Report No. 2019-024 September 2018

# OKEECHOBEE COUNTY DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD

Florida Education Finance Program Full-Time Equivalent Student Enrollment and Student Transportation

> For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017



Sherrill F. Norman, CPA Auditor General

Attestation Examination

### **Board Members and Superintendent**

During the 2016-17 fiscal year, Ken Kenworthy served as Superintendent and the following individuals served as Board members:

	District No.
Joe Arnold	1
Malissa Morgan, Chair	2
Dixie Ball	3
Amanda Fuchswanz from 11-22-16	4
India Riedel through 11-21-16	4
Jill Holcomb, Vice Chair	5

The team leader was Eric R. Seldomridge, CPA, and the examination was supervised by Aileen B. Peterson, CPA, CPM.

Please address inquiries regarding this report to J. David Hughes, CPA, Audit Manager, by e-mail at <u>davidhughes@aud.state.fl.us</u> or by telephone at (850) 412-2971.

This report and other reports prepared by the Auditor General are available at:

FLAuditor.gov

Printed copies of our reports may be requested by contacting us at:

State of Florida Auditor General

Claude Pepper Building, Suite G74 • 111 West Madison Street • Tallahassee, FL 32399-1450 • (850) 412-2722

# OKEECHOBEE COUNTY DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
SUMMARY	i
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT STUDENT ENROLLMENT	1
SCHEDULE A – POPULATIONS, TEST SELECTION, AND TEST RESULTS	
Reported Full-Time Equivalent Student Enrollment	4
Schools and Students	4
Teachers	5
Proposed Adjustments	5
SCHEDULE B – EFFECT OF PROPOSED ADJUSTMENTS ON WEIGHTED FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT STUDENT ENROLLMENT	6
SCHEDULE C – PROPOSED ADJUSTMENTS BY SCHOOL	7
SCHEDULE D – FINDINGS AND PROPOSED ADJUSTMENTS	
Overview	9
Findings	9
SCHEDULE E – RECOMMENDATIONS AND REGULATORY CITATIONS	
NOTES TO SCHEDULES	15
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON STUDENT TRANSPORTATION	18
SCHEDULE F – POPULATIONS, TEST SELECTION, AND TEST RESULTS	21
SCHEDULE G – FINDINGS AND PROPOSED ADJUSTMENTS	
Overview	23
Findings	23
SCHEDULE H – RECOMMENDATIONS AND REGULATORY CITATIONS	
NOTES TO SCHEDULES	27
MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE	28

# OKEECHOBEE COUNTY DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CMW	Class Minutes, Weekly
DEUSS	Date Entered United States School
DIT	Days in Term
DOE	Department of Education
ELL	English Language Learner
ESE	Exceptional Student Education
ESOL	English for Speakers of Other Languages
FAC	Florida Administrative Code
FEFP	Florida Education Finance Program
FTE	Full-Time Equivalent
IDEA	Individuals with Disabilities Education Act
IEP	Individual Educational Plan
OJT	On-the-Job Training
PK	Prekindergarten
SBE	State Board of Education

### SUMMARY OF ATTESTATION EXAMINATION

Except for the material noncompliance described below involving reporting errors or records that were not properly or accurately prepared or were not available at the time of our examination and could not be subsequently located for students in ESE Support Levels 4 and 5, the Okeechobee County District School Board (District) complied, in all material respects, with State requirements relating to the classification, assignment, and verification of the full-time equivalent (FTE) student enrollment and student transportation as reported under the Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017. Specifically, we noted exceptions involving reporting errors or records that were not properly or accurately prepared or were not available at the time of our examination and could not be subsequently located for 3 of the 16 students in our ESE Support Levels 4 and 5 test.

The District did not report any charter schools; therefore, all our tests relate to District schools other than charter schools and the District's virtual instruction program. Noncompliance related to the reported FTE student enrollment resulted in 9 findings. The resulting proposed net adjustment to the District's reported, unweighted FTE totaled .0000 but has a potential impact on the District's weighted FTE of negative 4.4581. Noncompliance related to student transportation resulted in 6 findings and a proposed net adjustment of negative 43 students.

The weighted adjustments to the FTE student enrollment are presented in our report for illustrative purposes only. The weighted adjustments to the FTE student enrollment do not take special program caps and allocation factors into account and are not intended to indicate the weighted FTE used to compute the dollar value of adjustments. That computation is the responsibility of the Department of Education (DOE). However, the gross dollar effect of our proposed adjustments to the FTE may be estimated by multiplying the proposed net weighted adjustments to the FTE student enrollment by the base student allocation amount. The base student allocation for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, was \$4,160.71 per FTE. For the District, the estimated gross dollar effect of our proposed adjustments to the reported FTE student enrollment is negative \$18,549 (negative 4.4581 times \$4,160.71).

We have not presented an estimate of the potential dollar effect of our proposed adjustments to student transportation because there is no equivalent method for making such an estimate.

The ultimate resolution of our proposed adjustments to the FTE student enrollment and student transportation and the computation of their financial impact is the responsibility of the DOE.

### THE DISTRICT

The District was established pursuant to Section 1001.30, Florida Statutes, to provide public educational services for the residents of Okeechobee County, Florida. Those services are provided primarily to PK through 12th-grade students and to adults seeking career education-type training. The District is part of the State system of public education under the general direction and control of the SBE. The geographic boundaries of the District are those of Okeechobee County.

The governing body of the District is the District School Board that is composed of five elected members. The executive officer of the Board is the appointed Superintendent of Schools. The District had 12 schools, 1 District cost center, and 2 virtual education cost centers serving PK through 12th-grade students. The District did not report any charter schools.

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, State funding totaling \$30.5 million was provided through the FEFP to the District for the District-reported 6,462.32 unweighted FTE as recalibrated. The primary sources of funding for the District are funds from the FEFP, local ad valorem taxes, and Federal grants and donations.

#### FEFP

### **FTE Student Enrollment**

Florida school districts receive State funding through the FEFP to serve PK through 12th-grade students (adult education is not funded by the FEFP). The FEFP was established by the Florida Legislature in 1973 to guarantee to each student in the Florida public school system, including charter schools, the availability of programs and services appropriate to the student's educational needs that are substantially equal to those available to any similar student notwithstanding geographic differences and varying local economic factors. To provide equalization of educational opportunity in Florida, the FEFP formula recognizes: (1) varying local property tax bases, (2) varying program cost factors, (3) district cost differentials, and (4) differences in per-student costs for equivalent educational programs due to sparsity and dispersion of student population.

The funding provided by the FEFP is based on the numbers of individual students participating in particular educational programs. A numerical value is assigned to each student according to the student's hours and days of attendance in those programs. The individual student thus becomes equated to a numerical value known as an unweighted FTE student enrollment. For brick and mortar school students, one student would be reported as 1.0 FTE if the student was enrolled in six courses per day at 50 minutes per course for the full 180-day school year (i.e., six courses at 50 minutes each per day is 5 hours of class a day or 25 hours per week, which equates to 1.0 FTE). For virtual education students, one student would be reported as 1.0 FTE if the student has successfully completed six courses or credits or the prescribed level of content that counts toward promotion to the next grade. A student who completes less than six credits will be reported as a fraction of an FTE. Half-credit completions will be included in determining an FTE student enrollment. Credits completed by a student in excess of the minimum required for that student for graduation are not eligible for funding.

School districts report all FTE student enrollment regardless of the 1.0 FTE cap. The DOE combines all FTE student enrollment reported for the student by all school districts, including the Florida Virtual School. The DOE then recalibrates all reported FTE student enrollment for each student to 1.0 FTE, if the total reported FTE for the student exceeds 1.0 FTE. The FTE student enrollment reported by the Department of Juvenile Justice for FTE student enrollment earned beyond the 180-day school year is not included in the recalibration to 1.0 FTE.

All FTE student enrollment is capped at 1.0 FTE except for the FTE student enrollment reported by the Department of Juvenile Justice for students beyond the 180-day school year. However, if a student only

has FTE student enrollment reported in one survey of the 180-day school year (Survey 2 or Survey 3), the FTE student enrollment reported will be capped at .5000 FTE, even if FTE student enrollment is reported in Survey 1 or Survey 4, with the exception of FTE student enrollment reported by the Department of Juvenile Justice for students beyond the 180-day school year.

# **Student Transportation**

Any student who is transported by the District must meet one or more of the following conditions in order to be eligible for State transportation funding: live 2 or more miles from school, be classified as a student with a disability under the IDEA, be a Career Education 9-12 or an ESE student who is transported from one school center to another where appropriate programs are provided, or be on a route that meets the criteria for hazardous walking conditions specified in Section 1006.23 Florida Statutes. Additionally, Section 1002.33(20)(c), Florida Statutes, provides that the governing board of the charter school may provide transportation through an agreement or contract with the district school board, a private provider, or parents. The charter school and the sponsor shall cooperate in making arrangements that ensure that transportation is not a barrier to equal access for all students residing within a reasonable distance of the charter school as determined in its charter. The District received \$1.6 million for student transportation as part of the State funding through the FEFP.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



Sherrill F. Norman, CPA Auditor General

# AUDITOR GENERAL STATE OF FLORIDA

Claude Denson Pepper Building, Suite G74 111 West Madison Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1450



Phone: (850) 412-2722 Fax: (850) 488-6975

The President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the Legislative Auditing Committee

# INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

# **Report on Full-Time Equivalent Student Enrollment**

We have examined the Okeechobee County District School Board's (District's) compliance with State requirements relating to the classification, assignment, and verification of the full-time equivalent (FTE) student enrollment reported under the Florida Education Finance Program for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017. These requirements are found primarily in Sections 1011.60, 1011.61, and 1011.62, Florida Statutes; State Board of Education Rules, Chapter 6A-1, Florida Administrative Code; and the *FTE General Instructions 2016-17* issued by the Department of Education.

### Management's Responsibility for Compliance

District management is responsible for the District's compliance with the aforementioned State requirements, including the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance due to fraud or error.

### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the District's compliance with State requirements based on our examination. Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the examination to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the classification, assignment, and verification of the full-time equivalent student enrollment reported by the District under the Florida Education Finance Program complied with State requirements in all material respects.

An examination involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about whether the District complied with State requirements. The nature, timing, and extent of the procedures selected depend on our judgment, including an assessment of the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error. We believe that the evidence we obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a reasonable basis for

our opinion. Our examination does not provide a legal determination on the District's compliance with State requirements. The legal determination of the District's compliance with these requirements is the responsibility of the Department of Education.

An examination by its nature does not include a review of all records and actions of District management and staff and, as a consequence cannot be relied upon to identify all instances of noncompliance, fraud, abuse, or inefficiency. Because of these limitations and the inherent limitations of internal control, an unavoidable risk exists that some material misstatements may not be detected, even though the examination is properly planned and performed in accordance with attestation standards.

# Opinion

Our examination disclosed material noncompliance with State requirements relating to the classification, assignment, and verification of full-time equivalent student enrollment as reported under the Florida Education Finance Program for Exceptional Student Education Support Levels 4 and 5 involving reporting errors or records that were not properly or accurately prepared or were not available at the time of our examination and could not be subsequently located.

In our opinion, except for the material noncompliance with State requirements described in the preceding paragraph involving reporting errors or records that were not properly or accurately prepared or were not available at the time of our examination and could not be subsequently located for students in Exceptional Student Education Support Levels 4 and 5, the Okeechobee County District School Board complied, in all material respects, with State requirements relating to the classification, assignment, and verification of the full-time equivalent student enrollment reported under the Florida Education Finance Program for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017.

# Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report all deficiencies that are considered to be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses<sup>1</sup> in internal control; fraud and noncompliance with provisions of laws or regulations that have a material effect on the District's compliance with State requirements; and any other instances that warrant the attention of those charged with governance; noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements that has a material effect on the District's compliance with State requirements. We are also required to obtain and report the views of responsible officials concerning the findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as any planned corrective actions.

We performed our examination to express an opinion on the District's compliance with State requirements and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the District's related internal control over compliance with State requirements; accordingly, we express no such opinion. Because of its limited purpose, our examination would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However, the material noncompliance mentioned

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A significant deficiency is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

above is indicative of significant deficiencies considered to be material weaknesses in the District's internal controls related to reporting errors or records that were not properly or accurately prepared or were not available at the time of our examination and could not be subsequently located for students in Exceptional Student Education Support Levels 4 and 5. Our examination disclosed certain findings that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and all findings, along with the views of responsible officials, are described in *SCHEDULE D* and *MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE*, respectively. The impact of this noncompliance with State requirements on the District's reported full-time equivalent student enrollment is presented in *SCHEDULES A, B, C,* and *D*.

The District's written response to this examination has not been subjected to our examination procedures and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

# Purpose of this Report

Pursuant to Section 11.45(4)(c), Florida Statutes, this report is a public record and its distribution is not limited. Attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants require us to indicate that the purpose of this report is to provide an opinion on the District's compliance with State requirements. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

Corman

Sherrill F. Norman, CPA Tallahassee, Florida September 11, 2018

#### POPULATIONS, TEST SELECTION, AND TEST RESULTS FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT STUDENT ENROLLMENT

#### Reported FTE Student Enrollment

The funding provided by the FEFP is based on the numbers of individual students participating in particular educational programs. The FEFP funds ten specific programs that are grouped under the following four general program titles: Basic, ESOL, ESE, and Career Education 9-12. The unweighted FTE represents the FTE prior to the application of the specific cost factor for each program. (See *SCHEDULE B* and NOTE A3., A4., and A5.) For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, the Okeechobee County District School Board (District) reported to the DOE 6,462.32 unweighted FTE as recalibrated at 12 District schools, 1 District cost center, and 2 virtual education cost centers. The District did not report any charter schools.

#### **Schools and Students**

As part of our examination procedures, we tested the FTE student enrollment reported to the DOE for schools and students for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017. (See NOTE B.) The population of schools (15) consisted of the total number of brick and mortar schools and cost centers in the District that offered courses as well as the virtual education cost centers in the District that offered virtual instruction in the FEFP-funded programs. The population of students (4,904) consisted of the total number of students in each program at the schools and cost centers in our tests. Our Career Education 9-12 student test data includes only those students who participated in OJT.

We noted the following material noncompliance: exceptions involving reporting errors or records that were not properly or accurately prepared or were not available at the time of our examination and could not be subsequently located for 3 of the 16 students in our ESE Support Levels 4 and 5 test.<sup>2</sup>

	Number of S	<u>chools</u>	Number of Stu at Schools Te		Students With	Recalibra Unweighte		Proposed
Programs	Population	Test	<b>Population</b>	Test	Exceptions	<b>Population</b>	Test	Adjustments
Basic	15	6	3.454	63	0	4.126.7900	38.7114	10.3662
Basic with ESE Services	13	5	858	40	0	1,563.8200	33.7691	.7583
ESOL	9	4	551	124	4	577.3700	94.0475	(10.3371)
ESE Support Levels 4 and 5	7	3	17	16	3	5.4100	3.3790	(.7874)
Career Education 9-12	5	1	24	20	<u>0</u>	188.9300	4.0865	.0000
All Programs	15	6	4,904	263	<u>7</u>	6,462.3200	173.9935	.0000

Our populations and tests of schools and students are summarized as follows:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For ESE Support Levels 4 and 5, the material noncompliance is composed of Findings 3, 4, and 7 on SCHEDULE D.

# <u>Teachers</u>

We also tested teacher qualifications as part of our examination procedures. (See NOTE B.) Specifically, the population of teachers (239) consisted of the total number of teachers at schools in our test who taught courses in ESE Support Levels 4 and 5, Career Education 9-12, or taught courses to ELL students, and of the total number of teachers reported under virtual education cost centers in our test who taught courses in Basic, Basic with ESE Services, ESE Support Levels 4 and 5, Career Education 9-12, or taught courses to ELL students. From the population of teachers, we selected 69 and found exceptions for 3 teachers.

# Proposed Adjustments

Our proposed adjustments present the net effects of noncompliance disclosed by our examination procedures, including those related to our test of teacher qualifications. Our proposed adjustments generally reclassify the reported FTE to Basic education, except for noncompliance involving a student's enrollment or attendance in which case the reported FTE is taken to zero. (See *SCHEDULES B, C,* and *D*.)

The ultimate resolution of our proposed adjustments to the FTE student enrollment and the computation of their financial impact is the responsibility of the DOE.

#### EFFECT OF PROPOSED ADJUSTMENTS ON WEIGHTED FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT STUDENT ENROLLMENT

No. Program (1)	Proposed Net Adjustment (2)	Cost <u>Factor</u>	Weighted FTE (3)
101 Basic K-3	9.4804	1.103	10.4569
102 Basic 4-8	.8658	1.000	.8658
103 Basic 9-12	.0200	1.001	.0200
112 Grades 4-8 with ESE Services	.4998	1.000	.4998
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services	.2585	1.001	.2588
130 ESOL	(10.3371)	1.194	(12.3425)
254 ESE Support Level 4	(.0091)	3.607	(.0328)
255 ESE Support Level 5	<u>(.7783</u> )	5.376	<u>(4.1841</u> )
Total	.0000		<u>(4.4581</u> )

Notes: (1) See NOTE A7.

(2) These proposed net adjustments are for <u>un</u>weighted FTE. (See SCHEDULE C.)

(3) Weighted adjustments to the FTE are presented for illustrative purposes only. The weighted adjustments to the FTE do not take special program caps or allocation factors into consideration and are not intended to indicate the FTE used to compute the dollar value of adjustments. That computation is the responsibility of the DOE. (See NOTE A5.)

#### PROPOSED ADJUSTMENTS BY SCHOOL FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT STUDENT ENROLLMENT

	Propos	ed Adjustments (	1)	
No. Program	<u>#0031</u>	<u>#0101</u>	<u>#0171</u>	Balance <u>Forward</u>
101 Basic K-3	2.5932		.8700	3.4632
102 Basic 4-8				.0000
103 Basic 9-12		.0200		.0200
112 Grades 4-8 with ESE Services				.0000
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services		.2585		.2585
130 ESOL	(2.5932)		(.8700)	(3.4632)
254 ESE Support Level 4				.0000
255 ESE Support Level 5	<u></u>	<u>(.2785</u> )	<u></u>	<u>(.2785</u> )
Total	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000

Note: (1) These proposed net adjustments are for <u>un</u>weighted FTE. (See NOTE A5.)

		Proposed Adjustm	ents (1)
No. Program	Brought <u>Forward</u>	<u>#0181</u>	<u>Total</u>
101 Basic K-3	3.4632	6.0172	9.4804
102 Basic 4-8	.0000	.8658	.8658
103 Basic 9-12	.0200		.0200
112 Grades 4-8 with ESE Services	.0000	.4998	.4998
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services	.2585		.2585
130 ESOL	(3.4632)	(6.8739)	(10.3371)
254 ESE Support Level 4	.0000	(.0091)	(.0091)
255 ESE Support Level 5	<u>(.2785</u> )	<u>(.4998</u> )	<u>(.7783</u> )
Total	.0000	.0000	<u>.0000</u>

Note: (1) These proposed net adjustments are for <u>un</u>weighted FTE. (See NOTE A5.)

#### FINDINGS AND PROPOSED ADJUSTMENTS FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT STUDENT ENROLLMENT

#### **Overview**

Okeechobee County District School Board (District) management is responsible for determining that the FTE student enrollment as reported under the FEFP is in compliance with State requirements. These requirements are found primarily in Sections 1011.60, 1011.61, and 1011.62, Florida Statutes; SBE Rules, Chapter 6A-1, FAC; and the *FTE General Instructions 2016-17* issued by the DOE. All noncompliance disclosed by our examination procedures is discussed below and requires management's attention and action as presented in *SCHEDULE E*.

#### **Findings**

Our examination included the July and October 2016 reporting survey periods and the February and June 2017 reporting survey periods (See NOTE A6.). Unless otherwise specifically stated, the Findings and Proposed Adjustments presented herein are for the October 2016 reporting survey period, the February 2017 reporting survey period, or both. Accordingly, our Findings do not mention specific reporting survey periods unless necessary for a complete understanding of the instances of noncompliance being disclosed.

#### Central Elementary School (#0031)

1. [Ref. 3170] One teacher taught Primary Language Arts to a class that included ELL students but was not properly certified to teach ELL students and was not approved by the School Board to teach such students out of field. We also noted that the parents of the students were not notified of the teacher's out-of-field status. We propose the following adjustment:

101 Basic K-3	2.5932	
130 ESOL	<u>(2.5932</u> )	.0000

#### .0000

Proposed Net Adjustments

(Unweighted FTE)

### Okeechobee High School (#0101)

2. [Ref. 10103] The course schedules for 9th-grade students were incorrectly reported. The School's bell schedule supported 430 CMW for 4th period class; however, the students' course schedules were not reported in agreement with the School's bell schedule. The 4th-period class was reported for 250 CMW resulting in the students' total CMW to be underreported by 180 CMW. The overall total CMW supported by the bell schedule met the minimum reporting of CMW. Student course schedules, which are (*Finding Continues on Next Page*)

.0000

#### **Findings**

#### Okeechobee High School (#0101) (Continued)

necessary for the recalibration process to work appropriately, should reflect the correct number of CMW according to the School's bell schedule. Since most of the students were reported within the District for the entire school year and their reported FTE was recalibrated to 1.0, this incorrect reporting did not affect their ultimate funding level. As such, we present this disclosure finding with no proposed adjustment.

3. [Ref. 10101] One ESE student was incorrectly reported in Program No. 255 (ESE Support Level 5) based on the student's placement in the Hospital and Homebound Program. The student was scheduled for on-campus and homebound instruction; however, the on-campus instruction should have been reported in Program No. 113 (Grades 9-12 with ESE Services). We propose the following adjustment:

113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services	.2585	
255 ESE Support Level 5	<u>(.2585</u> )	.0000

4. [Ref. 10102] The IEP for one student was not available at the time of our examination and could not be subsequently located. We propose the following adjustment:

103 Basic 9-12	.0200	
255 ESE Support Level 5	<u>(.0200</u> )	.0000

**Everglades Elementary School (#0171)** 

5. [Ref. 17101] One student's English language proficiency was not assessed within 30 school days prior to the student's DEUSS anniversary date and an ELL Committee was not convened by October 1 to consider the student's continued ESOL placement beyond 3 years from the student's DEUSS. We propose the following adjustment:

101 Basic K-3	.8700	
130 ESOL	<u>(.8700)</u>	.0000

.0000

.0000

#### **Findings**

#### Seminole Elementary School (#0181)

6. [Ref. 18101] The English language proficiency for three students was not assessed and ELL Committees not convened within 30 school days prior to the students' DEUSS anniversary dates to consider the students' continued ESOL placements beyond 3 years from each student's DEUSS. We propose the following adjustment:

101 Basic K-3 102 Basic 4-8	1.7294 .8658	
130 ESOL	<u>(2.5952</u> ) .0000	

7. [Ref. 18102] One ESE student was incorrectly reported in Program No. 255 (ESE Support Level 5) based on the student's placement in the Hospital and Homebound Program. The student was scheduled for on-campus and homebound instruction; however, the on-campus instruction should have been reported in Program No. 112 (Grades 4-8 with ESE Services). We propose the following adjustment:

112 Grades 4-8 with ESE Services	.4998	
255 ESE Support Level 5	<u>(.4998</u> )	.0000

8. [Ref. 18170] The parents of the students of one out-of-field teacher were not notified of the teacher's out-of-field status. We propose the following adjustment:

101 Basic K-3	4.2787	
130 ESOL	<u>(4.2787)</u>	.0000

9. [Ref. 18171] One teacher was not properly certified and was not approved by the School Board to teach out of field. The teacher held certification in an ESE field but taught a course that required certification in Elementary Education. We also noted that the parents of the students were not notified of the teacher's out-of-field status. We propose the following adjustment:

101 Basic K-3 254 ESE Support Level 4	.0091 (.0091) <u>.0000</u>
	.0000
Proposed Net Adjustment	<u>.0000</u>

#### RECOMMENDATIONS AND REGULATORY CITATIONS FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT STUDENT ENROLLMENT

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

We recommend that Okeechobee County District School Board (District) management exercise more care and take corrective action, as appropriate, to ensure that: (1) students' reported course schedule instructional minutes are in agreement with the schools' bell schedules; (2) documentation is retained in each student's file to support the student's placement in the ESOL Program; (3) the English language proficiency of students being considered for continuation of their ESOL placements (beyond 3-year base period) is timely assessed and ELL Committees are timely convened subsequent to these assessments; (4) schedules for students concurrently enrolled on-campus and in the Hospital and Homebound Program are reported in the appropriate programs, for the correct number of instructional minutes, and the correct amount of FTE; (5) IEPs and *Matrix of Services* forms for ESE students are timely prepared and are retained in the students' files; (6) teachers are properly certified or, if teaching out of field, are timely approved by the School Board to teach out of field; and (7) parents are timely notified when their children are assigned to teachers teaching out of field.

The absence of statements in this report regarding practices and procedures followed by the District should not be construed as acceptance, approval, or endorsement of those practices and procedures. Additionally, the specific nature of this report does not limit or lessen the District's obligation to comply with all State requirements relating to the classification, assignment, and verification of the FTE student enrollment as reported under the FEFP.

### **REGULATORY CITATIONS**

### **Reporting**

Section 1007.271(21), Florida Statutes, *Dual Enrollment Programs* Section 1011.60, Florida Statutes, *Minimum Requirements of the Florida Education Finance Program* Section 1011.61, Florida Statutes, *Definitions* Section 1011.62, Florida Statutes, *Funds for Operation of Schools* SBE Rule 6A-1.0451, FAC, *Florida Education Finance Program Student Membership Surveys* SBE Rule 6A-1.045111, FAC, *Hourly Equivalent to 180-Day School Year* SBE Rule 6A-1.04513, FAC, *Maintaining Auditable FTE Records FTE General Instructions 2016-17* 

### **Attendance**

Section 1003.23, Florida Statutes, *Attendance Records and Reports* SBE Rule 6A-1.044(3) and (6)(c), FAC, *Pupil Attendance Records* SBE Rule 6A-1.04513, FAC, *Maintaining Auditable FTE Records FTE General Instructions 2016-17* 

Comprehensive Management Information System: Automated Student Attendance Recordkeeping System Handbook

# <u>ESOL</u>

Section 1003.56, Florida Statutes, *English Language Instruction for Limited English Proficient Students* Section 1011.62(1)(g), Florida Statutes, *Education for Speakers of Other Languages* 

SBE Rule 6A-6.0901, FAC, Definitions Which Apply to Programs for English Language Learners

- SBE Rule 6A-6.0902, FAC, Requirements for Identification, Eligibility, and Programmatic Assessments of English Language Learners
- SBE Rule 6A-6.09021, FAC, Annual English Language Proficiency Assessment for English Language Learners (ELLs)
- SBE Rule 6A-6.09022, FAC, Extension of Services in English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL) Program
- SBE Rule 6A-6.0903, FAC, Requirements for Exiting English Language Learners from the English for Speakers of Other Languages Program

SBE Rule 6A-6.09031, FAC, Post Reclassification of English Language Learners (ELLs)

SBE Rule 6A-6.0904, FAC, Equal Access to Appropriate Instruction for English Language Learners

# Career Education On-The-Job Attendance

SBE Rule 6A-1.044(6)(c), FAC, Pupil Attendance Records

# Career Education On-The-Job Funding Hours

FTE General Instructions 2016-17

### **Exceptional Education**

Section 1003.57, Florida Statutes, Exceptional Students Instruction

Section 1011.62, Florida Statutes, Funds for Operation of Schools

Section 1011.62(1)(e), Florida Statutes, Funding Model for Exceptional Student Education Programs

- SBE Rule 6A-6.03028, FAC, Provision of Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE) and Development of Individual Educational Plans for Students with Disabilities
- SBE Rule 6A-6.03029, FAC, Development of Individualized Family Support Plans for Children with Disabilities Ages Birth Through Five Years

SBE Rule 6A-6.0331, FAC, General Education Intervention Procedures, Evaluation, Determination of Eligibility, Reevaluation and the Provision of Exceptional Student Education Services

SBE Rule 6A-6.0334, FAC, Individual Educational Plans (IEPs) and Educational Plans (EPs) for Transferring Exceptional Students

SBE Rule 6A-6.03411, FAC, Definitions, ESE Policies and Procedures, and ESE Administrators SBE Rule 6A-6.0361, FAC, Contractual Agreements with Nonpublic Schools and Residential Facilities Matrix of Services Handbook (2015 Edition)

# **Teacher Certification**

Section 1012.42(2), Florida Statutes, Teacher Teaching Out-of-Field; Notification Requirements
Section 1012.55, Florida Statutes, Positions for Which Certificates Required
SBE Rule 6A-1.0502, FAC, Non-certificated Instructional Personnel
SBE Rule 6A-1.0503, FAC, Definition of Qualified Instructional Personnel
SBE Rule 6A-4.001, FAC, Instructional Personnel Certification
SBE Rule 6A-6.0907, FAC, Inservice Requirements for Personnel of Limited English Proficient Students

### **Virtual Education**

Section 1002.321, Florida Statutes, *Digital Learning* Section 1002.37, Florida Statutes, *The Florida Virtual School* Section 1002.45, Florida Statutes, *Virtual Instruction Programs* Section 1002.455, Florida Statutes, *Student Eligibility for K-12 Virtual Instruction* Section 1003.498, Florida Statutes, *School District Virtual Course Offerings* 

#### Charter Schools

Section 1002.33, Florida Statutes, Charter Schools

# NOTE A – SUMMARY FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT STUDENT ENROLLMENT

A summary discussion of the significant features of the Okeechobee County District School Board (District), the FEFP, the FTE, and related areas is provided below.

# 1. The District

The District was established pursuant to Section 1001.30, Florida Statutes, to provide public educational services for the residents of Okeechobee County, Florida. Those services are provided primarily to PK through 12th-grade students and to adults seeking career education-type training. The District is part of the State system of public education under the general direction and control of the SBE. The geographic boundaries of the District are those of Okeechobee County.

The governing body of the District is the District School Board that is composed of five elected members. The executive officer of the Board is the appointed Superintendent of Schools. The District had 12 schools, 1 District cost center, and 2 virtual education cost centers serving PK through 12th-grade students. The District did not report any charter schools.

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, State funding totaling \$30.5 million was provided through the FEFP to the District for the District-reported 6,462.32 unweighted FTE as recalibrated. The primary sources of funding for the District are funds from the FEFP, local ad valorem taxes, and Federal grants and donations.

# 2. FEFP

Florida school districts receive State funding through the FEFP to serve PK through 12th-grade students (adult education is not funded by the FEFP). The FEFP was established by the Florida Legislature in 1973 to guarantee to each student in the Florida public school system, including charter schools, the availability of programs and services appropriate to the student's educational needs that are substantially equal to those available to any similar student notwithstanding geographic differences and varying local economic factors. To provide equalization of educational opportunity in Florida, the FEFP formula recognizes: (1) varying local property tax bases, (2) varying program cost factors, (3) district cost differentials, and (4) differences in per-student cost for equivalent educational programs due to sparsity and dispersion of student population.

# 3. FTE Student Enrollment

The funding provided by the FEFP is based on the numbers of individual students participating in particular educational programs. A numerical value is assigned to each student according to the student's hours and days of attendance in those programs. The individual student thus becomes equated to a numerical value known as an unweighted FTE student enrollment. For example, for PK through 3rd grade, 1.0 FTE is defined as one student in membership in a program or a group of programs for 20 hours per week for 180 days; for grade levels 4 through 12, 1.0 FTE is defined as one student in membership in a program or a group of programs for 25 hours per week for 180 days. For brick and mortar school

students, one student would be reported as 1.0 FTE if the student was enrolled in six courses per day at 50 minutes per course for the full 180-day school year (i.e., six courses at 50 minutes each per day is 5 hours of class a day or 25 hours per week, which equates to 1.0 FTE). For virtual education students, one student would be reported as 1.0 FTE if the student has successfully completed six courses or credits or the prescribed level of content that counts toward promotion to the next grade. A student who completes less than six credits will be reported as a fraction of an FTE. Half-credit completions will be included in determining an FTE student enrollment. Credits completed by a student in excess of the minimum required for that student for graduation are not eligible for funding.

# 4. Recalibration of FTE to 1.0

School districts report all FTE student enrollment regardless of the 1.0 FTE cap. The DOE combines all FTE student enrollment reported for the student by all school districts, including the Florida Virtual School. If the combined reported FTE for the student exceeds 1.0 FTE, the DOE recalibrates the reported FTE student enrollment for each student to 1.0 FTE. The FTE student enrollment reported by the Department of Juvenile Justice for FTE student enrollment earned beyond the 180-day school year is not included in the recalibration to 1.0 FTE.

All FTE student enrollment is capped at 1.0 FTE except for the FTE student enrollment reported by the Department of Juvenile Justice for students beyond the 180-day school year. However, if a student only has FTE student enrollment reported in one survey of the 180-day school year (Survey 2 or Survey 3), the FTE student enrollment reported will be capped at .5000 FTE, even if FTE student enrollment is reported in Survey 1 or Survey 4, with the exception of FTE student enrollment reported by the Department of Juvenile Justice for students beyond the 180-day school year.

# 5. Calculation of FEFP Funds

The amount of State and local FEFP funds is calculated by the DOE by multiplying the number of unweighted FTE in each educational program by the specific cost factor of each program to obtain weighted FTEs. Weighted FTEs are multiplied by the base student allocation amount and that product is multiplied by the appropriate cost differential factor. Various adjustments are then added to obtain the total State and local FEFP dollars. All cost factors, the base student allocation amount, cost differential factors, and various adjustment figures are established by the Florida Legislature.

# 6. FTE Reporting Survey Periods

The FTE is determined and reported during the school year by means of four FTE membership survey periods that are conducted under the direction of district and school management. Each survey period is a testing of the FTE membership for a period of 1 week. The survey periods for the 2016-17 school year were conducted during and for the following weeks: Survey 1 was performed July 11 through 15, 2016; Survey 2 was performed October 10 through 14, 2016; Survey 3 was performed February 6 through 10, 2017; and Survey 4 was performed June 12 through 16, 2017.

# 7. Educational Programs

The FEFP funds ten specific programs under which instruction may be provided as authorized by the Florida Legislature. The general program titles under which these specific programs fall are: (1) Basic, (2) ESOL, (3) ESE, and (4) Career Education 9-12.

### 8. Statutes and Rules

The following statutes and rules are of significance to the administration of Florida public education:

Chapter 1000, Florida Statutes, *K-20 General Provisions* Chapter 1001, Florida Statutes, *K-20 Governance* Chapter 1002, Florida Statutes, *Student and Parental Rights and Educational Choices* Chapter 1003, Florida Statutes, *Public K-12 Education* Chapter 1006, Florida Statutes, *Support for Learning* Chapter 1007, Florida Statutes, *Articulation and Access* Chapter 1010, Florida Statutes, *Financial Matters* Chapter 1011, Florida Statutes, *Planning and Budgeting* Chapter 1012, Florida Statutes, *Personnel* SBE Rules, Chapter 6A-1, FAC, *Finance and Administration* SBE Rules, Chapter 6A-4, FAC, *Certification* SBE Rules, Chapter 6A-6, FAC, *Special Programs I* 

# NOTE B – TESTING FTE STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Our examination procedures for testing provided for the selection of schools, students, and teachers using judgmental methods for testing the FTE student enrollment as reported under the FEFP to the DOE for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017. Our testing process was designed to facilitate the performance of appropriate examination procedures to test the District's compliance with State requirements relating to the classification, assignment, and verification of the FTE student enrollment as reported under the FEFP. The following schools were selected for testing:

<u>School</u>

- 1. Central Elementary School
- 2. Okeechobee High School
- 3. Everglades Elementary School
- 4. Seminole Elementary School
- 5. Okeechobee Virtual Instruction Program
- 6. Okeechobee Virtual Franchise

Findings 1 2 through 4 5 6 through 9 NA NA



Sherrill F. Norman, CPA Auditor General

# AUDITOR GENERAL STATE OF FLORIDA

Claude Denson Pepper Building, Suite G74 111 West Madison Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1450



Phone: (850) 412-2722 Fax: (850) 488-6975

The President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the Legislative Auditing Committee

# **INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT**

### **Report on Student Transportation**

We have examined the Okeechobee County District School Board's (District's) compliance with State requirements relating to the classification, assignment, and verification of student transportation as reported under the Florida Education Finance Program for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017. These requirements are found primarily in Chapter 1006, Part I, E. and Section 1011.68, Florida Statutes; State Board of Education Rules, Chapter 6A-3, Florida Administrative Code; and the *Student Transportation General Instructions 2016-17* issued by the Department of Education.

### Management's Responsibility for Compliance

District management is responsible for the District's compliance with the aforementioned State requirements, including the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance due to fraud or error.

### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the District's compliance with State requirements based on our examination. Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the examination to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the classification, assignment, and verification of student transportation reported by the District under the Florida Education Finance Program complied with State requirements in all material respects.

An examination involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about whether the District complied with State requirements. The nature, timing, and extent of the procedures selected depend on our judgment, including an assessment of the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error. We believe that the evidence we obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a reasonable basis for

our opinion. Our examination does not provide a legal determination on the District's compliance with State requirements. The legal determination of the District's compliance with these requirements is, however, ultimately the responsibility of the Department of Education.

An examination by its nature does not include a review of all records and actions of District management and staff and, as a consequence cannot be relied upon to identify all instances of noncompliance, fraud, abuse, or inefficiency. Because of these limitations and the inherent limitations of internal control, an unavoidable risk exists that some material misstatements may not be detected, even though the examination is properly planned and performed in accordance with attestation standards.

# Opinion

In our opinion, the Okeechobee County District School Board complied, in all material respects, with State requirements relating to the classification, assignment, and verification of student transportation reported under the Florida Education Finance Program for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017.

# Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with attestation standards established by *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report all deficiencies that are considered to be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses<sup>3</sup> in internal control; fraud and noncompliance with provisions of laws or regulations that have a material effect on the District's compliance with State requirements; and any other instances that warrant the attention of those charged with governance; noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements that has a material effect on the District's compliance with State requirements; and abuse that has a material effect on the District's compliance with State requirements. We are also required to obtain and report the views of responsible officials concerning the findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as any planned corrective actions.

We performed our examination to express an opinion on the District's compliance with State requirements and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the District's related internal control over compliance with State requirements; accordingly, we express no such opinion. Our examination disclosed certain findings that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and all findings, along with the views of responsible officials, are described in *SCHEDULE G* and *MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE*, respectively. Because of its limited purpose, our examination would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. The impact of this noncompliance with State requirements on the District's reported student transportation is presented in *SCHEDULES F* and *G*.

The District's written response to this examination has not been subjected to our examination procedures and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A significant deficiency is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

# Purpose of this Report

Pursuant to Section 11.45(4)(c), Florida Statutes, this report is a public record and its distribution is not limited. Attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants require us to indicate that the purpose of this report is to provide an opinion on the District's compliance with State requirements. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

Corman

Sherrill F. Norman, CPA Tallahassee, Florida September 11, 2018

#### POPULATIONS, TEST SELECTION, AND TEST RESULTS STUDENT TRANSPORTATION

Any student who is transported by the Okeechobee County District School Board (District) must meet one or more of the following conditions in order to be eligible for State transportation funding: live 2 or more miles from school, be classified as a student with a disability under the IDEA, be a Career Education 9-12 or an ESE student who is transported from one school center to another where appropriate programs are provided, or be on a route that meets the criteria for hazardous walking conditions specified in Section 1006.23(2), Florida Statutes. (See NOTE A1.)

As part of our examination procedures, we tested student transportation as reported to the DOE for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017. (See NOTE B.) The population of vehicles (115) consisted of the total number of vehicles (buses, vans, or passenger cars) reported by the District for all reporting survey periods. For example, a vehicle that transported students during the July and October 2016 and February and June 2017 reporting survey periods would be counted in the population as four vehicles. Similarly, the population of students (7,282) consisted of the total number of students reported by the District reported students in the following ridership categories:

Ridership Category	Number of Students <u>Transported</u>
Teenage Parents and Infants	5
Hazardous Walking	607
IDEA – PK through Grade 12, Weighted	217
All Other FEFP Eligible Students	<u>6,453</u>
Total	<u>7,282</u>

Students with exceptions are students with exceptions affecting their ridership category. Students cited only for incorrect reporting of DIT, if any, are not included in our error-rate determination.

Our examination results are summarized below:

	Students	
Description	With <u>Exceptions</u>	Proposed Net Adjustment
Our tests included 296 of the 7,282 students reported as being transported by the District.	18	(14)
In conjunction with our general tests of student transportation we identified certain issues related to		
29 additional students.	<u>29</u>	<u>(29)</u>
Total	<u>47</u>	<u>(43)</u>

Our proposed net adjustment presents the net effect of noncompliance disclosed by our examination procedures. (See *SCHEDULE G*.)

The ultimate resolution of our proposed net adjustment and the computation of its financial impact is the responsibility of the DOE.

# SCHEDULE G

#### FINDINGS AND PROPOSED ADJUSTMENTS STUDENT TRANSPORTATION

#### **Overview**

Okeechobee County District School Board (District) management is responsible for determining that student transportation as reported under the FEFP is in compliance with State requirements. These requirements are found primarily in Chapter 1006, Part I, E. and Section 1011.68, Florida Statutes; SBE Rules, Chapter 6A-3, FAC; and the *Student Transportation General Instructions 2016-17* issued by the DOE. All noncompliance disclosed by our examination procedures is discussed below and requires management's attention and action as presented in *SCHEDULE H*.

Students Transported Proposed Net Adjustments

#### **Findings**

Our examination procedures included both general tests and detailed tests. Our general tests included inquiries concerning the District's transportation of students and verification that a bus driver's report existed for each bus reported in a survey period. Our detailed tests involved verification of the specific ridership categories reported for students in our tests from the July and October 2016 reporting survey periods and the February and June 2017 reporting survey periods. Adjusted students who were in more than one reporting survey period are accounted for by reporting survey period. For example, a student included in our tests twice (e.g., once for the October 2016 reporting survey period and once for the February 2017 reporting survey period) will be presented in our Findings as two test students.

1. [Ref. 51] Our general tests disclosed that five students were incorrectly reported in the All Other FEFP Eligible Students ridership category. The students were not IDEA or students enrolled in a career education program eligible for center-to-center reporting; consequently, these students were not eligible for State transportation funding. We propose the following adjustments:

October 2016 Survey <u>16 Days in Term</u> All Other FEFP Eligible Students	(3)	
<b>February 2017 Survey</b> <u>90 Days in Term</u> All Other FEFP Eligible Students	<u>(2</u> )	(5)

#### **Findings**

2. [Ref. 52] District records did not evidence that 24 students were enrolled or participated in any FEFP-funded programs that coincided with any District or school calendars or that these students were otherwise eligible for State transportation funding. We propose the following adjustments:

October 2016 Survey 26 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students	(8)	
<u>16 Days in Term</u> Hazardous Walking All Other FEFP Eligible Students	(2) <u>(14</u> )	(24)

3. [Ref. 53] Our general tests disclosed that the number of DIT for 29 students was not reported in accordance with applicable college dual-enrolled program schedules or with the summer instructional schedule for ESE students. The students were reported for 21, 32, 50, or 80 DIT but should have been reported for 16, 41, or 43 DIT. We propose the following adjustments:

<b>October 2016 Survey</b> <u>80 Days in Term</u> All Other FEFP Eligible Students	(2)	
50 Days in Term All Other FEFP Eligible Students	(1)	
<u>43 Days in Term</u> All Other FEFP Eligible Students	10	
<u>41 Days in Term</u> All Other FEFP Eligible Students	18	
<u>32 Days in Term</u> All Other FEFP Eligible Students	(25)	
June 2017 Survey 21 Days in Term IDEA - PK through Grade 12, Weighted	(1)	
<u>16 Days in Term</u> IDEA - PK through Grade 12, Weighted	<u>1</u>	0

(2)

#### **Findings**

4. [Ref. 54] Two students in our test were not marked as riding the bus during the reporting survey period. Consequently, the students should not have been reported for State transportation funding. We propose the following adjustments:

October 2016 Survey	
<u>90 Days in Term</u>	
All Other FEFP Eligible Students	(1)
February 2017 Survey	
<u>90 Days in Term</u>	
All Other FEFP Eligible Students	<u>(1</u> )

5. [Ref. 55] Thirteen students in our test were incorrectly reported in the Hazardous Walking ridership category. The students' individual routes to school did not cross a designated hazardous location; however, 1 of these students lived more than 2 miles from school and was eligible to be reported in the All Other FEFP Eligible Students ridership category. The remaining 12 students were not otherwise eligible for State transportation funding. We propose the following adjustments:

October 2016 Survey		
<u>90 Days in Term</u>		
Hazardous Walking	(5)	
February 2017 Survey		
<u>90 Days in Term</u>		
Hazardous Walking	(8)	
All Other FEFP Eligible Students	<u>1</u>	(12)

6. [Ref. 56] Three students in our test were incorrectly reported in the IDEA - PK through Grade 12, Weighted ridership category. The IEPs for two of the students did not indicate that the students met at least one of the five criteria required for reporting in the weighted ridership category. The IEP for the other student was not available at the time of our examination and could not be subsequently located. We noted that the students lived more than 2 miles from their assigned schools and were otherwise eligible for reporting in the All Other FEFP Eligible Students ridership category. We propose the following adjustment:

October 2016 Survey		
<u>90 Days in Term</u>		
IDEA - PK through Grade 12, Weighted	(3)	
All Other FEFP Eligible Students	3	0

**Proposed Net Adjustment** 

<u>(43</u>)

#### RECOMMENDATIONS AND REGULATORY CITATIONS STUDENT TRANSPORTATION

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

We recommend that Okeechobee County District School Board (District) management exercise more care and take corrective action, as appropriate, to ensure that: (1) students are reported in the correct ridership category based on their grade level and eligibility criteria, and documentation is maintained on file to support that reporting; (2) only students who are classified as students with disabilities under the IDEA or are enrolled in an eligible career education program are reported for center-to-center related State transportation funding; (3) the number of DIT is accurately reported; (4) only those students who are in membership and are documented as having been transported at least 1 day during the 11-day reporting survey period are reported for State transportation funding; (5) only those students who live less than 2 miles from their assigned school and cross a designated hazardous walking location are reported in the Hazardous Walking ridership category; and (6) students reported in IDEA-PK through Grade 12, Weighted ridership category are documented as having met one of the five criteria required for reporting in a weighted ridership category as noted on the students' IEPs, which are maintained in readily accessible files.

The absence of statements in this report regarding practices and procedures followed by the District should not be construed as acceptance, approval, or endorsement of those practices and procedures. Additionally, the specific nature of this report does not limit or lessen the District's obligation to comply with all State requirements relating to the classification, assignment, and verification of student transportation as reported under the FEFP.

#### **REGULATORY CITATIONS**

Section 1002.33, Florida Statutes, *Charter Schools* Chapter 1006, Part I, E., Florida Statutes, *Transportation of Public K-12 Students* Section 1011.68, Florida Statutes, *Funds for Student Transportation* SBE Rules, Chapter 6A-3, FAC, *Transportation Student Transportation General Instructions* 2016-17

## NOTE A - SUMMARY STUDENT TRANSPORTATION

A summary discussion of the significant features of the Okeechobee County District School Board (District) student transportation and related areas is provided below.

# 1. Student Eligibility

Any student who is transported by the District must meet one or more of the following conditions in order to be eligible for State transportation funding: live 2 or more miles from school, be classified as a student with a disability under the IDEA, be a Career Education 9-12 or an ESE student who is transported from one school center to another where appropriate programs are provided, or be on a route that meets the criteria for hazardous walking conditions specified in Section 1006.23(2), Florida Statutes.

### 2. Transportation in Okeechobee County

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, the District received \$1.6 million for student transportation as part of the State funding through the FEFP. The District's student transportation reported by survey period was as follows:

Survey <u>Period</u>	Number of Vehicles	Number of Funded Students	Number of Courtesy Riders
July 2016	8	-	45
October 2016	48	3,547	666
February 2017	51	3,734	711
June 2017	8	1	46
Totals	<u>115</u>	7,282	<u>1,468</u>

### 3. Statutes and Rules

The following statutes and rules are of significance to the District's administration of student transportation:

Section 1002.33, Florida Statutes, *Charter Schools* Chapter 1006, Part I, E., Florida Statutes, *Transportation of Public K-12 Students* Section 1011.68, Florida Statutes, *Funds for Student Transportation* SBE Rules, Chapter 6A-3, FAC, *Transportation* 

# NOTE B – TESTING STUDENT TRANSPORTATION

Our examination procedures for testing provided for the selection of students using judgmental methods for testing student transportation as reported to the DOE for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017. Our testing process was designed to facilitate the performance of appropriate examination procedures to test the District's compliance with State requirements relating to the classification, assignment, and verification of student transportation as reported under the FEFP.

# MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE

Superintendent Ken Kenworthy

# School District of Okeechobee County



863-462-5000

700 S.W. Second Avenue Okeechobee, Florida 34974 Fax 863-462-5151

Chairperson Jill Holcomb Vice Chairperson Dixie Ball Members Joe Arnold Malissa Morgan Amanda Riedel

September 11, 2018

Ms. Sherrill F. Norman, CPA Auditor General Claude Denson Pepper Building, Suite G74 111 West Madison Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1450

RE: Response to P & T Findings from the FEFP FTE and Student Transportation Audit for Year Ended June 30, 2017

Dear Ms. Norman,

The following explanation is in response to the preliminary and tentative audit findings for the Okeechobee County School Board for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017. We are in agreement with the findings and have implemented strategies for corrective action.

### **Full-Time Equivalent Student Enrollment:**

Finding 1, 8 & 9: [Ref. 3170], [Ref. 18170] & [Ref. 18171] One teacher taught Primary Language Arts to a class that included ELL students but was not properly certified to teach ELL students and was not approved by the School Board to teach such students out of field. We also noted that the parents of the students were not notified of the teacher's out-of-field status.

Management will ensure that teachers who have ELL students in their class will be properly certified and or working toward certification, are approved to teach out of field by the School Board and that parents are notified of the teacher's out-of-field status.

Finding 2: [Ref. 10103] The course schedules for 9th-grade students were incorrectly reported.

Management will ensure that the students' course schedules are in agreement with the school's bell schedule.

# Finding 3 & 7: [Ref. 10101] & [Ref. 18102] One ESE student was incorrectly reported in Program No. 255 (ESE Support Level 5) based on the student's placement in the Hospital and Homebound Program.

Management will require each school to certify that each homebound student is correctly reported and an accurate log is maintained to provide supporting documentation.

# Finding 4: [Ref. 10102] The IEP for one student was not available at the time of our examination and could not be subsequently located.

Management will make sure that all students reported for ESE funding will have an active IEP.

Achieving Excellence: Putting Students First!

Finding 5 & 6: One student in Ref. 17101 & three students in Ref. 18101 did not have their English language proficiency assessed within 30 school days prior to the student's DEUSS anniversary date and an ELL Committee was not convened by October 1 to consider the student's continued ESOL placement beyond 3 years from the student's DEUSS.

Management will make sure that English Language proficiency is assessed within 30 days and that an ELL Committee is convened in a timely manner to extend instruction beyond 3 years.

#### **Student Transportation**

# Finding 1: [Ref. 51] General tests disclosed that five students were incorrectly reported in the All Other FEFP Eligible Students ridership category.

Management will require the Transportation Department to review all student ridership categories for accuracy.

# Finding 2: [Ref. 52] District records did not evidence that 24 students were enrolled or participated in any FEFP-funded programs that were eligible for State Transportation Funding.

Management will require the Transportation Department to review all students rosters to ensure they are eligible for State Transportation Funding.

# Finding 3: [Ref. 53] General tests disclosed that the days in term for 29 students was not reported in accordance with dual enrollment program schedules of with summer instruction schedules for ESE students.

Management will require the Transportation Department to review all days in term, dual enrollment schedules and ESE summer instruction schedule to see that the data for all students matches what is reported for FTE.

# Finding 4: [Ref. 54] Two students in our test were not marked as riding the bus during the reporting survey period.

Bus rosters will be reviewed to ensure that all students are accurately reported during the survey period.

# Finding 5: [Ref. 55] Thirteen students in our test were incorrectly reported in the Hazardous Walking ridership category.

The Supervisor of Transportation will review all students designated in the Hazardous Walking ridership area to ensure correct reporting.

# Finding 6: [Ref. 56] Three students in our test were incorrectly reported in the IDEA - PK through Grade 12, Weighted ridership category.

The Transportation Department will audit the Weighted ridership category to ensure correct reporting of students.

Okeechobee County District Schools would like to extend our appreciation to Mr. Eric Seldomridge for his professionalism during the audit.

Sincerely,

Ven Vietto

Ken Kenworthy Superintendent of Schools

Achieving Excellence: Putting Students First!