administrator's evaluation, if any.

District Evaluation Procedures

The district has provided and meets the following criteria:

□ That its evaluation procedures comply with s. 1012.34(3)(c), F.S., including:
 ➤ That the evaluator must submit a written report of the evaluation to the district

school superintendent for the purpose of reviewing the employee's contract.

- That the evaluator must submit the written report to the employee no later than 10 days after the evaluation takes place.
- > That the evaluator must discuss the written evaluation report with the employee.
- That the employee shall have the right to initiate a written response to the evaluation and the response shall become a permanent attachment to his or her personnel file.
- □ That district evaluation procedures require the district school superintendent to annually notify the Department of any school administrators who receive two consecutive unsatisfactory evaluations and to notify the Department of any school administrators who are given written notice by the district of intent to terminate or not renew their employment, as outlined in s. 1012.34, F.S.

District Self-Monitoring

- -

The district self-monitoring includes processes to determine the following:

- □ Evaluators' understanding of the proper use of evaluation criteria and procedures, including evaluator accuracy and inter-rater reliability.
- □ Evaluators provide necessary and timely feedback to employees being evaluated.
- □ Evaluators follow district policies and procedures in evaluation system(s).
- □ The use of evaluation data to identify individual professional development.
- \Box The use of evaluation data to inform school and district improvement plans.

EVALUTION FORM: Annual PERFORMANCE LEVEL

This form is used to calculate a Summative Performance Level

School: School Year:						
Evaluator:	District: Okeechobee		e			
 D. Leadership Practice Score FSLA score x .80 = 	Domain	Rating	Points HE=3, E=2 NI=1, U=0	Weight	Convert to 100 Point Scale	Domain Score
	1			.20	x 100	
	2			.40	x 100	
Deliberate Practice Score x	3			.20	x 100	
.20 =	4			.20	x 100	
.20 –	FSLA Sco	ore				

Leadership Practice Score: _____

Leadership Practice Rating: () Highly Effective () Effective () Needs Improvement () Unsatisfactory

Leadership Practice Ranges	Performance Level Rating
240 to 300	Highly Effective
151 to 239	Effective
75 to 150	Needs Improvement
0 to 74	Unsatisfactory

E. Student Growth Measure Score: _____

Student Growth Rating: () Highly Effective () Effective () Needs Improvement () Unsatisfactory

2014-15 Cut Scores	Rating	Stude	nt Growth Measure Ranges	Performance Level Rating
72 to 100	Highly Effectiv	e300		Highly Effective
46 to 71	Effective	239		Effective
33 to 45	Needs Improve	em 72551t		Needs Improvement
0 to 32	Unsatisfactory	0		Unsatisfactory

F. Final Summative Score: (A) ______x 1.34 + (B) _____x .66 = (C) _____

Final Summative Rating: () Highly Effective () Effective () Needs Improvement () Unsatisfactory

Final Summative Ranges	Performance Level Rating
480 to 600	Highly Effective
301 to 479	Effective
150 to 300	Needs Improvement
0 to 149	Unsatisfactory

My signature does not necessarily indicate agreement with this evaluation. I understand that I may submit a written response to the evaluation within ten working days of the date of my signature

School Leader Signature:	 Date:
6 _	

Evaluator's Signature:	Date:
------------------------	-------